# DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

**SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS FEBRUARY 1995** FY 1996/1997 BIENNIAL BUDGET ESTIMATES





Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Volume

19950327 199

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Volume I

HTIS GRA&I DTIC TAB Unanzounced Justification By Distribution/ Availability Availability Availability Availability	FITS GRAEI  DIIC TAB  Unamounced  Justification  By Outletton  Availability Godes  Availability Godes  Int. Special
--	---

#### (\$ in Millions)

	FY 1997	Estimate	18,346.9
	Program	Growth	(445.7)
	Price	Growth	536.0
	FY 1996	Estimate	18,256.6
	Program	Growth	(763.0)
	Price	Growth	65.4
,		Estimate	18,954.2
TODITATION SUMMINAL	FY 1994	Actual	20,518.9

Description of Operations Financed: The Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Air Force appropriation is the backbone of readiness and raffic control, search and rescue, operation and maintenance of runways and base facilities, and the working and living environment of equipment and fuel. O&M resources also directly support essential combat related activities such as intelligence, logistics, weather, air aircraft and related weapon systems; train personnel; operate communications, command and control systems; and purchase supplies, sustainability. It directly supports the Air Force capability to "fly and fight" by providing the funds needed to operate and maintain Air Force personnel

new or modernized systems on schedule, and sustain the infrastructure at minimum levels. Therefore, any reductions to the O&M request in the future while coping with constrained budgets. The O&M resources requested are sufficient to support current force structure, field appropriation, as well as between O&M and other Air Force appropriations. Our primary challenge is to keep our forces ready now and Financial requirements in the O&M appropriation are based on programmed force structure and operating activity levels such as flying will have a direct adverse impact on force readiness, unless there are specific program or force structure reductions timed so actual hours, deployments, workyears, and scheduled weapon systems maintenance. A critical balance must be maintained within this reductions yield savings in that same period.

continued force structure downsizing. Notwithstanding the overall real decline in growth in both years, the budget reflects our priority of The \$763.0 million program decline from FY 1995 to FY 1996 is approximately four percent with the majority relating to \$441.8 million protecting readiness and sustainability, funding logistics and infrastructure accounts at minimally acceptable levels, and continuing critical approved base closure actions and other force structure downsizing, primarily in weapon systems, personnel and support costs. The \$445.7 million program decline from FY 1996 to FY 1997 is approximately another two percent with the majority again relating to in functional transfers. The real program decline (excluding transfers) is two percent. Most of this decline is a result of previously quality of life initiatives within a constrained resource climate.

Narrative Explanation of Changes:

flying hours (approximately three percent); reduction of over six thousand military and civilian end-strengths (one percent); and closure or include: reduction of 31 fighter aircraft (two percent ); reduction of one bomber aircraft (one percent); an increase of over 38 thousand (approximately three percent); and closure or realignment of five Air Force bases (eight percent). Changes from FY 1996 to FY 1997 Force Structure Changes. The Budget Request reflects the impact of all force structure changes in the active Air Force which include changes from FY 95 to FY 96 as follows: reduction of 52 fighter aircraft (four percent ); reduction of 16 bomber aircraft (13 percent); reduction of nearly 30 thousand flying hours (two percent); reduction of 14 thousand military and civilian end-strengths realignment of two Air Force bases (eight percent).

million back to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation investment accounts for F-15 post production costs. These transfers out of O&M are partially offset by the policy change eliminating the threshold for non-centrally procured investment equipment which transfers Funding Responsibility Transfers. The most significant program transfers out of O&M include the \$472.1 million realigned back to the Military Personnel Appropriation for Military Personnel expense previously captured in the DBOF-T O&M Subsidy and \$47.8 \$80.6 million into O&M from the Other Procurement Appropriation.

current levels. Hours per crew per month are maintained at approximately 20 for fighters and bombers, 16 for tankers and 24 for airlift. Readiness. Maintaining readiness is our top priority. This request supports the DoD goal of continuing OPTEMPO at the Also, the goal is to sustain our aircraft mission capable rates at their same high levels.

Property Maintenance are budgeted at 95 percent, 90 percent, 95 percent, and 73 percent of estimated requirements, respectively, in FY maintenance program at the higher levels begun in FY 1995, but still has an unfinanced requirement of \$145.6 million--representing the infrastructure accounts. That is, Depot Level Reparables, Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance, Real Property Services, and Real deferral of 17 airframes and 46 engines. The FY 1997 depot maintenance program has an unfinanced requirement of \$167.5 million--While OPTEMPO and mission capable rates will be sustained, the Air Force has had to impose efficiencies on its logistics and this represents the deferral of 10 airframes and 85 engines. While we have funded these programs at acceptable levels of risk, further 1996. Similar funding profiles exist for these accounts in FY 1997 as well. The Air Force has continued to fund the FY 1996 depot maintenance in this budget, the unfunded requirement has grown to almost \$2,910.0 million in FY 1996 and \$2,514.0 million in FY reductions will create a bow wave of work and jeopardize future readiness. Even with considerable support for real property

# The following table shows the FY 1996/1997 O&M, Air Force Budget by budget activity.

#### (\$ in Millions)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
BUDGET ACTIVITY	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Operating Forces				
Air Operations	6,574.5	7,402.2	7,261.0	7,248.5
Combat Related Ops	1,530.0	1,395.6	1,509.7	1,497.1
Space Operations	1,131.8	1,241.8	1,245.6	1,293.3
Total	9,236.4	10,039.6	10,016.3	10,038.9
Mobilization				
Manufaction Counties	1 900 1	2 162 4	7 500 0	0 003 0
Mobility Operations	4,830.1	3,102.4	4,323.4	7,390,9
Training & Recruiting			-	
Accession Training	156.0	172.4	184.0	190.0
Basic Skills & Adv Tng	1,174.8	1,101.1	1,230.6	1,257.2
Recruiting, Other Tng & Educ	222.3	234.5	226.2	219.3
Total	1,553.2	1,508.0	1,640.8	1,666.5
S d				
Administration & Servicewide Acty				
Logistics Operations	2,143.9	2,373.0	2,280.0	2,264.5
Servicewide Activities	1,984.0	1,414.8	1,335.9	1,307.6
Security Operations	7.7.7	449.1	447.2	465.3
Support to Other Nations	7.6	7.3	13.0	13.2
Total	4,893.2	4,244.2	4,076.1	4,050.6
		073007	0	0,770
Grand Total	20,518.9	18,954.2	18,256.6	18,346.9

Narrative Explanation of Major Changes by Budget Activity

B-1B PAA, and the reduction of contract engineering requirements; \$-105.5 million reduction in base support costs due to additional base decreases are \$-156.6 million fr force structure adjustments to include the complete retirement of the F-111F and F-4G, the reduction of 2 Lajes Air Base support. Major program increases include: \$+189.0 million to increase Real Property Maintenance funding to 73 percent; Operations. These funds provide support for fighter, bomber, and missile forces assigned to Air Force operational commands. They also of \$-85.6 million, program increase of \$117.9 million and functional transfers of \$-55.6 million. Major functional transfers include \$-47.8 Cobra Judy radar programs from Defense Agency appropriations and a \$-19.2 million transfer to the Military Personnel appropriation for closures, streamlining operations, and reduced Real Property Maintenance requirements; \$-31.8 million in training due to weapon system million transfer to the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation appropriation for F-15 operational flight program; \$+36.0 million for classified programs. The FY 1997 estimate of \$10,038.9 million includes price increases of \$+304.8 million and program decreases of \$infrastructure; \$-95.0 million for force structure adjustments such as retirement of the F-4G, accelerated retirement of the F-111F, the network of sites and terminals to relay data gathered by satellites. The FY 1996 estimate of \$10,016.3 million includes price reductions \$+109.7 million to support beddown of four additional B-2 aircraft and \$+33.3M to fund the arrival of the first three JOINT STARS associated increase in F-16 aircraft, and the beddown of six A-10 aircraft at Moody Air Force Base; \$-60.9 million for decapitalizing ICBM missile guidance sets; \$-33.3 million for Defense Airborne Reconnaissance Program (DARP) programs and \$-33.2 million for provide global command, control, and communications; the capability to launch payloads into various earth orbits; and a worldwide Budget Activity 1: Operating Forces - Requested resources are for Air Operations, Combat Related Operations, and Space 282.3 million. The major program increase is \$+31.0 million for the addition of two JOINT STARS aircraft. The major program aircraft. Major program decreases include: \$-146.2 million decrease to base operating support due to base closures and reduced retirements; \$-25.0 million for Peacekeeper test and evaluation assessments and \$-22.0 for Strategic Defensive C3I programs.

The major program decreases include: \$-252.5 million subsidy reduction to reflect TRANSCOM expense and revenue expectations and Business Area. The major program increase in FY 1996 is \$+63.8 million to increase Real Property Maintenance funding to 73 percent. tactical airlift to support contingency and wartime operations. The \$2,523.4 million requested for FY 1996 includes a price change of \$+78.5 million, a program decrease of \$-261.5 million, and a \$-456.0 million in functional transfers. The major functional transfer is Budget Activity 2: Mobilization - The funds requested for this budget activity provide global mobility through strategic and \$-472.1 million transfer to the Military Personnel appropriation for the costs of military endstrengths assigned to the Transportation \$-30.0 million for mobilization preparedness requirements. The \$2,590.9 million requested for FY 1997 includes a price change of \$+71.8 million and a program decrease of \$-4.2 million. Major program changes in FY 1997 include: \$+14.9 million for base operations and a \$-20.2 million decrease in Afloat Prepositioned Fleet requirements.

Page 4

## APPROPRIATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

Accession Training, Basic Skill and Advance Training, and Recruiting & Other Training and Education. The \$1,640.8 million requested assessment reviews and \$+6.2 million for continued ramp-ups in pilot and navigator training. The major program decreases are \$-19.6 price change of \$+49.1 million, a program decrease of \$-35.9 million, and functional transfers of \$+12.6 million. The major functional for FY 1996 includes a price change of \$+20.3 million, a program increase of \$+68.1 million and functional transfers of \$44.4 million. consolidate training at Air Education and Training Command and \$15.6 million for military to civilian conversions in positions that do Budget Activity 3: Training and Recruiting - The funds requested for this budget activity support three broad mission areas-\$+25.4 million to increase Real Property Maintenance funding to 73 percent. The \$1,666.5 million requested for FY 1997 includes a transfer is for ongoing military to civilian conversions--+\$11.6 million. The major program increases include \$+9.9 million for Real not have direct combat roles. The major program changes include: \$+28.3 million for increased pilot and navigator production and million in civilian pay and civilian training as a result of continued force downsizing and \$-11.4 million in Specialized Skill Course Property Maintenance to support quality of life initiatives and other unsatisfactory facilities identified from commander mission Major functional transfers include: \$+21.8 million for Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals training to further streamline and efficiencies culminating from the last phase of "Year of Training" initiatives.

transferred to other Air Force appropriations. Major program increases include: \$+62.3 million to increase Real Property Maintenance million for classified programs. The \$4,050.6 million requested for FY 1997 includes a price change of \$+110.3 million and a program funding to 73 percent and \$+14.0 million for the Defense Standardization Program. Major program decreases include: \$-72.6 million includes price growth of \$+52.2 million, program change of \$-245.7 million, and \$+25.4 million in functional program transfers. The most significant transfers are the \$+50.5 million transfer from the investment appropriation due to eliminating the O&M threshold for associated with upcoming base closure decisions and \$-23.1 million for base operations due to the Newark Air Force Station closure for base operating support; \$-65.3 for civilian personnel reductions; \$-42.9 million reduction in payments to the Defense Finance and decrease of \$-135.9 million. No significant functional transfers occurred in FY 1997. The major program increase is \$+15.7 for the Budget Activity 4: Administration & Servicewide Activities - This budget activity funds four broad mission areas -- Logistic projected savings associated with upcoming base closure decisions; \$-21.1 million for second destination transportation and \$-19.0 Operations, Servicewide Support, Security Programs, and Support to Other Nations. The \$4,076.1 million requested for FY 1996 drawdowns and acquisition streamlining; \$-41.3 million for second destination transportation; \$-35.1 million for projected savings Accounting Service; \$-34.5 million for reduced Pollution Prevention/Environmental Compliance requirements; \$-24.9 million for Defense Information Systems Agency. Major program decreases include: \$-42.9 million for civilian pay due to force structure the purchase of base procured investment equipment and \$-9.4 million for Defense Finance and Accounting Service payments and other acquisition and logistics streamlining.

Summary. This Budget Request has been carefully balanced to avoid a hollow force, but the balance is delicate. The requested funding sustainment; balance between peacetime efforts, exercises, and training; and as we drawdown the force, a proper balance in our various must be maintained to avoid a degradation to readiness, sustainability, and quality of life. Balance is the benchmark: balance between people, training and weapon systems support; balance between investment and O&M; balance between force modernization and force force capabilities.

Page 6



### FY1996/1997 Budget Estimates Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

(\$ in Thousands)

				(a in Thousands)	usanus)	
			FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Budget	Activity	Budget Activity 1, Operating Forces	9,236,395	10,039,626	10,016,322	10,038,853
01		Air Operations	6,574,517	7,402,243	7,260,977	7,248,451
	001	Primary Combat Forces	2,480,593	2,833,101	2,684,913	2,688,725
	005	Primary Combat Weapons	470,519	511,690	409,701	398,331
	003	Combat Enhancement Forces	275,063	276,120	257,139	233,880
	004	Air Operations Training	484,710	661,814	647,570	634,264
	900	Combat Communications	536,458	861,795	854,442	925,486
	900	Base Support	2,327,174	2,281,778	2,407,212	2,367,765
	007	Reprogramming/Credits		(24,055)		
02		Combat Related Operations	1,530,042	1,395,580	1,509,701	1,497,105
	800	Global C31 & Early Warning	721,460	704,620	826,526	817,908
	600	Navigation/Weather Support	123,457	121,423	128,374	125,082
	010	Other Combat Operations Support Prog	325,692	215,425	210,481	204,939
	011	JCS Exercises	29,846	38,265	41,793	39,169
	012	Management/Operational Headquarters	153,247	130,135	111,914	108,849
	013	Tactical Intel & Special Activities	176,340	185,712	190,613	201,158

O-1 Exhibit

FY1996/1997 Budget Estimates Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

		(\$ in Thousands)	usands)	
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
03 Space Operations	1,131,836	1,241,803	1,245,644	1,293,297
014 Launch Facilities	219,593	259,526	254,590	252,787
015 Launch Vehicles	105,940	114,471	117,482	119,205
016 Space Control Systems	339,207	375,577	341,862	334,439
	31,684	39,106	49,132	54,475
018 Other Space Operations	83,497	87,073	79,989	89,412
019 Base Support	351,915	366,050	402,589	442,979
Budget Activity 2, Mobilization	4,836,125	3,162,426	2,523,373	2,590,893
04 Mobility Operations	4,836,125	3,162,426	2,523,373	2,590,893
020 Airlift Operations	1,316,589	1,270,193	1,544,785	1,588,805
021 Airlift Operations C31	21,545	12,790	10,961	11,048
022 Mobilization Preparedness	200,965	168,104	160,110	149,631
023 Payments to DBOF-T	1,527,654	1,271,456	293,027	297,275
024 Base Support	1,769,372	439,883	514,490	544,134



### FY1996/1997 Budget Estimates Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

(\$ in Thousands)

				(SDIESMOILL III &)	usalius)	
			FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Budget	Activit	Budget Activity 3, Training and Recruiting	1,553,177	1,507,943	1,640,760	1,666,537
05		Accession Training	156,024	172,399	183,970	190,040
	025	Officer Acquisition	40,224	46,820	49,197	50,704
	026	Recruit Training	5,714	4,051	3,881	3,888
	027	Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC)	31,310	37,202	39,226	40,898
	028	Base Support	78,776	84,585	91,666	94,550
	029	Reprogramming/Credits		(259)		
90	Basic	06 Basic Skills & Advanced Training	1,174,833	1,101,067	1,230,608	1,257,192
	030	Specialized Skill Training	193,561	197,204	204,465	198,070
	031	Flight Training	330,422	275,516	336,956	354,965
	032	Professional Development Education	80,137	78,631	78,688	72,738
	033	Training Support	82,596	70,993	65,048	64,206
	034	Base Support	488,117	479,525	545,451	567,213
	035	Reprogramming/Credits		(802)		

#### O-1 Exhibit

#### FY1996/1997 Budget Estimates Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

		(\$ in Thousands)	usands)	
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
07 Recruiting & Other Tng & Education	222,320	234,477	226,182	219,305
036 Recruiting & Advertising	40,315	43,978	44,827	45,129
037 Examining 038 Off Duty & Voluntary Education	4,230	2,994	3,122	3,162 75,781
	74,360	82,974	77,304	69,268
	16,535	22,288	25,392	25,965
041 Reprogramming/Credits		(1,084)		
Budget Activity 4, Administration and Servicewide Support	4,893,164	4,244,232	4,076,142	4,050,568
08 Logistics Operations	2,143,916	2,373,032	2,280,043	2,264,516
042 Logistics Operations	726,673	868,432	790,324	798,258
	337,118	332,263	365,535	353,411
	254,946	250,633	234,836	207,369
045 Base Support	825,179	928,004	889,348	905,478
046 Reprogramming/Credits		(6,300)		



### FY1996/1997 Budget Estimates Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

(\$ in Thousands)

			(CONTRACTOR ALL A)	mountain)	
		FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
09 Service	Servicewide Activities	1,983,995	1,414,833	1,335,859	1,307,607
047	Administration	133,463	112,262	118,319	118,651
048	Servicewide Communications	373,423	325,199	318,240	320,908
040	Personnel Programs	72,662	76,776	84,766	86,786
050	Rescue & Recovery Services	34,072	39,271	40,426	42,471
051	Subsistence In Kind	53,544	51,797	48,429	47,774
052	Arms Control	25,429	27,815	34,645	33,519
053	Other Servicewide Activities	1,072,849	590,628	396,155	347,494
054	Other Personnel Support	29,750	32,485	32,080	32,454
055	Civil Air Patrol Corporation	7,108	10,195	14,704	14,863
056	Base Support	181,695	155,198	248,095	262,687
057	Reprogramming/Credits		(6,793)		
10 Secur	Security Programs	757,676	449,097	447,218	465,254
058	Security Programs	757,676	449,097	447,218	465,254
11 Supp	Support To Other Nations	7.577	7,270	13,022	13,191
050	International Support	7,577	7,270	13,022	13,191
Total Operatic	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	20,518,861	18,954,227	18,256,597	18,346,851

### DIRECT HIRE PERSONNEL SUMMARY

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

### FY 1994 FY 1995 FY 1996 FY 1997

Total number of full-time permanent positions (End Strength)	79,461	86,526	84,140	81,195
Total compensable work years: Full-time equivalent employment U.S. Direct Hires Foreign Nationals Total Direct Hires	85,844 2,540 88,384	95,372 2,854 98,226	91,402 2,645 94,047	89,040 2,573 91,613
Total Full-time equivalent employment	88,384	98,226	94,047	91,613
Full-time equivalent of overtime and holiday hours (Workyears)	1,350	1,500	1,437	1,400
Average Executive Service salary	104,471	104,471 107,424 110,616 113,868	110,616	113,868
Average GM/GS salary	35,596	36,621	37,702	38,806
Average GS grade	6	o	O)	o
Average salary of ungraded positions	32,259	32,879	33,832	34,767

### DIRECT HIRE CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	
Direct Hire Civilians	End Work Strength Years \$(000)	End Work Strength Years \$(000)	End Work Strength Years \$(000)	End Work Strength Years	(000)\$
Full-time Permanent Other	79,461 79,815 3,558,649 8,973 8,569 382,059	86,526 88,675 4,076,894 9,200 9,551 439,114	84,140 84,983 4,030,993 8,983 9,064 429,932	81,195 82,787 8,623 8,826	4,052,812 432,074
Total Direct Hire	88,434 88,384 3,940,708	95,726 98,226 4,516,008	93,123 94,047 4,460,925	89,818 91,613	91,613 4,484,886
Disadvantaged Employment	0 0				
Foreign National Separation Liability	2,919	31	-		•
Severance Pay/Incentives/Unemployment Compensation	ompensation 19,669	76,577	47,879		68,626
Total	88,434 88,384 3,963,296	95,726 98,226 4,592,616	93,123 94,047 4,508,805	89,818 91,613	4,553,513
Detail by Budget Activity					
Operating Forces Mobilization	28,715 1 2,468	28,271 1,	27,251 1, 6,426	• •	1,401,878
Training & Recruiting Admin & Service Wide Activities	12,929 12,398 596,924 43,646 44,803 1,864,353	13,180 13,519 683,415 47,527 49,576 2,199,754	13,486 13,354 689,476 46,266 47,016 2,118,259	13,343 13,441 44,303 45,395	718,223 2,121,677
Total Direct Hire	88,434 88,384 3,963,296	95,726 98,226 4,592,616	93,123 94,047 4,508,805	89,818 91,613	4,553,513
(Reimbursable Data included above)	24,455 16,433 712,065	11,573 18,014 818,855	22,323 16,948 782,081	11,125 16,724	788,847



### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

FY 1994 FY 1995	END WORK END WORK STRENGTH YEARS	433,659 6,089	6,974 120	ų,	3,449	7,265 8,253 465,988 6,792 7,19	7,154 6,387 338,522 4,850 6,00
FY 1995	(000)\$	6,537		528	45	2 7,198 414,253	0 6,002 342,150
FY 1996	END WORK STRENGTH YEARS	6,189 6,139		457 515		6,781 6,786	6,382 5,616
	\$(000)	400,176	9,348 633	16,723	0	426,880	325,872
FY 1997	END WORK	6,002 6,095	12/ 12/ 8 8	459 458		6,596 6,688	4,904 5,643
	\$(000)	408,081	10,297	14,695	0	433,756	340,457

electronic warfare aircraft; Tactical Air Control aircraft; command, control and communications, including the Airborne Warning and Also supported are the United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM); the Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center, Air Force Special Operations Command, JCS Exercises, Chemical and Biological Defense activities, Tactical Reconnaissance and Air Combat Command (ACC), and the United States Air Forces in Europe, as well as bomber and missile forces assigned to ACC. Operations, and Space Operations. The Air Operations resources requested are for fighter forces assigned to Pacific Air Forces, Control aircraft; Dissimilar Air Combat Training aircraft; support ranges; and command and base support personnel and activities. . Description of Operations Financed: This budget activity consists of three activity groups: Air Operations, Combat Related Electronic Warfare, Tactical Intelligence and Special Activities, United States Central Command, Joint Deployment Agency, These funds will provide support for combat, test, and training fighter aircraft; bomber aircraft; strategic and other missiles; counterdrug operations, and the Joint Communications Support Element.

(JSIPS), Compass Call (EC-130H) aircraft, Common Electronic Countermeasures Equipment, Mission Planning Systems, Electronic The Air Operations activity group consists of the frontline fighters and bombers of the Air Force. Included are the B-52, B-1, B-2, F-Combat Support, and Special Operations Forces. Air operations training supports activities related to combat crew and advanced assets, Manned Destructive Suppression (F-4G aircraft, Tactical Air to Ground Missile, Joint Services Imagery Processing System tactial training for all fighter and missile aircrews. Combat communications provides support for air weapons control systems and enhancement forces enhance the effectiveness of other weapon systems employed. They include Electronic Warfare (EF-111) 4G, F-111, F-15, A-10, F-16, and F-117. Also included are the Peacekeeper and Minuteman Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles tactical air control systems such as: forward air control posts, tactical air control centers, air support operations centers, and (ICBMs), the helicopters that support them, plus the missiles that are subsystems of fighter and bomber aircraft. Combat airborne command and control systems.

grouping consist of survivable communication links for Ground Entry Points, Minuteman/Peacekeeper Missile Wings, and technical communications (C3), intelligence gathering, early warning, training, evaluation, management oversight, weather and air traffic Command Post, and the Minimum Essential Emergency Communications Network. The remaining components of this mission The Combat Related Operations comprise the support elements for combat forces and provide for global command, control, control capabilities. The forces employ a wide range of assets to accomplish this myriad of missions supported through the following programs: the Strategic Offensive C31, the National Military Command Center, the National Emergency Airborne support for current USSTRATCOM command and control programs and improvements.

communication with these space based platforms; and a worldwide network of sites and terminals to relay data gathered by satellite constellations. Other programs include personnel and infrastructure support for the manpower and facilities used to execute these missions. These include launch facilities at Vandenberg, AFB, CA and Cape Canaveral AFS, FL; launch vehicles such as Delta II, Control Network; and satellite systems such the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program and the Navstar Global Positioning Atlas E, Atlas II, Titan II and Titan IV; space control systems such as the Satellite Control Network and the Air Force Satellite The Space Operations Forces provide for the launch of payloads into various earth orbits; the command, control and System.

equipment, necessary facilities and the associated costs specifically identifiable and measurable to the activity groups described Resources provide for operation of the forces in this budget activity, and include manpower authorizations, peculiar and support

infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below.

Security Forces of Protection

Aircraft Missiles Buildings

Utility Systems Operation
Installation Equipment Maintenance
Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction
of Real Property
Aircraft Runways
Missile Silos
Aircraft Maintenance Complexes
Roads
Dormitories
Environmental Compliance
Engineering Services
Fire Protection

Equipment
Personnel
Air Base Operability
Explosive Ordinance Disposal
Ground Transportation
Operational Readiness
Other Support
Base Communication Services
Essential Data Processing Services

Lease of Real Property

Refuse Collection

Crash Rescue

Custodial

Snow Removal

centers; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services to Personnel support includes food and housing services for unaccompanied and deployed forces; child care and family support Air Force personnel and their families.

II. Force Structure Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Squadrons (Aircraft/Missiles)Squadrons (Aircraft/Missiles)	83	83	82	81
Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA)	1,843	1862	1,747	1,719
Strategic Missiles (Minuteman & Peacekeeper)	674	585	580	580
Flying Hours	709,345	702,461	673,329	669,678
Military End Strengths	183,149	173,636	168,550	166,034
Civilian End Strengths	35,581	32,455	32,435	31,233
Air Force World Wide Military Command & Control System (WWMCCS) Sites	13	40	40	5
National Emergency Airborne Command Post (NEACP) Ground Entry Points	18	18	18	18
National Military Command System (NMCS) Sites	~	~	_	-
Joint Surveillance System (JSS) Radars	29	59	59	29
Region & Sector Operational Control Centers (ROCCs and SOCCs)	7		ω	w

### II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
North Warning System Radars	35	54	54	54
North Atlantic Defense System (NADS)	4	4	4	4
Surveillance Radars - North Atlantic Defense System (NADS)	4	4	4	4
Ballistic Missile Early Warning System Sites (BMEWS)	က	ო	က	ю
Sea Launched Ballistic Missile Radar Warning Sites (SLBM)	വ	လ	ည	လ
Over-The-Horizon Radar System - Sectors plus Software Maintenance Facility (Limited Operations & Caretaker)	ო	ო	ო	ო
NORAD Cheyenne Mountain Complex	τ-	~	_	~
Tactical Warning/Attack Assessment (TW/AA) Sites	13	13	Ξ	7
Air Force Satellite Communication (AFSATCOM) Network Operations Systems	7	7	7	7
II. Force Structure Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Operational Launch Pads:	12	11	-	7

Satellite Control Network (SCN) Systems:	27	27	27	27
Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP): Operational Satellites in Orbit	8	8	8	8
Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS): Operational Satellites in Orbit	80	ω	ω	7
Global Positioning System (GPS): Operational Satellites in Orbit	24	24	24	24
Defense Support Program	*	*	*	*

<sup>\*</sup> Data is classified

# Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
A Activity Groups	FY 1994 Actual	Budget Request	Appropriation	Current Estimate	FY 1996 Estimate	FY 1997 Estimate
Air Operations	\$6,574,517	\$7,191,880	\$7,205,964	\$7,402,243	\$7,260,977	\$7,248,451
Combat Related Operations	1,530,042	1,475,999	1,446,499	1,395,580	1,509,701	1,497,105
Space Operations	1,131,836	1,254,846	1,252,846	1,241,803	1,245,644	1,293,297
Total	\$9,236,395	\$9,922,725	\$9,905,309	\$9,905,309 \$10,039,626 \$10,016,322	\$10,016,322	\$10,038,853
B. Reconciliation Summary:						
		Change		Change		Change Change
	T-1	FY 1895/1995	<u></u> 1	FY 1895/1990		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Baseline Funding		\$9,905,309		\$10,039,626		\$10,016,322
Undistributed Congressional Reductions		-\$45,095		\$0		0
Price Change		1,500		-65,615	-	305,166
Civilian Locality Pay		-5,755		5,755		0
DLA Efficiencies		0		18,300		0
Functional Transfer		31,666		-55,644		-1,720
Program Changes		152,001		73,900		-280,915
Current Estimate		\$10,039,626		\$10,016,322		\$10,038,853

# C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Reductions)		\$9,905,309
a. Undistributed Congressional Adjustments	\$-45,095	
1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown       \$-35,170         2) Classified       \$+35,000         3) Information Technology       \$-24,500         4) Classified - North Warning       \$-18,000         5) Contracting & Consulting       \$-10,282         6) Pay Raise and Locality Pay       \$+4,206         7) Federal Workforce Restructure       \$+3,651		
Revised Appropriated Amount		\$9,860,214
Price Change		\$+1,500
Civilian Locality Pay		\$-5,755
Functional Program Transfers		\$+31,666
a. Transfers In  1) Malmstrom AFB Transfer.  2) Luke and Tyndall Air Force Bases.  3) Pollution Prevention.  4) Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change.  \$+21,369  \$+19,580  \$+13,215  \$+800	\$+54,964	
b. Transfers Out 1) Fairchild AFB Transfer 2) McConnell AFB Transfer \$-5,740	\$-23,298	

\$+348,712

ø.

	® <b>4</b> €	Combat Training Squadron Transfer	\$-2,963 \$-1,485 \$-253	
P	gran	Program Increases	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
ಇಇಎಎಎ ಕ್ರುಫ್ ಗ್ ಸಾಗ್ ಕ್ರಾಂ ಅಥ್ಯ	Registration of the control of the c	Base Operations Real Property Maintenance Activities Real Property Maintenance Activities Real Property Maintenance Activities Riying Hour Consumption Changes Average Salary Increases Average Salary Increases Average Salary Increases  Strategic Defensive C3I - Ground Based Management/Operational Headquarters  Ease Communications  Training Exercises  Medium Launch Vehicle (MLV) Support Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Helicoper Support Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Helicoper Support Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Helicoper Support Classified Programs  Space Management Headquarters  Low Altitude Navigation and Targeting Infrared for Night (LANTIRN) Sustaining Engineering  Airborne Warming and Control System (AWACS)  Cope Thunder Realignment  Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP)  Joint STARS E-8A Aircraft		\$+115,667 \$+39,795 \$+34,010 \$+26,779 \$+22,095 \$+14,851 \$+11,890 \$+3,600 \$+5,574 \$+5,574 \$+5,574 \$+4,155 \$+3,547 \$+3,000 \$+3,000 \$+3,000 \$+3,000 \$+3,000 \$+3,000 \$+3,000
Pro	gran	Program Decreases		
က် ကေ ဂ	Str	Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Helicopter Support Costs		\$-24,289 \$-23,986 \$-19,786

\$-196,711

7

	d Space Control Systems	\$-17,461
	=	\$-14,850
		\$-14,397
		\$-14,235
	h. Launch Facility Contract Support	\$-14,092
	i. Air Traffic Control, Approach, and Landing System (ATCALS)	\$-11,086
		\$-10,916
	k. Air Force Wide Communications	\$-6,154
	I. Real Property Maintenance Activities	\$-5,944
	m. Titan Support	\$-4,297
	n. Tactical Surveillance	\$-3,489
	o. Spacetrack	\$-3,410
	p. JCS Exercises	\$-3,000
		\$-1,648
	r. Air Intercept Missile (AIM) Tests	\$-1,474
	s. Civilian Workforce Policy Adjustment/Pricing Issues	\$-981 5:0
	t. Short Range Attack Missile (SRAM) Support	\$-659 \$-557
ω.	FY 1995 Current Estimate	\$10,039,626
<u>ن</u>	Price Growth	\$-65,615
9.	Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Efficiency	\$+18,300
7-	Civilian Locality Pay Offset	\$+5,755
12.	Functional Program Transfers	\$-55,644
	a. Transfers In	\$+84,795

ف

\$+370,743

13.

	18) Contract Air Traffic Control, Approach, and Landing System (ATCALS)	4	
	19) Air Force Combat Operations Staff (AFCOS) Weather Transfer	06-\$	
Pro	Program Increases	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
ซ	Strategic Defensive C3I - Space Based Systems		\$+67,713
Ġ.	Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM)		\$+54,469
ပ	Depot Level Reparables		\$+41,821
ö	Joint STARS E-8A		\$+33,295
ø.	Service (DFA)		\$+31,127
÷.	Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM) to 90%		\$+21,700
0	Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities		\$+16,987
ے			\$+16,152
:	Force Structure Adjustments		\$+14,995
	Strategic Offensive C3I		\$+12,147
ند	NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS) Sustainment		\$+10,670
_:	Air Force Wide Communications-Space Based		\$+10,269
Ė			\$+6,384
ċ			\$+4,609
Ö	Tactical Command, Control, Communications and		\$+3,496
ġ.	One Additiona		\$+3,331
. ਹਂ			\$+3,307
ت	Air Base Defe		\$+2,813
ဖ	Air Traffic Con		\$+2,275
نب	Chemical/Biolo		\$+1,773
<del>,</del>			\$+1,748
>			\$+1,586
⋛	Child Develop		\$+1,426
×	Air to Ground		\$+1,425
>	()		\$+1,408

	aa bb. cc.	Intelligence Workforce Realignment Information Warfare (IW) Mission Local Area Network (LAN) Korean Command, Control and Communications (C3) Enhancement	\$+1,152 \$+1,144 \$+826 \$+695	
14.		Program Decreases		\$-296,843
			970	
	ต่	Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Cost Savings	010'1	
	Q	Classified Programs	\$-33,621	
	c	Tactical Surveillance	\$-33,339	
	י כ	Race Simont	\$-31,104	
	i a	inport	\$-20,945	
	; <sub>4</sub>		\$-18,447	
	: 6	Space Test Center	\$-15,235	
	عة	Lainch Facility Stanont	\$-12,197	
	÷	Spacetrack	\$-9,253	
	::	Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS)	\$-8,237	
	. ¥	Combat Support Efficiencies	\$-7,616	
	<u> </u>	und Based Sv	\$-7,597	
	: E	Defense Finance Accounting Service (DFAS) Reallocation	\$-5,159	
	<u>_</u>		\$-4,875	
	Ċ	Civilian Endstrenath Reductions	\$-4,869	
	ء ۃ	Medium Launch Vehicle (MLV) Support	\$-4,090	
	L 0	Advanced Cruise Missile (ACM) Maintenance	\$-3,107	
	ے خ	Air Launched Cruise Missile (ALCM) Drawdown	\$-1,872	
	: u	Ilite Program (DMS	\$-1,115	
	· -	Rindensharing	\$-1,011	
	; =	Short Range Attack Missile (SRAM)	\$-730	
	; >	Helicopter Support	\$-608	
5		FY 1996 Budget Reguest		\$10,016,322

<u>6</u>	Pric	Price Growth		\$+305,166
17.	Fur	Functional Program Transfers		\$-1,720
	ri Ö	Transfers in	\$+1,030	
		1) Military to Civilian Conversion\$+1,030		
	Ď.	Transfer Out	\$-2,750	
<del>1</del> 8.	Pro	Program increases		\$+163,729
9.		a. Depot Maintenance Adjustments b. Tactical Surveillance c. Real Property Maintenance Activities d. Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) e. Classified Programs f. Air Force Wide Communications - Space Based g. Spacetrack n. Compass Call Support i. NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS) j. Advanced Cruise Missile (ACM) k. Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) Support l. Air to Ground Missile (AGM)-130 Sustaining Engineering.	\$+47,408 \$+33,158 \$+28,684 \$+17,650 \$+13,134 \$+7,347 \$+7,347 \$+7,040 \$+2,522 \$+2,502 \$+1,491 \$+1,491	\$-444,64
	i D i	Force Structure Adjustments	\$-156,518 \$-115,996	

Training Force Structure Reduction	\$-31,780
Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Engineering Support	\$-24,952
Strategic Defensive C3I-Ground Based Systems	\$-22,043
Satellite Control Sustainment	\$-13,690
Combat Operations Support	\$-11,380
Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLF) Program	\$-9,501
Classified Programs	\$-8,434
Strategic Offensive C3I-Airborne Systems	\$-6,919
Weather Services	\$-6,614
Burdensharing	\$-5,984
Management/Operational Headquarters	\$-5,569
Air Force Wide Communications-Ground Based	\$-4,757
Residual Value Payments	\$-4,000
Command Communications	\$-3,690
JCS Exercises	\$-2,938
Space Test Center	\$-2,633
Titan Launch Manifest	\$-2,382
Simulator Validation	\$-2,249
Air Force Satellite Control Network (AFSCN) Operations/Communications	\$-1,097
Air Launched Cruise Missile (ALCM) Storage	\$-916
Implementation of DoD Civilian Resource Guidance	\$-602

ು ಎಂದ್ ಇದ್ದ ಕ್ರಾರ್ಥ ಇ ಇ ಎಂತ್ ಇ ಎಂತ ಇ ಎಂತ್ ಇ ಎಂತ್ ಇ ಎಂತ್ ಇ ಎಂತ ಇ ಎಂತ್ ಇ ಎಂತ ಇ ಎಂತ್ ಇ ಎಂತ

FY 1997 Budget Request......FY

20.

### IV. Personnel Summary:

					Change	Change
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1995/1996	FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total)	183.149	173,636	168,550	166,034	-5,086	-2,516
Officer	24,952	23,708	22,550	21,919	-1,158	-631
Enlisted	158,197	149,928	146,000	144,115	-3,928	-1,885
Civilian End Strength (Total)	35,581	32,455	32,435	31,233	-20	-1,202
U.S. Direct Hire	26,161	24,022	23,886	23,009	-136	-877
Foreign National Direct Hire	2,664	2,344	2,360	2,222	16	-138
Total Direct Hire	28,825	26,366	26,246	25,231	-120	-1,015
Foreign National Indirect Hire	6,756	680'9	6,189	6,002	100	-187
Military Workvears (Total)	192,776	177,715	172,014	168,720	-5,701	-3,294
Officer	26,746	24,189	23,387	22,647	-802	-740
Enlisted	166,030	153,526	148,627	146,073	-4,899	-2,554
Civilian Workvears (Total)	35,193	33,652	32,400	31,860	-1,252	-540
U.S. Direct Hire	26,073	24,611	23,908	23,461	-703	-447
Foreign National Direct Hire	1,795	2,504	2,353	2,304	-151	-49
Total Direct Hire	27,868	27,115	26,261	25,765	-854	-496
Foreign National Indirect Hire	7,325	6,537	6,139	6,095	-398	-44

training forces, both PAA and flying hours began to level off as the impact of the drawdown decreases. Other changes to force structure include the addition of the Joint STAR E-8A aircraft and phase out of the Minutemen II missiles by the end of FY 1996. Many of these changes, presented in this activity group, impact force structure and operational requirements. By the end of FY (PAA) and flying hours will have been reduced by over 14 percent from FY 1995 levels. This represents a PAA decrease of 18 accelerated retirement of the F-4Gs and the F-111Fs from the Air Force inventory in FY 1996. In addition, the EF-111s will be B-52s and 4 B-1s. Also during this period, the buildup of the B-2 bomber continues, increasing to 12 PAA in FY 1997. As for I. Description of Operations Financed: The United States Air Force mission is: "To defend the United States through control 1996, the active Air Force will have drawn down to a fighting force of 13 fighter wing equivalents. A key milestone will be the retired in FY 1997. As for bomber force structure changes, by the end of FY 1997, B-1 and B-52 Primary Authorized Aircraft and exploitation of air and space". Today, the Air Force continues to undergo changes to adapt to the post Cold War world.

The Air Operations activity group consists of the following six subactivity groups:

Primary Combat Forces This subactivity consists of the frontline fighters and bombers of the Air Force. Included are the B-52, B-1, B-2, F-4G, F-111, F-15, A-10, F-16, and F-117. These resources represent the "tip of the spear" in projecting global power support equipment, necessary facilities, and the associated costs specifically identified and measurable to: wing headquarters, preserve readiness and functional proficiency through flying and ground operations training with personnel/equipment/systems under the Air Force's Global Reach - Global Power doctrine. The funds requested will provide a capability to counter a wide range of threats to the U.S. and its allies and to assure a viable deterrent posture. Resources provide for civilian personnel, fighter squadrons, bomber squadrons, organizational avionics, and consolidated aircraft maintenance. The objective is to that will be involved in responding to crisis/contingency/emergency situations.

subsystems include: the Short Range Attack Missile (SRAM), the Air Launched Cruise Missile (ALCM), and the Advanced Cruise Missile (ACM). Also included are conventional weapons such as the Harpoon, the Tri-Service Attack Missile, the Standoff Attack Primary Combat Weapons This subactivity group includes the Peacekeeper and Minuteman Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles (ICBMs), the helicopters that support them, plus the missiles that are subsystems of fighter and bomber aircraft. These Missile, Low Altitude Navigation and Targeting Infrared for Night (LANTIRN) and the Maverick. Combat Enhancement Forces Consists of assets that enhance the effectiveness of other weapon systems employed. Included are Electronic Warfare (EF-111) assets, Manned Destructive Suppression aircraft, Tactical Air-to-Ground Missile, Joint Services

imagery Processing System (JSIPS), Compass Call (EC-130H) aircraft, Common Electronic Countermeasures Equipment, Mission Planning Systems, Electronic Combat Support, and Special Operations Forces.

aircrews. Activities include tactical training exercises and deployments, combat simulation training, and dissimilar air combat Air Operations Training Supports activities related to combat crew and advanced tactical training for all fighter and missile training. Funds exercises such as Red Flag, Blue Flag, Green Flag, Maple Flag and Coalition Flag that are designed to simulate real combat conditions; training and aggressor squadron aircraft; range activities, facilities and equipment; and wargaming and simulation programs. Combat Communications This subactivity provides support for air weapons confrol systems and tactical air control systems such TACS elements, both airborne and ground-based, contain standard manning and equipment as required by the force projection elements; airborne elements; and the command, control, communications, and computers (C4) to tie it all together. Deployable Systems, Tactical Cryptological Activities and Constant Source. The Tactical Air Control System (TACS) provides the Air Force Countermeasures (C3CM) aircraft). Also includes Theater Battle Management, Joint STARS support, Special Reconnaissance Component Commander (AFCC) and the Joint Force Air Component Commander (JFACC) the capability to plan and conduct Force doctrine of centralized control and decentralized execution of air operations. Components of the TACS include the Air theater air operations, including joint US operations and combined operations with allied forces. The TACS supports the Air as: forward air control posts, tactical air control centers, air support operations centers, and airborne command and control Operations Center (AOC); the Wing Operations Center (WOC); non-radar ground-based elements; radar ground-based systems (including the E-3 AWACS, EC-135, EC-130E, OA-37, and Compass Call (EC-130H) Command, Control and tenets. They must be sufficiently mobile and flexible to respond to contingencies across the spectrum of warfare.

security forces guarding our facilities and weapon systems to child care for member dependents. It also provides the capability operating installations. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity and preserve our to mobilize and deploy specialized warfighting personnel and mission sustaining support supplies and equipment to intra and inter theater forward operating locations. A key component in base support are Real Property Maintenance (RPM) functions. RPM functions include maintenance and repair projects and minor construction accomplished by contract and in-house work installations. It is a complex structure fulfilling a broad range of critical readiness needs, from highly skilled and specialized force at Air Combat Command, Pacific Air Forces, US Air Forces in Europe, and Air Force Special Operations Command Base Support Base Support maintains our primary weapon system launch and recovery capability from fixed bases and

Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below.

Utility Systems Operation Installation Equipment Maintenance Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction of Real Property Aircraft Runways Missile Silos

Aircraft Maintenance Complexes
Roads

Dormitories Environmental Compliance Engineering Services

Fire Protection Crash Rescue Custodial Refuse Collection Snow Removal

Security Forces Protection
Aircraft
Missiles
Buildings
Equipment
Personnel

Air Base Operability
Explosive Ordinance Disposal
Ground Transportation
Operational Readiness
Other Support
Base Communication Services
Essential Data Processing Services

Personnel support includes food and housing services for unaccompanied and deployed forces; child care and family support; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services to Air Force personnel and their families.

II. Force Structure Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Squadrons (Aircraft/Missiles)	83	83	82	81
Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA)	1,783	1,800	1,689	1,662
Strategic Missiles (Minuteman & Peacekeeper)	674	585	580	280
Flying Hours	687,380	678,219	651,252	648,092
Military End Strengths	144,836	135,073	131,392	129,654
Civilian End Strengths	28,062	24,914	25,050	24,017

### III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
	FY 1994	Budget		Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Subactivity Groups	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Request	Estimate	Estimate
Primary Combat Forces	\$2,480,593	\$2,697,173	\$2,868,073	\$2,833,101	\$2,684,913	\$2,688,725
Primary Combat Weapons	470,519	542,628	542,628	511,690	409,701	398,331
Combat Enhancement Forces	275,063	404,060	404,060	276,120	257,139	233,880
Air Operations Training	484,710	567,551	583,551	661,814	647,570	634,264
Combat Communications	536,458	844,372	844,372	861,795	854,442	925,486
Base Support	2,327,174	2,136,096	1,963,280	2,281,778	2,407,212	2,367,765
DLA Efficiencies	0	0	0	-18,300	0	0
Civilian Locality Pay Offset	0	0	01	-5,755	01	01
Total	\$6,574,517	\$7,191,880	\$7,205,964	\$7,402,243	\$7,260,977	\$7,248,451
		Change		Change		Change
	u.l	FY 1995/1995		FY 1995/1996		FY 1996/1997
B. Reconciliation Summary:						
Baseline Funding		\$7,205,964		\$7,402,243		\$7,260,977
Undistributed Congressional Reductions		6,211		0		0
Price Change		1,000		-109,082		229,616
DLA Efficiencies		0		18,300		0
Civilian Locality Pay Offset		-5,755		5,755		0
Functional Transfer		31,575		-99,437		412
Program Changes		163,248		43,198		-242,554
Current Estimate		\$7,402,243		\$7,260,977		\$7,248,451

Thousands):
.≒
8
Decreases (
O
ä
Increases
<u>_</u>
Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):
ပ

<del>-:</del>	FY 1995 Appropriated Amount		\$7,205,964
	a. Undistributed Congressional Reductions  1) Classified. 2) Civilian Personnel Drawdown. 3) Information Technology. 4) Contracting and Consulting. 5) Federal Workforce Restructuring. 6) Civilian Pay Raise.  \$+35,000 \$-23,727 \$-5,724 \$-5,065 \$+2,867	\$+6,211	
7	Revised Appropriated Amount		\$7,212,175
က်	Price Change		\$+1,000
4.	Civilian Locality Pay Offset  Congress authorized locality pay increase for federal employees nationwide (average increase 1.07 percent). Because no additional funding was appropriated, decreases in this activity group were necessary to temporarily offset this price growth. A reprogramming request will be provided to Congress at a later date and, once approved, funding will be restored.		\$-5,755
ŗ.	Functional Program Transfersa. Transfers ln	\$+51,657	\$+31,575
	<ol> <li>Malmstrom AFB Transfer</li></ol>		

This funding adjustment completes the Malmstrom AFB transfer by moving the remaining mission support programs from Activity Group: Mobility Operations, to Activity Group: Air Operations.

		07.0
Luke and Tyndall Air Force	2) Luke and Tyndall Air Force Bases	#+18,580
In conjunction with ongoin	In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented	
during the FY 1995 Presid	during the FY 1995 President's Budget, Luke and Tyndall Air Force	
Bases transferred from Air	Bases transferred from Air Combat Command to Air Education and	
Training Command. At tha	Training Command. At that time both bases were misaligned to	
<b>Budget Activity Administrat</b>	Budget Activity Administration and Servicewide Support; the correct	
<b>Budget Activity is Operatin</b>	Budget Activity is Operating Forces. The funding adjustment corrects	
the misalignment.		

6	3) Dollintion Dravention	\$+10,443
5	Effective FY 1995, pollution prevention funding is decentralized from	
	Budget Activity: Administration and Servicewide Activities and spread	
	throughout all Budget Activities. This transfer aligns funding to the	
	primary Budget Activity and Subactivity Groups used by installation	
	commanders for other base support programs. Realigning pollution	
	program funding in the installation's primary budget activity will better	
	identify costs with specific missions supported.	

*+800				
4) Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change	Estimate eliminates the current dollar criteria (\$50,000) for the	procurement of non-centrally managed equipment (installation/local	level type items). Under this policy change, funds are transferred to	O&M from the Other Procurement Appropriation.
4				

	(\$+800)
Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary:	Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)

۵.	Ta	Transfers Out		\$-20,082
	7	Fairchild AFB Transfer.  In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented in the FY 1995 President's Budget, Fairchild AFB transferred from Air Combat Command to Air Mobility Command. At that time only civilian end-strengths and their associated costs transferred. This funding adjustment completes the Fairchild AFB transfer by moving the remaining mission support programs from Activity Group: Air Operations, to Activity Group: Mobility Operations.	\$-12,857	
	5	McConnell AFB Transfer.  In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented in the FY 1995 President's Budget, McConnell AFB transferred from Air Combat Command to Air Mobility Command. At that time only civilian end-strengths and their associated costs transferred. This funding adjustment completes the McConnell AFB transfer by moving the remaining mission support programs from Activity Group: Air Operations, to Activity Group: Mobility Operations.	\$-5,740	
	6	KC-135 Tanker Combat Crew Training Transfer	\$-1,485	

6. Program Increases .........

\$+115,667

αį

sustainment level of approximately of percent infamily. It includes notice as such as contract maintenance of equipment, mission essential TDYs, mobility kits, supplies, and equipment. During this time of drawdown and realignments, we have attempted to provide increased funding to support "people" programs and quality of life issues. Several overseas bases are slated for closure in FY 1995. These closures, in turn, will eventually lead to a reduced budget but require increased funding in the near term due to the added logistical requirements.	ities (FY 1995 Base, \$580,205)	Flying Hour Consumption Changes (FY 1995 Base, \$557,693)	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)
"people" programs and quality of life issue slated for closure in FY 1995. These clos to a reduced budget but require increased the added logistical requirements.	Real Property Maintenance Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$580,205)	Flying Hour Consumption Changes (FY 1995 Base, \$557,693)	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)

۵.

ပ

\$+39,795

\$+29,922

ਰਂ	Environmental Programs (FY 1995 Base, \$136,653) Increase provides funding to ensure compliance with federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.		\$+18,071	
σί	Base Communications (FY 1995 Base, \$79,260)		\$+14,851	
ني	Training Exercises (FY 1995 Base, \$581,563)		\$+11,890	
တ်	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)	(\$+11,890)	1	
	(FY 1995 Base, \$5,899)		967'/+\$	
	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	(\$+7,236)		

	\$+4,155		\$+3,547	\$+3,000	
		(\$+4,155)			(\$+3,000)
O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: AIR OPERATIONS	h. Low Altitude Navigation and Targeting Infrared for Night (LANTIRN) Sustaining Engineering (FY 1995 Base, \$4,260) Increase supports continuing sustaining engineering efforts for the F- 15E/F-16C/D aircraft. LANTIRN has reached system maturity. Sustaining engineering must be maintained at a constant level to prevent obsolescence of over 174 LANTIRN system components and support limited redesign efforts as required.	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	i. Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) (FY 1995 Base, \$162,418). AWACS growth is due to a Contract Mission Simulator Support (CMSS) contract increase. CMSS increase provides AWACS mission simulator operation, simulator scenario development, and all phases of mission aircrew academic training development (\$+3,547).	j. Cope Thunder Realignment (FY 1995 Base, \$581,563)	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)

	\$-85,290				
\$+404		\$-24,289		\$-14,850	
			(\$-24,289)	,	(\$-14,850)
k. Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) (FY 1995 Base, \$5,645)	7. Program Decreases	<ul> <li>a. Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Support Costs         (FY 1995 Base, \$470,958).</li> <li>Decrease reflects a reduction in Minuteman (MM) II deactivation booster transportation costs, and a reduced level of effort in Follow On Test and Evaluation (FOT&amp;E) for post-flight assessment, and nuclear assurance testing and analysis.</li> </ul>	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	<ul> <li>Advanced Cruise Missile (ACM) (FY 1995 Base, \$32,402)</li></ul>	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)

ammed to	ammed to oport. ighter 8 uffalls	ammed to sport. Fighter  infalls  (\$-26,853)  (\$+12,456)	\$	(\$-26,853) (\$+12,456) (\$+14,235)
also reflects the decision to delay standing up one A-10 Squadron at Moody AFB, GA (\$-10,419). These funds were originally programmed to climport 6 DAA and 3 165 fixing bours alice other associated support			also reflects the decision to delay standing up one A-10 Squadron at Moody AFB, GA (\$-10,419). These funds were originally programmed to support 6 PAA and 3,165 flying hours plus other associated support. These decreases are offset by the start-up of the International Fighter Training Activity F-16C Squadron, plus OA-10, F-15E, and T-38 PAA/flying hour increases to offset weapon system training shortfalls (+12,456).  Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)	also reflects the decision to delay standing up one A-10 Squadron at Moody AFB, GA (\$-10,419). These funds were originally programmed trapport Support 6 PAA and 3,165 flying hours plus other associated support. These decreases are offset by the start-up of the International Fighter Training Activity F-16C Squadron, plus OA-10, F-15E, and T-38 PAA/flying hour increases to offset weapon system training shortfalls (+12,456).  Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)
	These decrease Training Activity PAA/flying hour (+12,456).	These decrease Training Activity PAA/flying hour (+12,456). Special Interest Primary Combat Air Operations T	These decrease Training Activity PAA/flying hour (+12,456). Special Interest Primary Combal Air Operations Taylor Combal Air Operati	

(CTAPS), and increased video teleconferencing circuits at the Numbered Funding change is the result of numerous program expansions (Theater Battle Management installations, increased support of Constant Source, Air Forces) coupled with force restructuring and system modifications (inactivation of three Theater Air Control System (TACS) units, and rephasing of Theater Deployable Communication (TDC) programs) installations of Contingency Theater Automated Planning Systems resulting in an overall program decrease.

that support Big Safari (\$-983). Also includes decrease in flying hours for Tactical Surveillance (FY 1995 Base, \$503,882) ..... programmed depot maintenance for aircraft and select ground stations Decrease reflects reduced level of effort for software maintenance and the Pacer Coin mission, which is now primarily supported by the Air National Guard (\$-2,506)

\$-3,489

Air Intercept Missile (AIM-9) Tests (FY 1995 Base, \$2,069)...... system. However, testing has been indefinitely delayed until the missile These funds were originally programmed for testing the AIM-9 missile guidance and control system is modified. Ö

(\$-1,474)Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)..... Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary:

NPR reductions made during the FY 95 President's Budget. Also included programmed civilian reductions. Adjustments reflect realignment of the mplements various civilian workforce policy changes and pricing adjustments. This action accelerates and increases previously are changes concerning civilian resource management. Civilian Workforce Policy Adjustment/Pricing Issues FY 1995 Base, \$759,186)..... خ

\$-981

<ul> <li>Short Range Attack Missile (SRAM) Support (FY 1995 Base, \$1,508)</li> <li>Reduction in system engineering core tasks (sustainment support, SRAM testing, and Follow On Test and Evaluation) due to reduced SRAM support to Theater Missile Defense (TMD) interceptor program and retirement of operationally excess air-to-ground missiles.</li> </ul>	\$-659	
Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)		
FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$7,402,243
Price Growth		\$-109,082
DLA Efficiency		\$+18,300
Civilian Locality Pay.  Congress authorized locality pay increase in FY 1995 for federal employees nationwide (average increase 1.07 percent). Because no additional funding was appropriated, decreases in this activity group were necessary in FY 1995 to temporarily offset this price growth. A reprogramming request for FY 1995 will be provided to Congress at a later date and, once approved, funding for FY 1995 will be restored.		\$+5,755
Functional Program Transfers		\$-99,437
<ul> <li>a. Transfers in</li></ul>	\$+24,284	

(installation/local level type items). Under this policy change, funds are transferred to O&M from the Other Procurement Appropriation.

Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry). Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry). Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry).  Military Conversions to Contract. This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. The authorizations are not military essential, thereby necessitating the decision to directly convert these positions to O&M contract funding. Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry).  Military to Civilian Conversion.  Military to Civilian Conversion.  Military to Civilian Conversion.  Military manpower (44 positions) not identified to perform a direct combat role, provide direct combat support, deploy overseas or perform military mandated work converted to civilian positions.  Transfer is due to standup of the Defense Airborne Reconnaissance Organization (DARO) beginning in FY 1996. All programs in the Tactical Reconnaissance Imagery Exploitation program now fall under DARO. Program transfers from Activity Group: Combat Related Operations to Activity Group: Air Operations.  Standard Level User Charges (SLUC) Transfer  SLUC provides payment to the General Services Administration for leased space in the National Capital Region and outside the National	(\$+1,950) (\$+754) (\$+80)	\$+4,128	(\$+2,428)	\$+2,999	\$+2,652	\$+1,790
V 60 4 40	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)	Military Conversions to Contract. This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. The authorizations are not military essential, thereby necessitating the decision to directly convert these positions to O&M contract funding.	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)	Military to Civilian Conversion. Military manpower (44 positions) not identified to perform a direct combat role, provide direct combat support, deploy overseas or perform military mandated work converted to civilian positions.	4) Tactical Reconnaissance Imagery Exploitation	<ol> <li>Standard Level User Charges (SLUC) Transfer</li></ol>

Capital Region. As the result of the Air Force initiative to align programming and funding responsibility with the user, all funding responsibility so SLUC will transfer to the Major Commands and Field Activities effective in FY 1996. These funds were originally programmed and executed centrally in Activity Group: Servicewide Activities.

۵.

Tra	Transfers out	\$-47,800	<b>\$-1</b> 23
	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)	(\$-47,800)	
2	Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals (IFF)	\$-21,775	
	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)	(\$-21,775)	

\$-19,200	\$-15,500	\$-6,976	\$-5,992	\$-2,400	\$-1,644
Lajes Contract Conversion Delay	Compass Call Realignment	Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) Restructure	National Foreign Intelligence Program Transfer	Theater Battle Management (TBM) Restructure	US Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) Command and Control Platform  Transfers funding to support one EC-137D to include common aircraft ground equipment (AGE) and fuel for 1,000 flying hours in support of airborne command, control, and communications capability for
3	4	2	6	6	8

USCINCSOC and staff during deployment/employment of special operations forces (SOF) from Air Force to USSOCOM.

\$-1,443

PACAF Fast Payback Capital Investment	Investment (PECI) program to a self-sustaining program. Requesters must identify offsets at the time the project is submitted, and the	recovery period must be no more than three years. This change	Force and generate productivity-improving initiatives for today's fiscal	environment. This transaction transfers funding from O&M to the	Investment Equipment Appropriation.
J. (6			<i>L</i> U.	¥	_

D) First Air Force Transfer to Air National Guard
---

ansfei
F
(AFIS)
Service (
Information
Forces
American
2

decision to transfer and consolidate visual information, broadcast, and public affairs training into a single joint educational facility under the AFIS. Implements the transfer of the Motion Media Records Center (MMRC) to AFIS. This action also implements a Deputy Secretary of Defense

13.

\$+216,740

_	Program Increases	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	a. Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM)  (FY 1995 Base, \$928,602).  This increase reflects the transition of engine requirements from three-level to two-level maintenance starting in FY 1996. Funding also supports F-15E airframe activities which convert from analytical condition inspections (ACI) to programmed depot maintenance (PDM). The additional funding required for this conversion represents a increased level of effort associated with conducting PDMs. The level of effort required for ACIs is limited to inspection of selected airframe critical high failure rate areas. Actual maintenance is performed as required. PDM actions require both inspection and maintenance on all critical airframe components.		\$+54,469
	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary:  Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)	(\$+32,190)	\$+41,821
	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)	(\$+41,821)	

c. Joint STARS (FY 1995 Base, \$6,049)	\$+33,295	\$+31,127	\$+21,700	(\$+21,700)	\$+14,995
	Joint STARS (F) Arrival of the firs flying hour supp crew/mission/ma		Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM) to 90 Percent (FY 1995 Base, \$928,602) Increase in FY 1996 DPEM funding reduces DPEM backlog and brings funding levels from 87 percent to 90 percent of requirements in FY 1996.	Subactivity Group Summary: t Forces (Memo Entry)	for an an 111

	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)	(\$+14,995)	
တ်	OA-10 Force Structure Change (FY 1995 Base \$49,561)		\$+6,384
<u>ج</u>	Tactical Command, Control & Communications & Intelligence (C3I) (FY 1995 Base, \$151,735)		\$+3,496
• ••••	One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base, \$745,551)		\$+2,576
•	Wargaming and Simulation (FY 1995 Base, \$11,352)		\$+1,748
ند	Air-to-Ground (AGM-130) Contractor Logistics Support (FY 1995, Base \$534) This increase supports initial Contractor Logistics Support (CLS) requirement for the AGM-130. Prior to FY 1996, this system was under		\$+1,425

manufacturer warranty. This warranty expires in FY 1995. In FY 1996, support of this system will be provided by CLS which is funded in the Operation and Maintenance Appropriation.

Common Electronic Countermeasures (FY 1995 Base, \$5,211)..... Reflects an increase in logistics support for 1,390 Electronic Jamming Pods under the threat simulator contract.

\$+1,408

\$+1,144

\$+1,152

- Intelligence Workforce Realignment (FY 1995, Base, \$20,401)..... ncrease in civilian positions (+48 end strengths) that support combat communications intelligence activities. Ë
- nformation Warfare (IW) Mission (FY 1995 Base, \$38,581)..... reprogram Military Personnel Appropriation in the form of 200 military slots deleted) to Operation and Maintenance to enable war fighting commands proliferation of sophisticated communications and computer technologies. This increase reflects additional funds for Chairman of the Joint Chief of Control Warfare (C2W) mission requirements. Funds provide for ADPE, nomination lists; and accomplish predictive and post threat engagement optimal opportunities to program, made it necessary for the Air Force to contract engineer and technical support for fielding IW/C2W products. The DoD decision to move quickly to these new capabilities without Staff and Chief of Staff of the Air Force directed IW/Command and commanders and deployed forces will use these IW/C2W product enhancements to perform force-on-force analysis; produce target The primary mission of IW is to take advantage of the increasing to cover shortfalls in existing capabilities. Consequently, theater assessments within the theater of operations. ċ
- Program Decreases..... 4.

\$-71,816

	(\$-71,816)		
Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Cost Savings (FY 1995 Base, \$446,749)	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	<ul> <li>b. Tactical Surveillance (FY 1995 Base, \$485,235)</li> <li>Defense Airborne Reconnaissance Program (DARP) reflects the discontinuance of SR-71 operations that were Congressionally mandated in FY 1995.</li> </ul>	c. Base Support (FY 1995 Base, \$7,402,243
ત્તું		<u></u>	O .

\$-31,104

\$-33,339

Presidential Initiative to fund quality of life ennancements as offset by a \$13.8 million reduction for projects that will be accomplished under the Federal Energy Management Program.	<ul> <li>d. Classified Programs (FY 1995 Base, \$7,402,310)</li> <li>Details are classified. Details will be provided upon request under separate cover.</li> </ul>
Presidential Initiative to fund quality of it \$13.8 million reduction for projects that Federal Energy Management Program.	Classified Programs (FY 199) Details are classified. Detail separate cover.
	ਰਂ

	\$-8,237
under	3ase \$165,324)

\$-19,095

ej O

マ
ന
Ö
$\omega_{\bar{z}}$
3
1
↔

Combat Support Efficiencies (FY 1995 Base, \$74,194)..... implements efficiency initiatives directed at reducing overall programmed cost. Efficiencies include lengthening time between Operational Flight Program inspections, extending aircraft paint cycles, and reducing software maintenance and weapon system costs.

Advanced Cruise Missile Maintenance (FY 1995 Base, \$17,744)..... result of system maturity to include analyzation and update of missile/test equipment software, providing up-to-date safety/reliability instructions to Decrease represents reduction of sustaining engineering efforts as a the field and tracking maintenance history by missile. Ö

(\$-3,107)

Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry).....

Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary:

22 Page

	<b>£</b>	Air Launched Cruise Missile (ALCM) Drawdown (FY 1995 Base, \$22,129) Air Force initiative to bring the ALCM program in line with projected bomber forces. Reduced inventory results in decreased costs in software/engine maintenance, supplies, and engineering support.		\$-1,872
		Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	(\$-1,872)	
	<b></b>	Short Range Attack Missile (SRAM) (FY 1995 Base, \$849)		\$-730
		Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	(\$-730)	
	•=	Helicopter Support (FY 1995 Base, \$13,055)  Due to Minuteman II drawdown there is a reduction in ICBM helicopter support. Funding decrease represents a reduction of 480 flying hours resulting in less depot purchased equipment maintenance and contract maintenance requirements.		\$-608
<u>10.</u>		FY 1996 Budget Request		
<del>.</del> 0		Price Growth		

\$+229,616

\$7,260,977

\$+412 \$+4 \$+44,731)	\$+412	\$+103,531	7. 58
a. Transfer In.  Military to Civilian Conversion  Military to Civilian Conversion  Military manpower not identified to perform a direct combat role, provide direct combat support, deploy overseas or perform military mandated work that has been converted to civilian positions.  Program Increases  Depot Maintenance Adjustments (FY 1996 Base, \$943,572)  The majority of this funding will support a significant increase in F-16 110 engine overhauls. These requirements are programmed to double from 73 in FY 1996 to 136 for FY 1997 (\$+41,835). Funding will also support increased requirements for F-15E airframe depot maintenance (\$+2,896). F-15E airframe maintenance converted from analytical condition inspections to programmed depot maintenance RDM) in FY 1996. Finally, this increase includes depot maintenance support for the Mission Planning System and Special Operations Forces (\$+2,677).  Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)	<del>+</del>	\$+47,	\$+33
a. Transfer In.  Military to Civilian Conversion  Military to Civilian Conversion  Military manpower not identified to perform a direct combat role, provide direct combat support, deploy overseas or perform military mandated work that has been converted to civilian positions.  Program Increases  Depot Maintenance Adjustments (FY 1996 Base, \$943,572)  The majority of this funding will support a significant increase in F-16 110 engine overhauls. These requirements are programmed to double from 73 in FY 1996 to 136 for FY 1997 (\$+41,835). Funding will also support increased requirements for F-15E airframe depot maintenance (\$+2,896).  F-15E airframe maintenance converted from analytical condition inspections to programmed depot maintenance support for the Mission Planning System and Special Operations Forces (\$+2,677).  Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary:  Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)  Degram growth in Tactical Surveillance is based on increased OPTEMPO. JSTARS O&M requirements continue to grow based on the delivery of two more E-8As in FY 1997. Funding supports flying hours, C.I.S. and onerating support for the operational combat units (\$+24,916).	\$+412		(\$+44,731)
	Transfer In		
<del>6</del> .		48.	

pra	
ts for Co	
increased requirements for Cobra	2).
ased rec	(\$+8,24
les incre	yments
Also includes in	ent deplo
	airlift and Cobra Sent deployments (\$+8,242)
ed in the	rlift and
being installed in the U-2.	Ball cargo ail
bein	Ball

Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) (FY 1996 Base, \$137,398).	Program growth is due to an increase in flying hours (+3,830 hours) due to	the projected return of the Test E-3 to the fleet, increased training	requirements during the transition from Block 20/25 aircraft to Block 30/35,	ft in depot.
Airborne Warning and Co.	Program growth is due to	the projected return of the	requirements during the tr	and fewer aircraft in depot.
ပ				

\$+17,650

ö	Compass Call Support (FY 1996 Base, \$52,905)	
	Reflects increased cost for the contractor operated depot supporting	
	Compass Call,	

ø.	Advanced Cruise Missile (ACM) (FY 1996 Base, \$14,668)	
	Program growth required to accommodate anticipated surge in vehicle	
	repairs as depot facilities enter full service (clearing a growing backlog;	
	especially in engine repairs) and to support continuing Follow On Test and	
	Evaluation (FOT&E) requirements.	

\$+1,660

\$+2,522

(\$+1,660)	
Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	

\$+1,133

ني	Air-to-Ground (AGM-130) Sustaining Engineering (FY 1996 Base, \$1,505) Funding supports initial sustaining engineering efforts required for surveillance testing, periodic function testing, and historical data collection.
	These efforts are used to determine the reliability and maintainability of the AGM-130 weapon system.

	(\$+1,133)
Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary:	Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)

19.	P	Program Decreases	•		\$-346,085
	ಹ	Force Structure Adjustments (FY 1996 Base, \$7,260,977)	\$-156	\$-156,518	
		Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Forces (Memo Entry)	(\$-122,608)		
	ف	Base Support (FY 1996 Base, \$2,407,212)	₽ 7	<b>\$-115,996</b>	

ပ	Training Force Structure Reduction (FY 1996 Base, \$85,174)		\$-31,780
	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Air Operations Training (Memo Entry)	(\$-31,780)	
ਰਂ	Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Engineering Support (FY 1996 Base, \$351,149) Sustaining engineering decrease reflects completion of Peacekeeper Follow On Test & Evaluation (FOT&E) program, reduced requirements for Peacekeeper assessment analysis and near completion of Minuteman (MM) ozone depleting chemical studies.		\$-24,952
	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	(\$-24,952)	
oj.	Burdensharing (FY 1996 Base, \$733,267)	·	\$-5,984
<b>4.</b>	Residual Value Payments (FY 1996 Base, \$833,511)		\$-4,000

	ත්	Command Communications (FY 1996 Base, \$11,232)		\$-3,690
	بخ	Simulator Validation (FY 1996 Base, \$39,811)		\$-2,249
	:	Air Launched Cruise Missile (ALCM) Storage (FY 1996 Base, \$19,017) Continued downscoping in engine maintenance, supplies and engineering support due to the storage of excess ALCMs.		\$-916
		Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Primary Combat Weapons (Memo Entry)	(\$-916)	
20.		FY 1997 Budget Request	•	

\$7,248,451

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: AIR OPERATIONS

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

SQUADRONS				
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
B-52H	လ	5	4	4
B-1B	ဖ	4	4	4
B-2	-	~	7	2
F-4G	-	-	0	0
F-111F	က	က	0	0
F-15	14	14	14	14
A-10	2	7	7	7
F-16	21	20	23	23
F-15E	7	7	7	7
F-117	7	2	2	2
EF-111	τ-	<del>-</del>	~	<b></b>
EC-130H	8	2	2	2
EC-137	-	_	0	0
6.11	က	4	4	4
0A-10	7	7	7	7
C-130H	-	0	0	0
EC-130E	-	-	~~	<b>~</b>
EC-135K	-	_	*	-
C/R/RC/TC-135/E-8	-	-	<del></del>	-
T-38A	01	<del>~</del> ]	<b>~</b> I	<b>~</b> -1
TOTAL	83	83	82	81

### IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

PRIMARY AIRCRAFT AUTHORIZATION (PAA)				
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
B-52H	56	99	48	48
B-1B	80	20	48	46
B-2	4	7	11	12
F-4	24	24	0	0
F-111F	54	54	0	0
F-15	252	252	252	252
A-10	99	72	72	72
F-16	408	380	438	438
F-15E	138	138	138	138
F-117	36	36	36	36
EF-111	24	24	24	0
EC-130H	10	10	10	10
EC-137	-	+	0	0
E-3	29	29	29	29
0A-10	99	72	72	72
EC-130E	9	છ	မ	ဖ
EC-135K	_	-	-	-
C-130H	2	0	0	0
C/R/RC/TC-135/E-8	11	17	16	18
T-38A	0	ထ	∞	80
TRAINING	486	521	458	454
UH/HH-1	<b>5</b> 3	22	22	22
TOTAL	1783	1800	1689	1662

### IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary.

AVERAGE PRIMARY AIRCRAFT INVENTORY (APAI)				
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
B-52H	73	65	52	48
B-1B	84	22	49	47
1 2 2	7	ເນ	တ	12
B-52	۵	0	0	0
4.1	0	23	12	0
F-111F	09	54	33	0
E-15	267	252	252	252
Δ-10	67	64	72	72
. T. T. C.	421	402	405	439
12.1	135	138	138	138
F-117	36	36	36	36
. T. T. C. P. P. C. P. C. P. C. P. C. P. C. P. P. C. P. C. P. P. C. P. P. C. P. C. P.	-	0	0	0
EF-111	24	24	24	17
FC-130H	10	10	9	10
EC-137	-	-	0	0
) III	28	29	29	29
04-10	61	62	62	62
EC-130E	φ	φ	9	ဖ
EC-135K	~	_	****	~
C-130H	_	0	0	0
C/R/RC/TC-135/F-8	1	17	14	14
	29	23	22	22
112R/RT	0	33	37	37
T-38A	0	7	∞	ω
TRAINING	428	504	469	458

### IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	EV 1994	FV 1995	FV 1996	FY 1997
В-52Н	29.153	26.086	24.801	23.040
B.18	29,629	25,387	20,897	19.743
B-2	242	1,706	2,260	3,289
B-52	2,906	0	0	0
F-4	6,338	8,160	3,635	0
F-111F	16,797	19,224	12,015	0
F-15	95,127	82,855	82,852	82,824
A-10	33,496	30,014	33,630	33,630
F-16	161,990	140,428	141,069	153,018
F-15E	50,986	52,090	48,135	48,135
F-117	9,358	11,016	11,016	11,016
f-4G	1,003	0	0	О
EF-111	7,985	8,840	8,840	6,080
EC-130H	6,647	6,314	6,314	6,314
EC-137	683	1,000	0	0
ខុះភា	26,239	28,466	28,950	32,780
0A-10	31,592	30,414	35,328	35,328
EC-130E	5,660	3,504	3,504	3,504
EC-135K	777	900	009	009
C-130H	1,370	250	0	0
C/R/RC/TC-135/E-8	11,019	17,606	16,584	19,398
UH/HH-1	12,214	11,000	10,520	10,520
U2R/RT	0	0	0	0
T-38A	0	3,300	3,300	3,300
TRAINING	146,169	169,959	157,002	155,573
TOTAL	687,380	678,219	651,252	648,092

### IV. Performance and Evaluation Summary:

AVERAGE ELVING HOLIRS PER AVERAGE (APAI)				
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
B-52H	388	401	451	480
B-1B	353	445	426	420
8.2	121	341	251	274
B-52	0	0	0	0
4.4	0	355	303	0
F-111F	280	356	364	0
F-15	356	329	329	329
A-10	200	469	467	467
F-16	385	349	348	349
F-15E	378	377	349	349
F-117	260	306	306	306
F-4G	0	O	0	0
EF-111	333	368	884	809
EC-130H	665	631	218	218
EC-137	683	1,000	0	0
សុំ	937	982	402	455
0A-10	518	491	0	Ö
EC-130E	943	584	3,504	3,504
EC-135K	777	009	0	0
C-130H	1,370	0	0	0
C/R/RC/TC-135/E-8	1,624	5,510	169	169
CT/TH-1	421	478	478	478
TRAINING	1,446	1,423	3,735	3,580

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:				
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Base Support				
Total End Strength *	211,683	195,126	191,076	188,216
Military	181,775	167,882	163,950	161,967
Civilian	29,908	27,244	27,126	26,249
Total Major Installations	47	41	37	37
CONÚS	30	27	24	24
Overseas	17	14	13	13
Facilities Supported (000 sq ft)	216,013	194,371	181,933	181,722
Plant Replacement Value (000\$)	72,259,754	71,267,627	73,347,423	75,565,869
Total Number of Quarters.	70,422	68,085	65,099	66,490
Number of Officer Quarters	7,086	6,920	6,892	6,871
Number of Enlisted Quarters	63,336	61,165	60,207	59,619
Total Number of Vehicles.	54,749	53,953	52,930	52,206
Owned	53,938	53,123	52,103	51,368
Peased	811	830	827	838
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	162	164	166	169
Number of Child Care Spaces	21,715	23,301	25,994	27,328

<sup>\*</sup> Base support personnel reflects total personnel physically assigned to and supported by MAJCOMs having host support responsibility for this activity group. These figures do not equal authorized personnel due to the complex nature of host/tenant relationships among Air Force units.

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: AIR OPERATIONS

V. Personnel Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	Change FY 1995/1996	Change FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total) Officer Enlisted	144,836 15,552 129,284	135,073 14,603 120,470	131,392 14,086 117,306	129,654 13,757 115,897	-3,681 -517 -3,164	-1,738 -329 -1,409
Civilian End Strength (Total) U.S. Direct Hire Foreign National Direct Hire	28,062 18,738 2,617	24,914 16,561 2,296 18,857	25,050 16,570 2,323	24,017 15,862 2,185 18.047	136 9 27 36	-1,033 -708 -138 -846
Foreign National Indirect Hire.	6,707	6,057	6,157	5,970	100	-187
Military Workyears (Total) Officer Enlisted	153,541 16,975 136,566	138,931 14,948 123,983	133,936 14,507 119,429	131,616 14,192 117,424	-4,995 -441 -4,554	-2,320 -315 -2,005
Civilian Workyears (Total) U.S. Direct Hire Foreign National Direct Hire Total Direct Hire Foreign National Indirect Hire	27,735 18,765 1,754 20,519 7,216	26,010 17,077 2,460 19,537 6,473	24,996 16,578 2,311 18,889 6,107	24,561 16,231 2,267 18,498 6,063	-1,014 -499 -149 -648 -366	-435 -347 -44 -391

gathering, early warning, defensive training, evaluation, management oversight, weather, and air traffic control capabilities. These Description of Operations Financed: These programs provide global command, control, communications (C3), intelligence forces employ a wide range of assets to accomplish the myriad of missions included in six subactivity groups discussed below.

Global C3I & early warning includes resources that provide Strategic Offensive C3I, Strategic Defensive C3I, and Air Force Wide

Authority, Commander-in-Chief, US Strategic Command (CINCUSSTRATCOM), and operational commanders. More details on Strategic Offensive C3I and Computers (C4) assets comprise the medium by which interconnected airborne and ground based are fast, accurate, reliable, secure, resistant to destruction and disruption, and tailored to the needs of the National Command command centers execute commands for offensive strikes against opposing threats. This capability relies on systems which specific functions, capabilities, and assets follow.

Collocated with the NMCC are the National Military Intelligence Center (NMIC), the Joint Reconnaissance Center (JRC), and a Logistics Coordination Center. The NMCC, the hub of the Worldwide Military Command and Control System (WWMCCS), The National Military Command Center (NMCC) provides crisis management including modern graphic information displays provides the National Command Authority, through the JCS, a medium to direct US military forces anywhere in the world. The Center provides effective coordination and liaison with other US government agencies such as the White House and accommodations for expanded crisis watch personnel. It is the center of all Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) activity. Situation Room, the Department of State, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the National Security Agency.

radio sites, circuitry, and interfaces with military and commercial communications systems. The National Military Command System (NMCS) consists of equipment for emergency actions and contingency communication among the National Military and Joint Chiefs of Staff during all phases of peace and war. The NEACP maintains one team and aircraft on continuous (NMCS), provides a survivable command, control, and communications platform for the President, Secretary of Defense, other airborne command posts with the National Command Authority. The network consists of fixed and mobile ground alert status to fulfill its vital national level C3 mission. The NEACP worldwide network links NEACP, Air Force One, and The National Emergency Airborne Command Post (NEACP), a primary node of the National Military Command System (AFOSC), Major Command command centers, the White House, allies, and State Department operations worldwide. Command Center (NMCC), Commanders-in-Chief (CINC) Command Centers, Air Force Operations Support Center

C4 systems support CINCUSSTRATCOM's execution of US national security policy and military strategy through all levels of conflict and in any environment. Also included is the modernization effort to the USSTRATCOM unique portion of the

(SWPS) which supports development and analysis of the Single Integrated Operational Plan (SIOP), our nation's National WWWICCS, other modernization initiatives, and the automated data processing for the Strategic War Planning System

communication links for PACCS Ground Entry Points (GEPs); and technical support for current USSTRATCOM command command post which would assume command and control for various strategic elements in the event of war; survivable Command & Control System (PACCS) mission, five EC-135 "Looking Glass" aircraft that serve as an airborne alternate Other assets include the USSTRATCOM Airborne Command Post whose battlestaff crewmembers fly the Post Attack and control programs and improvements.

Warning System (BMEWS); the Sea Launched Ballistic Missile (SLBM) System; and the Over-the-Horizon Backscatter (OTH-B) surveillance and timely, reliable warning of enemy attack through employment of a worldwide network of assets such as: the Joint Surveillance System (JSS) long range radar sites; the North Warning System (NWS) radar; the North Atlantic Defense System (NADS); the Ballistic Missile Tactical Warning and Attack Assessment (TW/AA) System; the Ballistic Missile Early Strategic Defensive C3I supports the strategic defensive surveillance and tactical warning mission which provides radar

The Joint Surveillance System (JSS) provides command, control, and communications (C3) and air surveillance capability in survival decisions and timely defensive actions can be taken. There are 14 JSS sensor sites in Alaska, while in the CONUS detect, track, identify and intercept, if necessary, aircraft penetrating U.S. sovereign airspace. Control centers also receive Operations Control Center (ROCC). The SOCCs and ROCC together provide command and control of forces for airspace control and air defense against atmospheric attack. The ROCCs receive sensor data from long range radar (LRR) sites to (TW/AA), air sovereignty, and air defense requirements. The program consists of joint-use radars around the periphery of tactical warning information from the North Warning System (NWS) sites to provide warning of bomber attack so that support of North American Air Defense Command (NORAD) atmospheric Tactical Warning and Attack Assessmen the CONUS, 14 radars in Alaska, four Sector Operations Control Centers (SOCCs) in the CONUS, and a Regional there are 45 JSS sensor sites (43 joint FAA-USAF sites and 2 USAF sites).

radars. The new network, known as the North Warning System (NWS), consists of 15 Minimally Attended Radars (MARs) and 39 gap filler Unattended Radars (UARs). The program is jointly funded on a 60/40 (US/Canada) basis, with the US In 1985, the US and Canada agreed to replace the aging Distant Early Warning (DEW) line with a network of 54 new providing the radar and Canada preparing the radar sites.

The North Atlantic Defense System (NADS) consists of four radar sensor sites in Iceland which are operated by the Air Force. NADS provides surveillance radar operations essential to the air defense of Iceland and Southern Canada.

(SSN). The Ballistic Missile Tactical Warning and Attack Assessment (TW/AA) System provides end-to-end integration and The Ballistic Missile Early Warning System (BMEWS) provides warning of a limited or mass intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) attack against North America, the United Kingdom, and Europe, or a Sea Launched Ballistic Missile (SLBM) attack within the system's geographical coverage. BMEWS provides attack assessment data to the North American Aerospace Command Authorities. BMEWS also provides satellite detection and tracking data to the Space Surveillance Network Defense Command, the Unified Space Command, Air Force Space Command, Strategic Command, and the National support to the entire ballistic missile warning network to include sensors and communications, command, and control

North America through two radar systems: the Phased Array Radar Systems (PAVE PAWS) and the Perimeter Acquisition The SLBM Radar Warning System, which consists of five sites, detects and provides warning of an SLBM attack against Radar Attack Characterization System (PARCS). PAVE PAWS sites are located at Cape Cod AFS, MA; Beale AFB, CA; Eldorado AFS, TX; and Robins AFB, GA. The PARCS site is at Cavalier AFS, ND. The SLBM system compliments the Defense Support Program (DSP) by covering threat areas with a second detection phenomenology. The Over-the-Horizon Backscatter (OTH-B) Radar provides long range (500 to 2000 NM), wide area, all altitude surveillance radar system and a West Coast radar system. Each radar system consists of three 60 degree radar segments that provide 180 degree coverage of the east and west coasts, respectively. In FY 1995, both the East Coast and West Coast systems and tactical warning of aircraft approaching North America. It is comprised of two bi-static radar systems; an East Coast are in warm storage.

numbers are classified), fixed and mobile ground stations, and a ground network. Five operational ground systems support The Defense Support Program (DSP) is a highly survivable and reliable satellite-borne surveillance system which provides weapons to the National Command Authorities. This system consists of a constellation of satellites (operational satellite ballistic missile early warning and other information related to missile launches, surveillance, and detonation of nuclear satellite operations worldwide.

The Nuclear Detection System (NUDET) program integrates two Integrated Correlation and Display Systems (ICADS) into specified locations and interfaces with the NMCC and the Alternate National Military Command Center (ANMCC)

allies, and Unified and Specified Commanders-in-Chief (CINCs). The program includes the existing 427M computer system SPACETRACK and Space Defense Operations Center missions. Another Cheyenne Mountain-based program, the TW//AA rw/AA Interface Network provides data and voice communications that link ballistic missile sensor systems to command centers supporting CINCNORAD, USCINCSPACE, CINCSTRAT, and the National Command Authorities. These circuits system delivers timely, unambiguous, and accurate integrated TW/AA information to the National Command Authorities, Headquarters (CMAH). The CMAH is a series of ground transportable "trailers" to support the battlestaff function. The Mountain, as well as the Air Force Space Command suite of equipment for the Commander-in-Chief Mobile Alternate and the Cheyenne Mountain Upgrade (CMU), which is a program to upgrade and/or replace systems in Cheyenne The Space Defense Interface Network connects Cheyenne Mountain AFB to worldwide sensor sites supporting provide data necessary to make force management and force survival decisions.

Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS) consolidated into a single program office. Independent program offices these programs will provide a single acquisition face to the user/operator and synergism in common management subtasks such as component development, system engineering, launch processing, and on-orbit testing. The MILSATCOM program will be able to first sustain current capability and then modernize the MILSATCOM infrastructure on a system-of-systems basis. Also supported in this area is the World Wide Military Command & Control System (WWMCCS), an interconnecting of these two systems resulted in little program management cross flow for these inter-related systems. Consolidation of facilities and activation of the Milstar Mission Control Segment required for system operations. In FY 1994, Milstar and communications during pre-attack, trans-attack, and post-attack periods. It provides satellite communications terminal Air Force Wide Communications programs support development of a survivable satellite system for worldwide C2 command and control system.

Navigation programs encompass navigation and control systems common to the DoD mission but not provided by the FAA and ensures safe, orderly, and expeditious aerospace vehicle movements worldwide. Weather programs provide environmental reporting/monitoring worldwide to varied military users.

Enhancement (R-2508) in restricted airspace over the Mojave Desert. Examples of assets supported and initiatives include the and control systems in the following major functional areas: enroute and terminal navigation, approach and landing, air traffic LORAN-C/D equipment in PACAF and USAFE and integration of new radar and communications equipment into the R-2508 ground facilities and equipment with associated avionics, personnel and procedures. The program encompasses navigation The Air Force primary navigation system, Air Traffic Control, Approach and Landing System (ATCALS), combines Air Force control communications and air traffic control simulators. It also provides selected support for the Air Traffic Control lest range complex in the upper Mojave Desert.



Space Forecast Center. The weather support system also provides a space environmental observation and forecast capability required to continuously monitor solar activity and assess its potential impact on military space systems, communications, and The Air Force weather and space environmental support system provides environmental reporting/monitoring services at over services of the Air Force Global Weather Central, the USAF Environmental Technical Applications Center, and the Air Force 200 locations to the Air Force, Army, USFORSCOM, seven unified Commands and other agencies. It assures general and communications connectivity supporting Air Force, Army, all unified commands, two specified commands and other non-Air specialized support required by Air Force and Army units deployed worldwide. It also provides for the centralized support strategic defense systems. Weather/Notices to Airmen (NOTAM) communications includes all weather and NOTAM

Other combat operations support programs cover diverse and essential services to primary combat units to ensure that personnel and equipment assigned to combat units are mission capable and ready. Some of the mission responsibilities of these programs are outlined below.

and defensive training. They develop, administer, standardize, and evaluate unit level readiness and the capability of flying and Strategic Aerospace Intelligence Activity provides unit level intelligence support to aircrews on target selection, weaponeering, capabilities and limitations of electronic sensors, testing, evaluation and commissioning certification of long-range radar. The target and penetration analysis, countertactics, and countermeasures. The defensive training program consists of supporting full scale and subscale drones/aerial targets for the air-to-air weapons evaluation program; fighter weapons instructor course; capability of radar bomb scoring, electronic countermeasures, ground directed bombing sites, unit level intelligence activities, missile firing program; Development, Testing and Evaluation (DT&E) and Operational Testing and Evaluation (OT&E) test nuclear missile activities and technical order data for new and existing missile systems. They also cover the evaluation of The combat air intelligence system, combat developments, and electronic combat intelligence programs monitor overall programs; as well as the "William Tell" fighter pilot interceptor competition.

The civil engineer squadron provides the capability to maintain highly skilled, self sufficient mobile combat engineering forces capable of rapid deployment to support initial and sustained worldwide contingencies. They perform rapid repair to damaged runways, structural facilities, and fuel storage and delivery systems. They construct necessary aircraft shelters, ammunition storage facilities, and other miscellaneous operational support structures.

control follow-on test, evaluation, and tactics development; manage training device acquisition and modification; and providing Mission evaluation activities provide expertise to enhance capabilities and employment of weapons systems; manage and support to the weapons system evaluation program to maximum aircrew training and evaluation of its effectiveness. The Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities (TENCAP) uses national intelligence systems to provide intelligence support to objective is to improve national systems support for combat operations. Specifically, TENCAP educates warfighters about tactical command and control and other tactical force elements. TENCAP is a congressionally mandated program whose national systems, advocates tactical missions for new national systems, and exploits tactical use of existing systems.

classified programs; support for security police forces charged with defending Air Force bases in conjunction with US Army and host nation forces; providing defense equipment and materials to enable performance of duties in environments threatened by Remaining functions in Combat Operation Support span a wide range of specialized, diverse functions to support/protect our chemical and biological weapons; providing resources to reduce vulnerability to terrorist attack; and the capability to employ fighting forces worldwide. They include programs such as aircraft delivery, responsible for worldwide ferrying of aircraft; deception in support of combat operations.

provides a tangible demonstration of U.S. resolve and a joint readiness capability to project military presence anywhere in the world in support of national interests and commitments to U.S. allies. Joint training with allies provides the necessary interaction to test/evaluate combined systems, lines of communication, technical agreements, and interoperability. The program funds exercise JCS exercises provide realistic training required to effectively employ joint combat forces to meet contingencies worldwide. It planning and participation.

supported include US Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) at Offutt Air Force Base, the NORAD Combat Operations Staff located at Cheyenne Mountain Air Force Base, US Central Command (USCENTCOM) at MacDill Air Force Base, and some elements of Air optimum combat capability, readiness, and morale of the combat and combat support forces assigned. The various headquarters Management headquarters fulfills the leadership, planning, policy formulation, and administrative functions essential to ensure Combat Command at Langley, Beale, Barksdale, and Vandenberg Air Force Bases.

Tactical Intelligence & Special Activities are classified. Details will be provided upon request.

## II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Air Force World Wide Military Command & Control System (WWMCCS) Sites	13	13	13	13
National Emergency Airborne Command Post (NEACP) Ground Entry Points	18	18	18	18
National Military Command System (NMCS) Sites	-	~	~	~
Joint Surveillance System (JSS)	29	59	59	59
Region & Sector Operational Control Centers (ROCCs and SOCCs)	7	7	σ	S
North Warning System	35	54	54	54
North Atlantic Defense System (NADS)	4	4	4	4
Surveillance Radars - North Atlantic Defense System (NADS)	4	4	4	4
Ballistic Missile Early Warning System Sites (BMEWS)	ო	ო	က	ო
Sea Launched Ballistic Missile Radar Warning Sites (SLBM)	ĸ	ro	ည	ល

## II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Over-The-Horizon Radar System - Sectors plus Software Maintenance Facility (Limited Operations & Caretaker)	ო	ო	ო	ო
NORAD Cheyenne Mountain Complex	~	-	~	~
Tactical Warning/Attack Assessment (TW/AA) Sites	13	43	1	7
Air Force Satellite Communication (AFSATCOM) Network Operations	7	7	7	7

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS

## III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

A. Subactivity Groups  Global C3l & Early Warning  Global C3l & Early Warning  Navigation/Weather Support  Other Combat Operations Support.  JCS Exercises  Mgt Operational Headquarters  Tactical Intelligence & Special Activities  Total  Baseline Funding  Undistributed Congressional Reductions  Price Change  Functional Transfer  Program Changes  -81,530,042  -43,27  -81,446,4	117 118 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119	\$757,134 138,501 222,618 41,265 106,417 180,564 \$1,446,499	Current Request \$704,620 121,423 215,425 38,265 130,135 185,712 \$1,395,580 Change FY 1995/1996 \$1,395,580 0 15,994 25,627	\$826,526 128,374 210,481 41,793 111,914 190,613 \$1,509,701	\$817,908 125,082 204,939 39,169 108,849 201,158 \$1,497,105 Change FY 1996/1997 \$1,509,701 \$1,509,701 \$1,509,701
---	---	--	--	--	---

Thousands):
63
ss and Decreases (\$ in Thousands
5
ä
n of Increases
5
C. Reconciliation o
ပ

1. FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Reductions)a. Undistributed Congressional Adjustments	ns)	•	\$-43,273	\$1,446,499
<ol> <li>Information Technology</li> <li>Classified - North Warning</li> <li>Civilian Personnel Drawdown</li> <li>Contracting &amp; Consulting</li> <li>Pay Raise</li> <li>Federal Workforce Restructure</li> </ol>	0,0,	\$-18,549 \$-18,000 \$-6,082 \$-1,803 \$+726 \$+435		
Revised Appropriated Amount		•		\$1,403,226
Price Change		•		\$+250
Functional Program Transfers		•		\$+197
Transfers In			\$+450	
In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented in FY 1995, Malmstrom AFB transferred from Air Mobility Command to Air Force Space Command. Initially, only civilian end-strengths and their associated costs transferred. This funding adjustment completes the Malmstrom AFB transfer by moving the remaining mission support programs from Activity Group: Mobility Operations, to Activity Group: Combat Related Operations.	ëë	\$+450		
Transfer Out			\$-253	

\$-253

1) Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center (AFOTEC)......

\$+26,069

## O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS

In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions, personnel assigned to Air Intelligence Agency's operating location at Kirtland AFB, NM have been realigned to the Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center at Kirtland AFB, NM. The transfer includes associated support costs for two military and three civilians.

Program Increases..... r.

Strategic Defensive C3I - Ground Based (FY 1995 Base, \$338,830)...... (estimated in FY 1998), funding is needed to maintain old systems as well as increase supports the NORAD Cheyenne Mountain Complex (NCMC) space, bring upgraded mission subsystems on line. Until the upgrade is complete TW//AA) missions. The Cheyenne Mountain Upgrade (CMU) continues to ballistic missile, and atmospheric tactical warning/attack assessment system-to-system integration of the upgrades. (\$+12,062) ส่

Radar communications to support the NORAD surveillance mission increased due to the US assuming responsibility for a portion of the Canadian satellite communication functions. The initial high cost is to operate two separate satellite connections since the Canadian system is configured differently than the US system. (\$+6,802)

Also, restores funding for two Sea Launched Ballistic Missile (SLBM) Radar Warning sites. (\$+7,205)

cabling requirements for implementing a Local Area Network (LAN) at various Headquarters and Major Commands. This new state of the art in Funds one-time local and central buy of computers, commercial software and Management/ Operational Headquarters (FY 1995 Base \$104,156)...... activations, unit conversions and mission beddowns that were not previously headquarters and wing units. This increase also covers the cost of site communications lends itself to the overall operating efficiencies at the programmed in FY 1995. ف

\$+22,095

	ပ	Classified Programs	\$+5,574
	ਰਂ	Flying Hour Consumption Changes	\$+4,088
	o o	Average Salary Increases. Civilian pay requirements are higher due to average salary increases experienced as the workforce size decreases and higher salary employees are retained.	\$+298
ø.	Progr	Program Decreases	
	ळं	Strategic Defensive C3I - Ground Based (FY 1995 Base, \$338,830)	\$-23,986
		Contractor support for the Iceland Air Defense System (IADS) scheduled to move software and maintenance personnel to Iceland in FY 1995 has been postponed until FY 1996 due to software delays and changes. (\$-6,182)	
	Ω	Strategic Defensive C3I (FY 1995 Base, \$421,691)	\$-19,786

\$-66,217

(Alert) system due to uncertainties involving dedicated communication lines; and 2) fewer reliability and maintainability studies in support of the mobile

ground systems. (\$-10,026)

Funds decrease for programmed depot maintenance on Post Attack Command and Control (PACCS) EC-135 aircraft due to fewer engines needing overhaul and repair. In addition, scheduled depot maintenance to paint an E-4B aircraft will not occur this year. (\$-6,408)

Due to a reprioritization of requirements, the Strategic War Planning System (SWPS) database modernization and development and USSTRATCOM war gaming center was deferred. (\$-3,352)

Air Traffic Control, Approach, and Landing System (ATCALS) ...... anticipation of equipment replacement under the National Airspace (NAS) Funding decreases as ATCALS defers repairs and modifications in (FY 1995 Base, \$43,355) program.

ပ

\$-11,086

\$-6,154

- replaced by the Global Command and Control System (GCCS). Also reflects Air Force Wide Communications (FY 1995 Base, \$90,448) ..... Reduced level of effort in support of the operations and sustainment of the World Wide Military Command and Control system (WWMCCS) sites until decrease in Milstar depot support due to a slip in space control segment terminal deliveries. ö
- Cope Thunder is an Air Force training exercise funded in the Air Operations Combat Related Operations Activity Group. This realigns the funds into the Activity Group. However, during the appropriation process, these dollars were incorrectly appropriated with Joint Chief of Staff exercise funding in Activity Group Air Operations. œ.
- Combat Operations Support (FY 1995 Base \$45,980)......

8-3,000

8-1,648

## BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

The requirements for supplies, equipment, communications costs, automatic data processing equipment rentals and maintenance, and other operational

		con	requirements have declined as a result of force drawdowns and subsequent consolidation of activities.		
	ත්	Spa Dec in F	Space Warfare Center (SWC) Standup (FY 1995 Base \$20,110)	\$-557	
7.	FY 1	1995 C	FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$1,395,580
ω.		e Grov	Price Growth		\$+15,994
တ်		ctional	Functional Program Transfers		\$+25,627
	તાં		Transfers In	\$+40,529	
		<del>_</del>	Cobra Judy		·
		5	Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change		
		3)	Classified Programs		
		4	Military to Civilian Conversion\$+480		

Military manpower not identified to perform a direct combat role, provide direct combat support, deploy overseas or perform military mandated work that has been converted to civilian positions.

في

	Transfer Out			\$-14,902
	<ol> <li>Joint Spectrum Center (JSC)</li> <li>To ensure interoperability of the Services communications-electronic equipment, the operations and funding of JSC transfers from the Air Force to the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA). (Defense-wide appropriation)</li> </ol>	rum Center (JSC) nteroperability of the Services communications-electronics the operations and funding of JSC transfers from the Air Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA). (Defense-	\$-5,411	
. 4	<ol> <li>1st Air Force Transfer</li></ol>	mission to the Air National Guard,	\$-4,335	
**	3) Tactical Reconnaissance Imagery Exploitation (FY 1995 Base \$2,652) Under DoD efforts to consolidate similar functions, the Tactical Exploitation program is realigned under the Defense Airborne Reconnaissance Organization in Activity Group Air Operations.	Inditation (FY 1995 Base \$2,652) ar functions, the Tactical ar the Defense Airborne ity Group Air Operations.	\$-2,652	
•	4) Classified Programs		\$-2,299	
~/	<ol> <li>Contract ATCALS Maintenance</li> <li>Transfers funding for contract maintenance on Tactical Air Navigation (TACAN) systems at Portland International Airport and Otis ANG base to the Air National Guard.</li> </ol>	iance on Tactical Air Navigation ional Airport and Otis ANG base to	\$-115	
	6) AFCOS Weather Transfer	eather Transfer	06-\$	

## ACTIVITY GROUP: COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES

realigned from Activity Group: Combat Related Operations to Activity Group: Servicewide Activities Supports two new Nuclear Detonation Detection System (NDS) projects. The Strategic Defensive C3I - Space Based Systems (FY 1995 Base, \$340,734)... directly to strategic and tactical users. The second project funds a new first supports mobile ground terminals which provide NDS mission data facility needed to test and calibrate the NDS sensors in the operational constellation. (\$+3,474) તાં

ncrease represents the establishment of contract support operations for the software support personnel and equipment to Iceland and contracting for celand Air Defense System (IADS). Costs include moving contracted additional hardware maintenance. (\$+3,779) The FY 1995 Appropriation Act transferred \$32.5 million to the Research and obtains a policy decision on which appropriation should fund the projects. In addition, an increase in funds is necessary to support dual operations at the Center Processing and Display System Replacement (CCPDS-R), and the Development (R&D) appropriation based on the assumption that the O&M Survivable Communications Integration System (SCIS), the Command NCMC. Due to the addition of operational mission strings such as the Mountain. Outyear funds are in the O&M account while the Air Force Alternate Processing and Correlation Center (APCC) missile warning. funding was supporting developmental software efforts at Cheyenne (\$+44,369)

accrued a debt to Canada due to improperly aligned functions. Funds were The North Warning System costs are shared (60/40) between the US and Canada based on the allocation of functions performed. The US has

\$+122,932

increased to repay the NWS debt to Canada over the next several years in addition to covering our annual share of operating costs. (+12,690)

Funds are required for Ballistic Missile Early Waming System (BMEWS) maintenance. Additional funding is needed for contractor sustainment of 1960's technology at sites. (+3,401)

Classified Programs ....... <u>ن</u>

\$+16,152

\$+12,147

Strategic Offensive C3I (FY1995 Base, \$206,757)...... rom 18 months to 6; full implementation of B2 bomber and Advanced Cruise Planning System (SWPS). Efforts include reducing the planning process Missile flight characteristics into the planning process; and providing the Funding supports a phased modernization effort for the Strategic War capability to integrate theater nuclear forces in the planning process. (+10,658)ပ

Increased funding to the National Airborne Operations Center (NAOC) to support anticipated travel increases during a Presidential election campaign year. This system supports Presidential and Vice Presidential air-to-ground communications connectivity. (+1,489)

- Air Force Wide Communications Space Based (FY 1995 Base, \$84,294)..... successfully launched in FY 1994, and work has accelerated to update and and ground segments and must be updated as new elements come on line. Facility. These databases contain information on all aspects of the space Funding is for the continued fielding of additional support systems for the maintain software associated with databases for the Operations Support Milstar satellite communications system. The first Milstar satellite was ਰਂ
- Weather Services (FY 1995 Base, \$66,884)..... Increase funds contractor logistics support for the Automated Weather Distribution System (AWDS). FY 1996 is the first year of support to ø.

\$+10,269

\$+4,609

upgraded processors and remote briefing capability terminals which are vital to meeting warfighter requirements for timely, accurate weather data. Additional software development is also planned for the Space Environmental Technical Transition (SETT) program to integrate near-earth space environmental data into the AF Space Forecast Center's models.

- JCS Exercises (FY 1995 Base, \$29,265) ........ increased emphasis on maintaining readiness during the DoD drawdown, in number of major CINC exercises supported. As force structure draw downs have also normalized the size and number of the annual exercises resulting conjunction with expanding military missions, resulted in an increase to the presence requirements. This is causing exercise costs to rise. The CINCs deployment of CONUS based forces and equipment to meet training and scope of CINC exercises. The stand-up of USACOM has increased the continue, the requirement to maintain in-theater readiness demands n an even, more stable program. تب
- weapons to accomplish a specific Air Base Defense task, i.e., a mortar UTC, a machine-gun UTC, a 44 person perimeter defense UTC, a military working equired to support the capability to fight two MRCs virtually simultaneously. A UTC is a specific grouping of security police personnel, equipment, and This increase provides startup costs for 59 new unit type codes (UTC) Air Base Defense (FY 1995 Base \$11,167)..... dog UTC, and twelve other UTCs. 0
- Air Traffic Control, Approach, and Landing System (ATCALS) (FY 1995 Base, \$30,778) will not occur until the end of FY 1996. This necessitates additional funds for and instrument landing systems are expected to experience increased parts Due to a replacement program slippage, projected equipment replacements increased system sustainment costs. Subsystems such as radar displays failure as they edge towards the end of their planned life cycles. خ
- Chemical/Biological Defense Program (FY 1995 Base \$5,611) .....

\$+2,813

+2,275

3+1.773

beyond the serviceability expiration date or are rapidly approaching that date. consequently will require replacement at a much reduced rate in future years. overgarments. These assets are required to provide warfighters protection Currently fielded assets have a shorter shelf life and US Army testing to support shelf life extensions is no longer available. The vast majority of currently fielded assets are in excess of seven years old and are either ncrease is to acquire new generation chemical protective battle dress The newer generation of protective suits carries a longer shelf life and against the presence of toxic chemical and biological warfare agents.

- implementation at various Major Commands. Included are computer buys, Local Area Network (LAN) (FY1995 Base \$826)..... Reflects additional funding requirements for completion of the LAN commercial software, and cabling requirements.
- communications and computer infrastructure in Korea. Funding ensures that and logistics systems; improve communications and intelligence capabilities; vital command and control requirements, (i.e., upgrade command, control, and modernize base communications support equipment) are satisfied Korean Command, Control and Communications (C2) Enhancement Provides a more robust, survivable, and capable command, control (FY 1995 Base \$0) ..... ند

\$+695

\$+826

- One Additional Workday..... There are 261 compensable calendar workdays in FY 1996 versus 260 days in FY1995
- installation at the various headquarters and Major Commands as well as non-Management Headquarters (FY 1995 Base: \$130,135)..... Reduction reflects the one-time FY 1995 Local Area Network (LAN) recurring site activations, unit conversions and mission beddowns.

\$-50,432

# O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES

\$-14,526

ن

ပ

\$-7,597

ACTIVITY GROUP: COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS
Classified Programs
Strategic Defensive C3I - Ground Based Systems (FY 1995 Base, \$340,734) Decrease due to placing two Sea Launched Ballistic Missile sites (Robins and El Dorado) in non-operational caretaker status (essentially shutting down the radars but retaining power and utilities). Caretaker status was deemed acceptable based on a changed threat environment and a post cold war era review of missile warning requirements. (\$-4,377)
In addition to transferring a portion of the Joint Surveillance System (JSS) program to the ANG in FY 1996, the replacement with newer radars results in reduced maintenance and repair costs. (\$-3,220)
Civilian End Strength Reductions
Combat Operations Support (FY 1995 Base, \$80,414)
Burden Sharing (FY 1995 Base: \$0)

ö

\$-4,869

\$-3,982

ö

\$-1,011

civilian personnel and other expenses, thereby reducing the cost for stationing US troops overseas.

12. FY 19	12. FY 1996 Budget Request		\$1,509,701
13. Price	13. Price Growth		\$+38,929
14. Funci	14. Functional Program Transfers		\$-2,750
ત્યં	Transfer Out	\$-2,750	
	<ol> <li>1st Air Force Transfer</li></ol>		
15. Progr	15. Program Increases		\$+20,481
ત્તં	Classified Programs	\$+13,134	
۵	Air Force Wide Communications - Space Based (FY 1996 Base, \$62,707) Milstar satellite communications increases are occurring due to the ever increasing size of the databases as satellites are launched and become operational and as Extremely High Frequency (EHF) terminals are fielded.	\$+7,347	
16. Progr	16. Program Decreases		\$-69,256
ત્યં	Strategic Defensive C3I - Ground Based Systems (FY 1996 Base, \$400,014)  Dual operations at NCMC will decrease. While the Cheyenne Mountain Upgrade (CMU) still continues in earnest, some old mission strings will be brought off line as the upgraded subsystems are fully integrated, tested, and accepted. (\$-12,130)	\$-22,043	

## ACTIVITY GROUP: COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES

Significant savings are achieved in the area of radar surveillance systems due to proposed closures and warm storage of some Alaskan radars, maintenance savings on newer radars, and cost reduction initiatives. (\$-7,422)

surveillance missions to a single long-haul communications system. (\$-1,164) Requirements decline due to the migration of US and Canadian NORAD

due to the planned demolition of several buildings at Thule, operations costs support is expected to decrease for this Ballistic Missile Early Warning Site (BMEWS). The Greenland contract is based on total square footage, but As a result of a Thule AB consolidation, contractor operated installation will be reduced.(\$-1,327)

contracts (\$-1,366); Combat Air Intelligence System Activities; (\$-3,003); and Development range and test deployment costs (\$-2,967); Air Base Defense Reflects reduced Combat Operational Support requirements to include: Chemical/Biological Defense Program requirements (\$-1,679); Combat Combat Operations Support..... Engineering Installation Support costs (\$-2,365). نے

Classified Programs ..... ပ

Strategic Offensive C3I - Airborne Systems (FY 1996 Base, \$93,708) ...... Since the PACCS EC-135 aircraft retire from their nuclear role in FY 1998, the Air Force will cancel the Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) testing of its communications systems. (\$-2,163) ਰਂ

Center (NAOC) due to anticipated reductions in Presidential and senior Decrease in communications costs for the National Airborne Operation leadership travel after the election year. (-2,897)

\$-11,380

\$-8,434

\$-6,919

#### \$1,497,105

## O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS

SWPS program costs decline as modernization projects improve the efficiency of our planning system. Completion of phase one of the aircraft planning systems and the modernization of the missile application software are expected in FY 1996. (-1,859)

began in FY 1992 to replace obsolete equipment, ended in FY 1996. This decrease reflects reduced funding needs as a result of termination of the The Satellite Data Handling System Upgrade (SDHSU) program, which Weather Services (FY 1996 Base, \$93,880)..... upgrade phase. ø.

\$-6,614

\$-5,569

- Management/Operational Headquarters (FY 1996 Base: \$79,813)..... The continued drawdown in forces combined with the Air Force restructure is reducing the size and requirements of operational and management headquarters. Associated support costs decrease as a result of this drawdown. (52 civilians)
- Air Force Wide Communications Ground Based (FY 1996 Base, \$32,743) ... First year maintenance and installation costs of the Air Force Command and Control Network (AFC2N) are lower to correspond to the associated equipment procurement profile. Ö
- JCS Exercises (FY 1996 Base, \$41,793) ....... stabilizes. Additionally, the scope of several exercises will be reduced this The funding level decreases in FY 1996 as the stand-up of USACOM خ
- Implementation of DoD Civilian Resource Guidance..... This action reflects the latest directions from the Congress and the Secretary accelerates and increases previously programmed civilian reductions. of Defense concerning civilian resource management. This action

\$-602

-2,938

\$-4,757

17, FY 1997 Budget Request.....

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:				1
Joint Surveillance System (JSS)	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY199/
CONUS	45 14	45 14	45	45
Region Operating Control Centers (ROCCs)				
CONUSAlaskalceland	~ <del>~</del> ~	₩ ₩	~~~	
Sector Operating Control Centers (SOCCs)				
CONUS	4	4	ო	2
North Warning System				
Minimally Attended Radars (MARs)	15 20	15 39	15 39	39
North Atlantic Defense System (NADS)				
Minimally Attended Radars (MARs)	4	4	4	4
Over-The-Horizon Radar System				
East Coast Sector (Limited Ops)	~~~	~ ~ ~		

## IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Weather Indicators				
Major Systems (Fixed)	212 1,322 1,035	203 1,278 1,150 94	203 1,318 1,422 99	203 1,310 1,721 116
Air Traffic Control Indicators				
Radar Navigation Aids (NAVAIDS): Airport Surveillance Radar (ASR)	58	53 53	49	49
Non-Radar Navigation Aids (NAVAIDS): Instrument Landing Systems	167	155	145	145
Aircraft/Flying Hours				
PACCS/WWABNCP EC-135 AircraftFlying Hours	3,734	7 6,297	7,964	7,876
NEACP E-4B Aircraft Flying Hours	1,590	1,920	3 1,920	3 1,920
C-135 Aircraft	-	+	۲	~

Flying Hours	622	490	490	490
Combat Development Aircraft Flying Hours	50 15,341	50 14,795	46 13,971	45 13,560
EC-135 Aircraft Flying Hours	1 678	740	740	740

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDEN I'S BUDGE!	BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES	ACTIVITY GROUP: COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS	

V. Personnel Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	Change FY 1995/1996	Change FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total)	31,164	30.650	29.293	28,561	-1,357	-732
Officer	7.618	7,168	6,551	6,282	-617	-269
Enlisted	23,546	23,482	22,742	22,279	-740	-463
Civilian End Strength (Total)	3.620	3.890	3,675	3,623	-215	-52
U.S. Direct Hire	3,526	3,814	3,610	3,558	-204	-52
Foreign National Direct Hire	45	44	33	33	-11	
Total Direct Hire	3,571	3,858	3,643	3,591	-215	-52
Foreign National Indirect Hire	49	32	32	32		
Military Workvears (Total)	32,127	31,325	30,151	29,200	-1,174	-951
Officer	7,864	7,400	6,942	6,538	-458	-404
Enlisted	24,263	23,925	23,209	22,662	-716	-547
Civilian Workvears (Total)	3,759	3,914	3,725	3,649	-189	-76
U.S. Direct Hire	3,613	3,810	3,655	3,584	-155	-71
Foreign National Direct Hire	37	40	38	33	Ç	ၾ
Total Direct Hire	3,650	3,850	3,693	3,617	-157	9/-
Foreign National Indirect Hire	109	64	32	32	-32	

data gathered by satellite constellations. Also included is the infrastructure support for the manpower and facilities used to execute l. Description of Operations Financed: Space Operations Forces provide for the launch of payloads into various earth orbits; the command, control and communication for these space based platforms; and a worldwide network of sites and terminals to relay

sites, optical trackers, command destruct transmitters, range safety display systems, Range Operations Control Centers (ROCCs) consist of: the instrumentation required to support launches and test missions; control centers used to direct the operations; and operations necessary to ensure successful space launches, contract range activities necessary to support launch of operational Space and Missile Center (WSMC)) and the 45th Space Wing (SW) (previously the Eastern Space and Missile Center (ESMC)) communications required to tie it all together. Examples of range infrastructure components include radars, telemetry receiving space vehicles and payloads, and contract activities necessary to operate and maintain range systems. The Center Technical Launch facilities at Vandenberg AFB, CA and Cape Canaveral AFS, FL are the only US space ports for launches of sensitive and data links. The ranges are also responsible for maintaining facilities critical to the launch mission such as heating and air national high priority DoD satellite/space systems. The launch ranges of the 30th Space Wing (SW) (previously the Western conditioning systems, fire protection/detection systems, and corrosion control. Also included are contract payload/vehicle Services Contract (CTSC) is the primary contract that provides the critical space operations support.

evaluation. Direct mission support funding includes contracts for data processing services, flight safety analysis, system safety includes 10 precision tracking radars, one area surveillance radar, three major telemetry receiving stations, a centralized data unique capability of conducting space launches for placing satellites in polar orbits. It also has the unique capability of testing analysis, the Aerospace Engineering contract and the Unconventional Propellant Operations contract at the Western Range communications network. Tracking instrumentation belonging to Army, Navy and Air Force activities is used to complete the tracking instrumentation network necessary for supporting missile flight safety and acquisition of missile, space booster, and Operating Area, operated by the 30th SW, provides a unique corridor for aircraft and cruise missile performance testing and satellite/spacecraft performance parameters for space and missile activities at Vandenberg AFB. The West Coast Offshore The 30th SW's geographic test area extends from the coast of California (at Vandenberg AFB) to the Indian Ocean with the reduction capability, four major optical tracking systems, five missile flight termination transmitter systems, and a worldwide Anderson Peak, and Santa Ynez Peak), and in the Hawaiian Islands (Molakai, Kokee Park, and Oahu). Instrumentation instrumentation facilities are located on Vandenberg AFB, at several locations along the coast of California (Pillar Point, new and existing ballistic missiles using westerly trajectories--without over-flying populated land masses. Tracking

booster and satellite/spacecraft performance parameters. The 45th SW also operates airfields at Patrick AFB, Cape Canaveral surveillance radar, five telemetry receiving stations, a central data reduction capability, four major optical tracking systems, four The 45th SW's area of operations extends 10,000 miles east from the east coast of Florida to the Indian Ocean to support pad Spacecraft Processing and Integration Facility (SPIF). The services required to operate and maintain the SPIF include design, general purpose range instrumentation tracking ship (USNS Redstone). Tracking instrumentation belonging to NASA and Air Force activities completes the tracking network necessary for supporting missile flight safety and acquisition of missile, space space vehicle launches for placing satellites and space platforms in equatorial orbits. Tracking instrumentation facilities are modification, renovation, and repair to existing facilities, equipment maintenance/cleaning, clean room cleaning, hazardous ocated in Florida at Patrick AFB, Cape Canaveral AFS, Jonathan Dickinson State Park and offshore in Antigua, Ascension operations (Redstone) and independent verification and validation at the Eastern Range (ER). The 45th SW maintains the and sea launches of Navy and British Fleet Ballistic Missiles, Army Ballistic Missile launches, and manned and unmanned missile flight termination transmitter systems, precision impact scoring system, worldwide communications network and a AFS, and Ascension Island. Direct mission support funding includes photographic services, launch base support, ship sland and Pretoria, South Africa (caretaker status). Instrumentation includes 10 precision tracking radars, one area waste disposal, spacecraft processing operations, and security systems.

and IV programs provide consolidated launch and orbital support for operational DoD space programs. Atlas II, Titan IV, and Delta number of satellites on-orbit and their success and failure rates. In addition to operating costs, each booster program also funds vehicles, and Inertial Upper Stage (IUS) which is used in conjunction with the Titan IV and the Space Shuttle. MLVs and Titan II Vandenberg AFB, CA must satisfy the launch requirements of the DoD satellite programs. Requirements are a function of the Il space launch capability at Cape Canaveral AFS, FL and the Atlas-E, Delta II, Titan II and Titan IV space launch capability at Launch Vehicles for satellites include medium launch vehicles (MLVs) (Delta II, Atlas E, Atlas II), Titan II and Titan IV launch the integration of the booster to the payload.

The Titan IV program at Cape Canaveral AFS, FL and Vandenberg AFB, CA includes the O&M for Integrate-Transfer-Launch (ITL) facilities, space launch complexes, Launch Operation Control Centers (LOCC), and responsibilities for facility activation. The IUS program includes funds to validate flight software and to ensure satellites achieve their proper orbit.

Transportation System (STS). Various aspects of Shuttle activities include management of the integration and conceptual flight operations. Both the Shuttle and the Titan IV booster use the IUS to place military and NASA spacecraft in precise Earth orbits. The Space Shuttle program manages, coordinates, and integrates DoD Shuttle missions into the NASA National Space planning of Space Shuttle payloads and the operation and maintenance support of Shuttle mission planning and flight



communications network interfacing Onizuka AFB, California and Falcon AFB, Colorado with the Remote Tracking System (RTS) to Space Control Systems include the Satellite Control Network (SCN), the Air Force Space Test Center, and Consolidated Space communications system that links operators and users in control centers with Remote Tracking Station antennas and operators. provide telemetry, tracking, and controlling assigned DoD and NASA space programs as well as administrative switchboard Operation support includes control center activities to operate, maintain and manage the AFSCN, including an extensive communications, operations, and sustainment. SCN Communications provide an extensive mission and administrative Test Center (CSTC), and launch range control systems. AFSCN activities include the Satellite Control Network (SCN) systems, wire communications, launch communications, and radio frequency surveillance.

hardware/software installation and integration and the network communications connectivity. The AFSCN is a national resource tracking for all DoD operational, RDT&E and other supported space systems. Operations include pre-launch, launch, early-orbit early orbit support. A worldwide network of 9 remote tracking stations (RTS) with 16 antennas and two remote vehicle checkout and control; assured satellite telemetry reception of both payload mission and spacecraft bus data; and reliable on-orbit vehicle Communications System (FLTSATCOM), NATO communications satellites (NATO III/IV), DoD Shuttle missions and many other high priority RDT&E and national systems with over 50% of network utilization by classified programs. A timely and responsive Remote Tracking Stations (RTS), contractor operation of satellite command and control centers, maintenance/modification and Program (DMSP), Navstar Global Positioning System (GPS), Defense Satellite Communication System (DSCS), Fleet Satellite Consolidated Space Operations Center (CSOC), Falcon AFB, CO and one at Onizuka AFB, CA for space testing & launch and control capability for these programs is required to accomplish DoD space missions. The AFSCN program covers O&M of the upgrade of extensive direct mission operation software, sustaining activities such as network configuration management and AFSCN, operated by the 50th Space Wing (SW), is a worldwide satellite control network providing reliable satellite command Programs currently supported by the AFSCN include: Defense Support Program (DSP), Defense Meteorological Satellite facilities (RVCF) supports satellite operations. One RVCF is located at Cape Kennedy and one is at Vandenberg AFB. checkout and on-orbit command and control. The AFSCN consists of two principle command and control nodes, the used by multiple programs that operate from the network Mission Control Centers (MCCs) via the RTS.

Satellite Systems span a broad range of space support/missions including the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) and NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS)

command and control systems, ground-based satellite data processing equipment and tactical data readout terminals located DMSP provides global visible/infrared cloud data and other specialized meteorological, oceanographic and solar-geophysical non-DoD missions. DMSP has a nominal constellation of two operational satellites in sun-synchronous polar orbits, satellite data to support worldwide DoD strategic and tactical missions (Air Force, Navy, Army, and Marine Corps) as well as many

control, on-orbit analyses and daily command and control operations. Resources support the daily operation and maintenance locations. All ground and on-orbit software validation, verification, modification and maintenance are performed with these worldwide. Operation and Maintenance funds provide for contractor support to launch as well as early orbit command and of Air Force Space Command (AFSPC) satellite remote tracking sites, satellite operations centers and data processing

seven and one-half years. A large portion of the O&M funding supports GPS software. GPS software support is increasing due is used to launch the GPS satellites from Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, FL. The operational satellites have a design life of The Navstar GPS is a space-based radio navigation constellation of 24 satellites which provides 24-hour navigation information GPS satellites circle the globe every 12 hours, emitting continuous navigational signals. The Delta II expendable launch vehicle operations; continuous real-time information; support to an unlimited number of users and areas and support to civilian users. positioning, velocity and precise time; a worldwide common grid that is easily converted to any local grid; passive all-weather to meet the needs of US and allied military services and civil needs worldwide for extremely accurate three-dimensional to a large increase in the number of lines of code being maintained in this maturing system. Other O&M costs include maintenance, contractor logistics support, and funding for an interim backup mission control center.

Other Space Operations include Spacetrack, Engineering and Installation (E&I) services, and management/operational headquarters.

Supportability as well as the continued increase in the satellite and orbital debris populations, increased use of different launch trajectories, nonstandard orbits and geosynchronous altitudes necessitate upgrades to detection and tracking sensors to meet Spacetrack is a worldwide space surveillance network (SSN) of dedicated, collateral and contributing electro-optical, passive radio frequency (RF) and radar sensors. The SSN is tasked to provide space object cataloging and identification, satellite attack warning, timely notification to US forces of satellite flyover, space treaty monitoring and scientific and technical intelligence gathering. O&M funds provide support and maintenance of the many sensors that support this mission. existing requirements.

services to all "customer" commands on a reimbursable basis. E&I functions include programming, engineering, installation, Air Force Command, Control, Communications and Computer Agency (AFC4A) provides Engineering and Installation (E&I) facilities for the Air Force. AFC4A only directly funds manpower requirements for the E&I wartime force. Commands testing and acceptance of communications, command and control, meteorological and air traffic control systems and reimburse AFC4A for travel, per diem, materials, supplies and direct contracting support costs for their individual requirements.



ensure optimum combat capability, readiness and morale of the combat and combat support forces assigned to Air Force Management headquarters fulfills the leadership, planning, policy formulation, and administration functions essential to Space Command (AFSPC). It provides the day-to-day administrative command and control capability for the Air Force space community.

Base Support consists of real property services, environmental conservation, maintenance and repair, minor construction, base communication, base services and child development/family centers.

services between command activities. The same type of support services are provided to users through numerous host tenant System, red and black secure voice command and control systems, intrabase radio systems and meteorological and computer HQ Air Force Space Command (AFSPC) and HQ Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC) execute the base communications program resources to support administrative services such as switchboards, official toll calls, Federal Telecommunications and interservice support agreements.

Peterson AFB, Colorado, the Cheyenne Mountain complex, and other space tracking and support operations worldwide. It is a specialized security forces that guard our facilities and space systems. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, and workforce productivity and preserve our physical plant. The myriad of functions Base Support encompasses can complex structure fulfilling a broad range of critical readiness needs, from child care for family members to highly skilled and Base Support maintains our primary space systems launch, tracking, and recovery complexes, AFSPC Headquarters at generally be categorized as infrastructure or personnel support.

Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below.

Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction Installation Equipment Maintenance **Utility Systems Operation** 

Aircraft Maintenance Complexes Aircraft Runways of Real Property

Environmental Compliance Dormitories Roads

**Engineering Services** Fire Protection Crash Rescue

Refuse Collection Snow Removal

Custodial

Security Forces for Protection of Aircraft

Equipment Personnel Buildings

Air Base Operability

Explosive Ordnance Disposal Ground Transportation Operational Readiness Other Support

**Essential Data Processing Services** Base Communication Services Lease of Real Property

centers; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services Personnel support includes food and housing services for unaccompanied and deployed forces; child care and family support to Air Force personnel and their families.

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: SPACE OPERATIONS

## II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Operational Launch Pads: Eastern Range: Air ForceShuttle.	ა ი	ro 64	<i>1</i> 0 <i>0</i> 1	N 52
Western Range: Air Force	ю N	ო ←	ო ←	დ ←
Satellite Control Network (SCN):				
Antennas	9 2 2 2	9 2 7 2	9 2 7 2	16 2 7 2
Defense Support Program (DSP)	*	*	*	*
Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) Satellites in orbit.	8	8	α.	8
Defense Satellite Communications System (USCS) Satellites in orbit.	80	ω	ω	7
Global Positioning System (GPS) Satellites in orbit	24	24	24	24

<sup>\*</sup> Data is classified

## III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
	FY 1994	Budget		Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Subactivity Groups	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Request	Estimate	Estimate
Launch Facilities	\$219,593	\$275,346	\$275,346	\$259,526	\$254,590	\$252,787
Launch Vehicles	105,940	111,203	111,203	114,471	117,482	119,205
Space Control Systems	339,207	397,026	397,026	375,577	341,862	334,439
Satellite Systems	31,684	37,146	37,146	39,106	49,132	54,475
Other Space Operations	83,497	85,740	85,740	87,073	79,989	89,412
Base Support	351,915	348,385	346,385	366,050	402,589	442,979
Total	\$1,131,836	\$1,254,846	\$1,252,846	\$1,241,803	\$1,245,644	\$1,293,297
B. Reconciliation Summary:	,	Change		Change		Change
	II-1	FY 1995/1995	•	FY 1995/1996		FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding		\$1,252,846		\$1,241,803		\$1,245,644
Undistributed Congressional Funding		-\$8,033				
Price Change		250		27,473		36,621
Functional Transfer		-106		18,166		618
Program Changes		-3,154		-41,798		10,414
Current Estimate		\$1,241,803		\$1,245,644		\$1,293,297

# C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

	\$1,244,813	\$+250	\$-106			
				\$+2,857		
\$-5,361 \$-3,414 \$+620 \$+349 \$-227	•				\$+2,772	\$+85
<ol> <li>Civilian Personnel Drawdown</li> <li>Contracting and Consulting</li> <li>Civilian Pay Raise</li> <li>Federal Workforce Restructure</li> <li>Information Technology</li> </ol>			Functional Program Transfers	a. Transfers In	1) Pollution Prevention.  Effective FY 1995, pollution prevention funding is decentralized from Budget Activity: Administration and Servicewide Activities and spread throughout all Budget Activities. This transfer aligns funding to the primary Budget Activity and Subactivity Groups used by installation commanders for other base support programs. Realigning pollution program funding in the installation's primary budget activity will provide greater cost identification for the specific missions supported and increase commander's flexibility.	<ol> <li>Malmstrom AFB Transfer</li></ol>
	2	က	4			
	Civilian Personnel Drawdown	Civilian Personnel Drawdown  Contracting and Consulting  Civilian Pay Raise  Ederal Workforce Restructure  Information Technology  Appropriated Amount	1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown 2) Contracting and Consulting 3) Civilian Pay Raise	1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown \$-5,361 2) Contracting and Consulting \$-3,414 3) Civilian Pay Raise \$+620 4) Federal Workforce Restructure \$+349 5) Information Technology \$-227 Revised Appropriated Amount \$1,24 Price Change \$-1,24	1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown 2) Contracting and Consulting 3) Civilian Pay Raise 3) Civilian Pay Raise 4) Federal Workforce Restructure 5) Information Technology  Revised Appropriated Amount Price Change  a. Transfers In	1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown 2) Contracting and Consulting 3) Civilian Pay Raise 4) Federal Workforce Restructure 5) Information Technology.  Revised Appropriated Amount Price Change  a. Transfers In Budget Activity. Administration and Servicewide Activities and spread throughout all Budget Activities. This transfer aligns funding to the primary Budget Activity and Subactivity Groups used by installation commanders for other base support programs. Support programs prinary Budget Activity and Subactivity Groups used by installation commanders for other base support programs. Realigning pollution program funding in the installation's primary budget activity will provide greater cost identification for the specific missions supported and increase commander's flexibility.

Air Mobility Command to Air Force Space Command. At that time only civilian end-strengths and their associated costs transferred. This funding adjustment completes the Malmstrom AFB transfer by moving the remaining mission support programs from Activity Group: Mobility Operations, to Activity Group: Space Operations.

\$-2,963

Transfers Out

<u>ت</u>

	Collibat Halling Oduacion Hallston	
_	In conjunction with the Air Force consolidation of training functions, the	
	Combat Training Squadrons at Peterson and Falcon AFBs will transfer	
	from Air Force Space Command to Air Education and Training	
_	Command. The transfer includes instructors, contract support, other	
_	manpower support and supplies/equipment. The mission transfers from	
-	Activity Group: Space Operations to Activity Group: Basic Skills and	
-	Advanced Training.	

\$+42,050

ů.

\$+26,481

ε	Average Calant Increases (EV 1995 Base \$142 457)	
	Medium Launch Vehicle (MLV) Support (FY 1995 Base \$22,894)	

\$+8,000

million for one quarter of General Dynamic contract support and \$1.7 million or range contract support, security, and flight and ground safety

- support) to convert regulations, instructions, forms, and publications to CDincrease supports Information Management efforts (software and contract ROM and to provide Core Automated Maintenance System (CAMS) data Space Management Headquarters (FY 1995 Base \$14,566).... eporting capability command wide. ပ
- Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) (FY 1995 Base \$13,692) ... change to payload processing requirements at Vandenberg AFB, associated with the scheduled Titan II versus Atlas E launch in FY 1995, i.e., increase in ncrease in the Center Technical Services Contract (CTSC) is the result of a effort to consolidate DMSP C2 within the Air Force Satellite Control Network propellant cost. Also includes establishment of new communication lines to he Command and Control (C2) antenna at Vandenberg AFB (part of the ö

\$+2,093

\$+5,476

Program Decreases ...... Space Control Systems (FY 1995 Base \$395,164)...... Various changes in contractual and supply requirements account for the overall program decrease. ល់

တ

equipment upgrades (\$-500) in FY 1995; and 3) reduction in communicationthe Consolidated Space Operations Center (CSOC) and Automated Remote electronic spares based on operational experience following the turnover of Onizuka security contract was discontinued-government civilians versus contractors will now provide security support (\$-1,832); 2) software and database support to the Wing Command Post (WCP) declines due to The following AFSCN operations were downscoped or completed: 1) Fracking Stations (ARTS) (\$-7,792)

Page 106

hardware and software within the engineering services and modification Due to accepted procedural workarounds, the Satellite Control Facility deferred four validated system deficiencies in command and control contract (\$-4,657)

(operation, maintenance, and test support services) for Detachment 2, Space reflects a downscope in mission control requirements (orbit management, analysis, test and evaluation of space test programs) and logistic support Reduction within Air Force Space Test and Evaluation Center (AFSTEC) and Missile Systems Center (\$-2,680)

capitalizes inventories previously bought on local purchase contracts. In prior Launch Facility Contract Support (FY 1995 Base \$107,251)..... support for contractor operations at Vandenberg AFB. Supply normalization Federal Acquisition Regulation requirements and duplicated the functions of lears, contractor property management systems were designed to meet the Decrease in the Launch Services Contract is due to normalization of supply he Air Force Standard Base Supply System. Savings are realized in decreased contractor purchases. ف

\$-14,092

- maintenance and repair with austere funding. This decrease does not reflect Net decrease reflects the funding realignment from RPM to "must pay" Depot Real Property Maintenance Activities (FY 1995 Base \$106,369) ...... decrease also includes additive funding of \$3.1 million for dormitory repairs. Level Reparables (DLRs) consumption charges and other mission activities. The RPM reduction facilitates funding of higher priority requirements. It reflects field commanders' determinations of how to best meet mission a decline in requirements which will be deferred to future years. Net requirements while sustaining minimally adequate level of facility ပ
- Titan Support (FY 1995 Base \$77,975)..... contract, is awarded to Johnson Control World Services. Contract provides The Launch Base Service (LBS) Contract, which is a Cost Plus Award Fee ਰਂ

\$-5,944

\$-4,297

the O&M support services (project management, disaster preparedness, security, electrical and mechanical support, communications/computer services, etc.) for the Titan IV at the Cape Canaveral Air Station (CCAS) and the Florida mainland instrumentation sites. Decrease in the LBS contract is the result of a more accurate work allocation of the various services provided.

operations support (i.e equipment maintenance) for AN/FPS-85 (Eglin) after Decrease represents discontinuation of a dedicated space surveillance site Spacetrack (FY 1995 Base \$63,102)....... located at San Vito, Italy. Mission taskings were absorbed by other sites within the Space Surveillance Network (SSN) (\$-2.0 million). Reduced completion of deep space antenna modifications (\$-1,410 million).

œ.

\$-3,410

\$1,241,803 \$+27,473 FY 1995 Current Estimate......

\$+18,166 Price Growth ...... Functional Program Transfers...... တ ထ

Transfers In .....

તાં

\$+19,982

\$+7,143 storage for excess Titan IIs. This realignment was initiated in FY 1993, Command's O&M for Titan range support services to include Ground system, infrastructure repair, Titan II environmental compliance and Systems Associate Contractor (GSAC) responsibility, Titan security Command's Missile Procurement Appropriation to Air Force Space however, not all the outyear Titan costs were captured in the initial Titan Adjustments..... Funding and responsibility realignment from Air Force Materiel ₽

	7	Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change	\$+5,816
	3	Maui Optical Station.  Transfers the funding which supports multi-purpose efforts at the Maui Optical Station from the Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) Appropriation to Operation and Maintenance (O&M).	\$+3,100
	4	Standard Level User Charges (SLUC) Realignment	\$+2,870
	2)	Military to Civilian Conversion	\$+1,053
Ď.	Tra	Transfer Out	
	=	AF Reserve (AFRES) Helicopter Support	\$-1,684

\$-1,816

ACTIVITY GROOF: STACE OF ENAMED American Forces Information Service (AFIS)/Defense Information

3

\$-132

# Program Increases.....

่ต่

our physical plant. To correct this situation, the Air Force decreased MILCON program. The major drivers of RPM are building square footage and number funding and restored the RPM budget to adequate levels to prevent serious Presidential initiative to fund quality of life enhancements and a \$2.6 million of bases. Since 1988 square footage declined only 21 percent, while RPM funding has declined 39 percent. This caused accelerated deterioration of Real Property Maintenance Activities (FY 1995 Base \$100,425) ..... The FY 1996 Budget begins to rebuild Real Property Maintenance (RPM) deterioration of physical plant. RPM funding includes \$2.6 million for the offset for projects that will be accomplished under the Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP)

\$+16,987

(Operational Control System (OCS) software, software maintenance, crypto additional Block IIR "Gap Filler" satellites, Block IIF satellite sustainment, Funding increase supports sustainment of GPS constellation to include NAVSTAR GPS Sustainment (FY 1995 Base \$23,837) ...... completion of a GPS simulator, and control segment sustainment unit, and series 1 communication equipment). <u>م</u>

\$+10,670

Operations Cell (TSOC), and Wargaming, Modeling, and Simulation (WM&S) commanders; 2) space operations tasks or crisis action plans are available to support theater operations; 3) expertise on satellite and USSPACECOM support to the warfighter. These new programs will ensure: 1) a complete ange of space specific expertise across the spectrum of conflict to theater esponsibility; and 5) war modeling and simulation provides capability in JS Space Command Activities ( FY 1995 Base \$2,566)..... Funding supports Theater Support Teams (TSTs), Theater Support applications are available; 4) space support is provided in area of support of analysis of theater operations. ပ

Child Development/Family Centers (FY 1995 Base, \$4,771) ..... counseling during deployments and transitional support when the members members and their families is a major concern in the Air Force. New family Provides additional support for new and existing child and family support centers. Continuing progress to improve the quality of life for Service support services will increase morale of young families by providing separate from the Air Force. ö

There are 261 compensable calendar workdays in FY 1996 versus 260 days One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base \$103,946)..... o.

\$+402

7

\$-20,945

#### O&M, AF'FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES

ACTIVITY GROUP: SPACE OPERATIONS Four major efficiencies resulted in the AFSCN decrease: 1) elimination of mission duplication (i.e. backup control centers and software development) Satellite Operations Support (FY 1995 Base \$375,577)..... satellite programs supported by Falcon AFB, CO and Onizuka AFB, CA; or tracking operations for common users as well as support of selected 2) consolidation of software support with other software maintenance ત્તું

requirements. A downscope in basic range support (based on reprioritization Launch Facility Support (FY 1995 Base \$259,526)..... Program decrease is due to an aggressive scrub of launch facility/manpower and revalidation of launch requirements/manpower support) resulted in decreased evaluation and analysis of essential software systems that ပ

Reduced contractor level of effort for mission support requirements to include

mission planning, test data analysis, long term studies, and technical and

engineering support to the Test Support Center.

Space Test Center (FY 1995 Base \$46,043)......

ف

releases (updates) requires less software maintenance (i.e. working out the

'bugs" of installing new software).

space and missile program (real time tracking, controlling of space vehicles,

Analyst Workstation); 3) AFSCN software updates that support the DoD

solutions to common needs among satellite programs; such as Orbital

programs resulted in numerous operational efficiencies (i.e. common

supporting activation of new programs, on-orbit range safety functions, etc.)

are reduced from two to one a year, and 4) decrease in satellite software

\$-12,197

Page 112

requirements decreased (to include support of data transfer, data processing

systems, technical analysis support, and resource control) (\$-8,196)

support test missile launches, technical expertise for system definition, and

evaluation of design and test results (\$-4,001). Also, basic labor

\$-9,253

realignment to link customer funds to the DFAS services provided functions and units supported in this activity group. Estimates are based on detailed decentralized DFAS funding in FY 1996. This change reflects an internal DFAS Reallocation (FY 1995 Base \$6,433) ...... This funding adjustment results from the Air Force move toward workload and rate estimates provided by DFAS. ø

capacity storage capabilities for AFSPC's stand alone and network based AFSPC Management Headquarters (FY 1995 Base \$20,957) ..... Decrease represents completion of one-time FY 1995 upgrades to high CD-ROM systems. Medium Launch Vehicle (MLV) Support (FY 1995 Base \$30,811) ..... This includes ceasing launch operations at SLC-3W following the launch of O&M funding decrease is due to the projected decrease in launch activity. include post-launch repair and maintenance, missile fuels and propellant. the final Atlas E in FY 1995. Support and services that would decrease တ်

Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) Efficiencies (FY 1995 Base \$15,269) Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Commerce (DOC) weather separate program for the Presidential directed convergence of the follow-on satellite programs has reduced projected TDY costs and software upgrades Decrease in funding is the result of several factors. The establishment of a realignment of space contract operations support under Air Force Space in support of DMSP (\$-680). Additional savings were realized by the ے

\$-5,159

Command (AFSPC) (\$-315), and the projected completion of an increased effort to catch up a technical order backlog (\$-120).

12.	F	FY 1996 Budget Request		\$1,245,644
33	Pric	Price Growth		\$+36,621
4.	Fur	Functional Program Transfers		\$+618
	æ	Transfers In	\$+618	
		Military to Civilian Conversion		
5.	Pro	Program Increases		\$+39,717
	ಹ	Real Property Maintenance Activities (FY 1996 Base \$120,685)	\$+28,684	
	ف	Spacetrack (FY 1996 Base \$55,081)	\$+7,040	

after the discontinuance of the Maui Optical Tracking and Identification Facility (MOTIF).

NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS) (FY 1996 Base \$34,955) ...... technical order format, which support the five monitor stations, three ground increase funds a phased effort to change GPS operational directives to antennas, and the master control station. ပ

\$+2,502

\$+1,491

- DMSP Support (FY 1996 Base \$14,277)..... Program growth reflects the relocation costs associated with the movement of personnel and equipment due to the projected closure of one dedicated DMSP command and control site, Fairchild Space Operations Center (FSOC), in FY 1997. ਰਂ
- Program Decreases ...... <u>1</u>0
- sustains, and integrates AFSCN systems. This decline is directly related to three categories: 1) network level engineering services and integration; 2) and modifications; and 3) improvement and modernization efforts to meet Decrease is in the Engineering Services and Modification Contract, which a reduced level of effort in all major tasks supported. Major tasks fall into sustainment of present systems through engineering services, integration Satellite Control Sustainment (FY 1996 Base \$120,237) ..... user requirements. ä
- Space Launch Facilities Infrastructure (SLFI) Program (FY 1996 Base \$25,600) and providing minimum level sustaining operation and maintenance efforts to Decrease reflects the "winding down" of major efforts to improve SLFI. The SLFI program concentrated on two main areas: fixing specific deficiencies prevent reoccurrence. Work on all projects was implemented in phases from FY 1993 to FY 1997) based on mission criticality. ۵.

\$-29,303

\$-13,690

i i

115

Page 115

	ပ	Space Test Center (FY 1996 Base \$30,646)	\$-2,633
	ਰੰ	Titan Launch Manifest (FY 1996 Base \$81,412)	\$-2,382
	ø	AFSCN Operations/Communications (FY 1996 Base \$190,789) Reduced commercial carrier costs due to the removal of several low capacity leased lines that were replaced with higher capacity lines.	\$-1,097
17.		FY 1997 Budget Request	

## Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

development: dates are estimated using nominal flows - current best estimates; unknowns are not included in manifest - delays due executable plan for launches and contains all U.S. space launches. The National mission model portrays all U.S. requirements for to weather, collateral pad operation, rework or equipment failure; and launch dates are Not Earlier Than (NET) or Launch on launches driven by user need and unconstrained by launch capacities. The following assumptions are made in the manifest Air Force O&M Supported Launches: The Launch Services Office publishes the space launch manifest monthly. It is an Demand (LOD).

Atlas II	വ	-	æ	ស
Delta II	4	9	80	13
Titan II	7	0	_	က
Titan IV	ო	ω	7	2
Atlas E	_	2	0	0
Pegasus	ო	တ	2	က
Shuttle	æ	တ	7	7
Other Small Vehicles	<del></del>	-	က	2
Total	27	46	39	35

ranges support one launch or twenty launches, the same facilities and equipment are required. Space launch operations culminate Eastern and Western Range Activity. The Eastern and Western Ranges support DOD, civil and commercial space launches as well Operations which support these activities include: end-to-end tests, weather, telemetry, frequency management, fueling, pad and as ballistic missile tests and aeronautical testing on the Western Range as based on the manifest launch profile. Whether the in the launch of a vehicle and payload, but also require numerous interfaces with the range leading up to that launch event. range safety, communications, vehicle operations, and ballistic missile tests.

# Satellite Tracking, Telemetry and Command Capability

The Air Force uses two indicators of Air Force Satellite Control Network (AFSCN) activity levels: number of satellite operations and ground station antenna to be used, network support hours are also used as an indicator of AFSCN activity. Network support hours commands, receiving telemetry or mission data and tracking space vehicles. It also includes training and maintenance. Because the time and effort associated with each operation varies depending on the purpose of the operation, orbit of the satellite, and network support hours. The number of satellite operations includes the number of times the AFSCN is used for transmitting include the time required to conduct the actual operation. In addition, there is extensive activity pre- and post-operation

network support hours are measures of required funding levels because the entire network must be maintained and operated as a Shown below are the number of contacts as well as support hours of the AFSCN. Neither the number of satellite contacts nor the national resource regardless of the number of spacecraft supported.

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Satellite Contacts:				C L
Daily	349	360	356	358
Annually	127,472	131,490	130,029	130,760
Network Support Hours	81,644	85,469	84,519	84,994

Positioning System (GPS), Milstar, Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP), Defense Support Program (DSP), Defense Satellite Communication System (DSCS) and Ultra High Frequency (UHF) Follow-On. In addition, aging satellites require more AFSCN activity is increasing because of the addition of new satellites to complete or replenish constellations for the Global operations and network hours per operation due to satellite degradation.

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Base Support	1		1	ŗ
Total End Strength *	16,582	16,300	15,838	15,325
Military	12,364	12,396	11,801	11,425
Civilian	4,218	3,904	4,037	3,900
Total Major Installations	5	9	9	စ
CONIS	ည	ဖ	9	9
Overseas	0	0	0	0
Facilities Supported (000 sq ft)	31,380	31,378	31,369	31,369
Plant Replacement Value (000\$)	17,165,305	17,645,934	18,160,893	18,710,183
Total Number of Quarters	7,119	6,905	6,802	6,751
Nimber of Officer Quarters.	918	896	889	885
Nimber of Fulisted Quarters	6,201	600'9	5,913	5,866
Total Number of Vehicles	6,249	6,228	6,237	6,236
	5,803	5,773	5,761	5,758
- Leavee -	446	455	476	478
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers.	4	14	16	16
Number of Child Care Spaces	2,409	2,640	3,119	3,319

responsibility for this activity group. These figures do not equal authorized personnel due to the complex nature of host/tenant \* Base support personnel reflects total personnel physically assigned to and supported by MAJCOMs having host support relationships among Air Force units.

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: OPERATING FORCES ACTIVITY GROUP: SPACE OPERATIONS

#### V. Personnel Summary:

					Change	Change
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1995/1996	FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strenath (Total)	7,149	7,913	7,865	7,819	-48	-46
Officer	1,782	1,937	1,913	1,880	-24	-33
Enlisted	5,367	5,976	5,952	5,939	-24	-13
Civilian End Strength (Total)	3,899	3,651	3,710	3,593	69	-117
U.S. Direct Hire	3,897	3,647	3,706	3,589	9	-117
Foreign National Direct Hire	8	4	4	4		
Total Direct Hire	3,899	3,651	3,710	3,593	29	-117
(CotoT) concentration (CotoT)	7 408	7 450	7 927	7 904	468	-23
Officer	1 907	1.841	1.938	1,917	26	-21
Enlisted	5,201	5,618	5,989	5,987	371	-5
Civilian Workvears (Total)	3,699	3,728	3,679	3,650	-49	-29
U.S. Direct Hire	3,695	3,724	3,675	3,646	-49	-29
Foreign National Direct Hire	4	4	4	4		
Total Direct Hire	3,699	3,728	3,679	3,650	-49	-29

This Budget Activity Consists Of One Activity Group, Mobility Operations. The Budget Justification Details For Mobility Operations Are Provided On The Following Pages.

. Description of Operations Financed: The mission of Air Force Mobility Operations is to provide global mobility through strategic States combat forces is a major instrument of United States national policy to deter aggression anywhere in the world with combat force projection capabilities. The financial resources requested in this budget will provide the minimum level essential to continue forces that can be logistically sustained. The Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) and the military services, as well as other Department of and tactical airlift to support contingency and wartime operations in pursuit of national objectives. The rapid movement of United movements. Recent successes, both in Desert Storm as well as humanitarian assistance efforts, amplify the importance of our Defense (DoD) and government agencies, depend heavily on Air Force Mobility Operations for essential cargo and troop to meet national objectives.

submission and through the outyears. The FY 1995 President's Budget reflected the CONUS C-130 flying hour program divesting Command Defense Business Operations Fund justification material. DBOF-AMC captured the remaining AMC missions (excluding expenses not covered through the airlift rate structure. Supporting program data was reflected in the United States Transportation to Air Combat Command (Airlift Operations Subactivity Group) from the Payments to the DBOF Transportation Business Area (Air Beginning in FY 1993, the Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) incorporated all Air Mobility Command (AMC) component funding into two distinct business areas: DBOF Transportation (DBOF-T) and DBOF- AMC. Funds provided to the DBOF-T Forces, the Air Combat Command, and the United States Air Forces in Europe, which were not part of DBOF operations. For FY personnel funding transferred during the FY 1995 President's Budget from the O&M appropriation back to the Military Personnel meanwhile, includes other resources not within the purview of AMC, such as those airlift operations carried out by the Pacific Air intelligence activities), within the Mobilization Budget Activity (BA) and those AMC activities in other BAs. The Mobilization BA, 1995, the DBOF-AMC business area was removed from DBOF operations. In conjunction with this action, associated military ousiness area for "Payment to the Transportation Business Area" (i.e., the Air Force Subsidy) are required to meet DBOF-T appropriation. The DBOF-T business area continues as a functioning entity (albeit to a lesser funding degree) within this Force Subsidy) for FY 1994 only. This submission continues the divestiture in FY 1995.

Transportation Business Area supports only the peacetime subsidy costs of airlift readiness. See the narratives within the Payment and C-17 proficiency training missions and support funding from the Payment to the DBOF Transportation Business Area (Air Force For FY 1996 and beyond, the Air Force added funding to the DBOF Transportation Business Area (Air Force Subsidy) as a result of redefinition of the component baseline within the Air Force Subsidy. This redefinition includes the FYDP realignment of C-5, C-141, SubActivity groups for Air Combat Command, United States Air Forces in Europe, and the Pacific Air Forces. This C-130 fixed cost Personnel appropriation for FY 1996 through the outyears. Starting in FY 1996, this submission divests and realigns the remaining realignment complies with the approval of USTRANSCOM, Air Mobility Command, Air Combat Command, United States Air Forces operating commands, throughout the outyears. Effective in FY 1996 through the outyears, the remaining funding within the DBOF Subsidy) into the Airlift Operations SubActivity group. Additionally, Military Personnel funding has transferred back to the Military in Europe, and the Pacific Air Forces, each in conjunction with the overall redefinition of the Air Force Subsidy. These C-130 adjustments complete the divestiture of all C-130 aircraft and associated C-130 fixed costs from the Air Force Subsidy to the Transportation Business Area (Air Force Subsidy) has been adjusted in conjunction with the joint TRANSCOM and Air Force C-130 fixed costs from the Payments to the DBOF-Transportation Business Area into Airlift Operations and Base Support the imbalance between USTRANSCOM's estimated revenues and expenses. Funding within the Payment to the DBOF to the DBOF Transportation Business Area and the Airlift Operations subactivity groups for further program details.

Operations; Airlift Operations Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence (C3I); Mobilization Preparedness; Payment to The resources requested to ensure the readiness of Mobility Operations are comprised of the following mission areas: Airlift the Transportation Business Area; and Base Support elements.

Command (AMC), its detachments, and other numbered Air Force headquarters. Airlift Operations includes the entire spectrum for place or mission sensitive requirements; Short Takeoff and Landing C-27 tactical airlifters; Headquarters operations at Air Mobility T-43's. Also funded in this program are two VC-25's (Air Force One) which are used by the President of the United States, seven the operation of C-9's (non-Medical Evacuation), C-12F's, C-20A's, C-20B's, C-20C's, C-20H's, C-21A's, C-135B's, UH-1N's, and Additionally, funding pays for the costs of operating the Operational Support Airlift program. Specifically, this program funds for tactical airlift; air refueling KC-10s and KC-135s; Operational Support Airlift for the movement of personnel and cargo with time, Airlift Operations support the day-to-day mission activity of Mobility Operations. Aircraft operations incorporate: C-130 theater Program funding in this activity group also supports the training costs associated with KC-135 and KC-10 air refueling aircraft. aircrew training activities directly related to school-house and proficiency training for C-5, C-130, C-141, and C-17 aircrews.

(CTP), provided by AMC at various CONUS locations to provide in-aircraft training more efficiently and economically for KC-10 and aircraft which will ultimately replace the aging and costly C-137 fleet. Funding also supports the C-12 Companion Trainer Program KC-135 co-pilots. Funding for Aircrew Training Systems (ATS) are also included in this activity group. Funds support 21 Weapon System Trainers, 22 Operational Flight Trainers, and 14 Cockpit Procedures Trainers. Other Airlift Operations funded programs include operations of Combat Aircrew Training at Nellis AFB, NV; the Air Transportation Training Center at Travis AFB, CA; the Combat Control School at Pope AFB, NC; and the Queen Bee Jet Engine Intermediate Maintenance Facility, Kirlland AFB, NM. C-137's used by the Vice President of the United States, Cabinet Members, and other high ranking dignitaries, and new VC-X

provide in-transit visibility of cargo and passengers; generate billing data; provide for deliberate planning, analysis and modeling; GDSS). These resources also replace out-of-date manual command and control methods with the AMC Command and Control information Processing System (C2IPS), and integrated automated multi-level secure systems reaching from the airfield through and provide for software maintenance and personnel to operate current systems including the Global Decision Support System systems. These systems provide the capability to direct and control airlift and aircrew forces for worldwide deployment. They AMC to USTRANSCOM and the National Command Authority. Resources also support engineering and installation support Airlift Operations C3I activities support the core of Mobility Operations through the provision of AMC Command and Control activities, as well as various military airlift intelligence system activities.

airfield operations. This plant assembles/modifies critical portions of the B- 2, F-117, the Space Shuttle, as well as other classified authorizations, peculiar support equipment, necessary facilities and the associated costs specifically identified and measurable for programs. Funds are provided for staffing and support operations of the program office that administers the Defense Production Preparedness, Inactive Aircraft Storage and Disposal, and Station Hospitals and Clinics. The WRM program includes manpower performed in underground theater shelters in both Europe and the Pacific. The industrial preparedness program funds common the procurement and maintenance of equipment/secondary items within the war reserve stockpile. The WS3 is an underground Mobilization Preparedness supports Mobility Operations with the capability to sustain crisis situations through the provision and Patterson AFB, Ohio. At Air Force Industrial Plant #42, the resources cover services to support the common-use facilities and Act Title III program for all DoD services and agencies. Inactive Aircraft Storage and Disposal operations, better known as the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC), functions as the single point of operations for the Department of prepositioning of War Readiness Materiel (WRM), Theater Nuclear Weapon Storage and Security Systems (WS3), Industrial provides for the supervision and inspection of storage vaults and associated intrusion detection equipment. Inspections are operations at Air Force Plant #42 in Palmdale, California, and the Defense Production Act Title III Program Office at Wrightnuclear weapon storage vault system that provides security, safety and enhanced survivability for tactical nuclear weapons.

maintenance business area of the DBOF. The Station Hospitals and Clinics program supports the medical wartime mission through rooms providing general and specialized surgical care, post operative stabilization, medical and dental care, and rehabilitation for Defense's processing and maintenance of inactive aerospace vehicles. Operations financed also include AMARC administrative contingency hospitals located throughout Europe and the Pacific. Each Contingency Hospital has 500 beds and four operating and headquarters personnel at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ. Aerospace maintenance and regeneration costs are financed in the patients. During peacetime, the hospitals are supported by a small caretaker force to maintain the ability to insure a turn-key operation, should the need arise.

Payment to the Transportation Business Area represents the Air Force customer contribution to the DBOF Transportation expense difference in funding required to meet USTRANSCOM airlift expenses is provided by an Air Force subsidy. Program details are base. Since the full costs incurred on behalf of the airlift business area cannot be recovered through the rate structure, the contained in the DBOF Transportation Business Area justification material.

Base Support provides Mobility Operations with personnel support functions and base infrastructure. It fulfills a broad range of essential needs, from child care to security forces that safeguard our facilities and systems. The overall objective is to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity, and the preservation of physical plant structure. This activity area is divided into two portions - Base Operating Support and Real Property Maintenance.

II. Force Structure Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Manpower	26,464	51,667	45,391	45,185
Flying Hours.	366,687	320,287	284,611	289,482
Primary Authorized Aircraft	999	655	602	611
Bases Supported	14	12	11	10
Plants Supported	<b>-</b>	<b>~</b>	_	~

III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
	FY 1994	Budget	•	Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Subactivity Groups	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Rednest	Estimate	Estimate
Airlift Operations	\$1,316,589	\$1,182,631	\$1,182,631	\$1,270,193	\$1,544,785	\$1,588,805
Airlift Operations C3I	21,545	15,783	15,783	12,790	10,961	11,048
Mobilization Preparedness	200,965	175,871	175,871	168,104	160,110	149,631
Payment to Transportation Business Area	1,527,654	1,364,200	1,364,200	1,271,456	293,027	297,275
Base Support	1,769,372	569,236	564,236	439,883	514,490	544,134
Total	\$4,836,125	\$3,307,721	\$3,302,721	\$3,162,426	\$2,523,373	\$2,590,893
B. Reconciliation Summary:		Change		Change		Change
	ш.	FY 1995/1995		FY 1995/1996		FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding		\$3,302,721		\$3,162,426		\$2,523,373
Undistributed Congressional Reductions		-16,889				0
Price Change		1,500		78,509		71,758
Functional Transfer		-29,257		-456,046		0
Program Changes		-95,649		-261,516		-4,238
Current Estimate		\$3,162,426		\$2,523,373		\$2,590,893

# C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

<del></del>	FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Reductions)a. Undistributed Congressional Reductions	\$-16,889	\$3,302,721
	<ol> <li>Pay Raise and Locality Pay</li> <li>Federal Workforce Restructure</li> <li>Civilian Personnel Drawdown</li> <li>Information Technology</li> <li>Contracting and Consulting</li> </ol>		
2	Revised Appropriated Amount		\$3,285,832
က်	Price Change		\$+1,500
4.	a. Transfers In	\$+32,995	\$-29,257

\$+10,080 during the FY 1995 President's Budget, Altus Air Force Base transferred realigned to Budget Activity, Training and Recruiting; the correct Budget from Air Mobility Command to Air Education and Training Command. In the FY 1995 President's Budget, Altus Air Force Base was inadvertently n conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented Altus Air Force Base..... Activity is Mobility Forces. This funding adjustment corrects the 3

\$+5,833 misalignment. ත

Pollution Prevention.

Effective FY 1995, pollution prevention funding is decentralized from Budget Activity: Administration and Servicewide Activities and spread throughout all Budget Activities. This transfer aligns funding to the primary Budget Activity and Subactivity Groups used by installation commanders for other base support program. Realigning pollution program funding in the installation's primary budget activity will better identify costs with specific missions supported.

Air Combat Command to Air Mobility Command. At that time only civilian remaining mission support programs from Activity Group: Air Operations, during the FY 1995 President's Budget, McConnell AFB transferred from McConnell Air Force Base ...... In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented end-strengths and their associated costs transferred. This funding adjustment completes the McConnell AFB transfer by moving the to Activity Group: Mobility Operations. 4

\$+5,740

\$+1,485	\$-43,883	\$-21,369
5) KC-135 Tanker Combat Crew Training	Transfers Out  Kirtland Air Force Base  In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented during the FY 1995 President's Budget, Kirtland Air Force Base transferred from Air Mobility Command to Air Force Materiel Command. In the FY 1995 President's Budget, Kirtland Air Force Base was misaligned to Budget Activity Mobilization; the correct Budget Activity is Logistics Operations. The funding adjustment for this mission corrects the misalignment.	In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented during the FY 1995 President's Budget, Malmstrom AFB transferred from Air Mobility Command to Air Force Space Command. At that time only civilian end-strengths and their associated costs transferred. This funding adjustment completes the Malmstrom AFB transfer by moving the remaining mission support programs from Activity Group: Mobility Operations, to Activity Group: Air Operations.

ف

\$-65,252

<u>ئ</u>

\$+90,225			\$-185,874	
\$+89,582		\$+643	\$-92,744	\$-60,512
	(\$+89,582)			
Program Increases	Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Airlift Operations	<ul> <li>b. Airlift Operations Command, Control, Communications (C3I)</li> <li>(FY 1995 Base \$12,147)</li> <li>Funding increases support additional Combat Control Team deployments within Air Combat Command, and additional mobility equipment requirements for the 3rd Wing covering the Pacific Air Force region.</li> </ul>	Program Decreases	<ul> <li>Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$140,420)</li> <li>Real Property Maintenance programs were deferred to offset other critical mission operational requirements. This reduction reflects field commanders' determinations of how to best meet mission requirements while sustaining</li> </ul>

ဖ

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET ACTIVITY GROUP: MOBILITY OPERATIONS **BUDGET ACTIVITY: MOBILIZATION**

be deferred to future years. Net decrease includes an increase of \$4.1M for minimally adequate level of facility maintenance and repair with austere funding. This decrease does not reflect a decline in requirements which will dormitory repairs.

ပ	Base Operations (FY 1995 Base \$355,571)	\$-19,151	
ਰੰ	Mobilization Preparedness (FY 1995 Base \$174,927)	\$-6,823	
o o	Environmental Compliance (FY 1995 Base, \$33,753)	\$-6,644	·
Ţ	FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$3,162,426
9	Price Growth		\$+78,509
ц «	Functional Program Transfers	\$+17,148	\$-456,046

\$+11,800

Transfers In ..... Medical and Contingency Hospitals..... Funds are transferred to the O&M appropriation from Defensewide O&M

ä

appropriations for inventory replacement and spare parts.

2) Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change (\$50,000) for the procurement of non-centrally managed equipment (\$60,000) for the procurement of non-centrally managed equipment (installation/local level type items). Under this policy change, funds are transferred to O&M from the Other Procurement Appropriations.  3) A-76 Military Conversions - Base Support Personnel Appropriation. This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. The authorizations are not military essential, thereby necessitating a conversion of these positions to O&M contract funding.  4) Standard Level User Charges (SLUC)				\$-473,194
2) & 6 Tran 1) th	\$+4,973	\$+225	\$+150	\$-472,100
		A-76 Military This is a tran The authoriz conversion o		<ul> <li>b. Transfers Out</li> <li>1) Payments to DBOF-Transportation</li> <li>In conjunction with Air Force and USTRANSCOM efforts to re-define this program as a pure subsidy to ensure capturing of pure mobilization readiness costs, Military Personnel funding has transferred back to the Military Personnel, Air Force appropriation.</li> </ul>

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET ACTIVITY GROUP: MOBILITY OPERATIONS BUDGET ACTIVITY: MOBILIZATION

5	2) Air Combat Camera Services Reorganization (AIRCCS)	\$-1,01
	Transfer supports CSAF-approved streamlining of AIRCCS. Overall	
	effort includes a series of reorganizations, realignments, and unit	
	deactivations.	

ന

\$-81

Defense Information Service Agency (DISA)..... The Motion Media Records Center (MMRC) transfers from the Air Force Services' visual information, broadcast, and public affairs training into a to the American Forces Information Service (AFIS). This reflects the civilian slots and associated funding to AFIS (\$29). In July 1992 the single joint educational facility under AFIS. Funding was transferred DepSecDef approved the functional transfer and consolidation of deactivation of the Air Force Media Center as part of a continuing reduction in the Air Force structure. AFIS transfer realigns from this Activity Group to AFIS (\$52) for this purpose. American Forces Information Service (AFIS)/ ත

\$+252,504 Program Increases..... Airlift Operations (FY 1995 Base \$1,270,193)..... 6.

requirements for new VC-X aircraft replacing the C-137's; e) \$+9.9 million for Subactivity Group Payments to DBOF Transportation, and \$+31.8 million for inspection and repair for C-137's, including the initial operating preparation simulator software upgrades; d) \$+22.7 million for additional aging aircraft Net increases are attributable to: a) \$+210.7 million for the realignment of Transportation; and f) \$+14.9 million for other mobility aircraft component Payments to DBOF-Transportation); b) \$+23.4 million for A-76 military to contract conversions; c) \$+22.1 million for contract services in support of the realignment of the Alaska Logair contract from Payments to DBOFproficiency flying hour training purchases from DBOF-Transportation, C-130 fixed costs (both previously funded within Subactivity Group -

\$+317,085

Page 134

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET ACTIVITY GROUP: MOBILITY OPERATIONS **BUDGET ACTIVITY: MOBILIZATION**

following flying hour program reductions and restructuring efforts: (1) C-130 flying hours and depot maintenance interval extensions; (2) the cancellation KC-10 and KC-135 flying hours; (5) Operational Support Airlift flying hours; decreases: a) \$-74.8 million in operational and support costs related to the (3) school-house UH-1N, C-141, and KC-135R flying hours; (4) operational of the Companion Trainer Program due to historical contingency overflies; and b) \$-8.2 million for headquarters force structure reductions and overhaul requirements. These increases are offset by the following associated support costs.

(\$+252,504) Special Interest Subactivity Group Summary: Airlift Operations.....

٥.

(FY 1995 Base, \$439,883) deterioration of our physical plant. This increased RPM funding also reflects The major drivers of RPM are building square footage and number of bases. Square footage has been reduced only 21 percent, while RPM funding has DBOF-Transportation Subactivity group. Net increase also includes a \$4.5 been reduced a very significant 39 percent. This has caused accelerated attributable to the realignment of C-130 fixed costs from the Payments to million reduction for projects that will be accomplished under the Federal enhancements. Additionally, \$9.2 million of this program increase is \$3.3 million from the Presidential initiative to fund quality of life Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities/Base Support Energy Management Program (FEMP). One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base, \$228,282)..... There are 261 compensable calendar workdays in FY 1996 versus 260 days in FY 1995.

ပ

\$+803

\$+63,778

135

Program Decreases In FY 1996 ......

airlift tariff rates are competitively set and do not recover the full cost of doing USTRANSCOM expense and revenue expectations for FY 1996. The effect Payments to DBOF-Transportation (FY 1995 Base \$1,271,456)...... of these actions results in a pure Air Force subsidy to USTRANSCOM since million) to Base Support and Airlift Operations, respectively. The remainder mission flying hour proficiency training (\$-210.0 million) to Airlift Operations; C-130 fixed costs (\$-41.0 million) to Base Operations and Airlift Operations, Specifically, the redefinition of the Subsidy reflects the realignment of the Net funding decreases are attributable to a combination of redefining the respectively; airlift base maintenance/terminal support/civilian household goods costs (\$-26.0 million), and Alaska Logair Contract funding (\$-9.9 component structure of this program and adjusting the funding level for DBOF-Transportation expense and revenue expectations for FY 1996. of this funding reduction brings the Air Force Subsidy in line with

4-37 336

(\$-1.0 million); c) a restructuring of the Afloat Prepositioned Fleet (APF) lease Net decreases are attributable to: a) reduced War Readiness Materiel (WRM) Mobilization Preparedness (FY 1995 Base \$168,104) ..... c) an increase in administrative costs associated with the represervation of (\$-20.0 million); b) a further reduction in WRM ammunition supplies, based These decreases are offset by: a) Theater Nuclear Weapon Storage and on a continuation of the FY 1995 stock and storage requirements review award to a small business contractor for Air Force Plant 42 (\$+.9M); and requirements (\$+1.3M); b) contract price restructuring for a new contract Security program increases for weapons vault operational sustainment additional aircraft (i.e., stored aircraft anticipated to be brought back to (\$-10.0 million); and d) reduced WRM/Secondary items (\$-9.1 million). Bare Base Equipment (Harvest Falcon) reconstitution requirements .

preserved and re-stored) scheduled to enter the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) (\$+.6M). service are removed from storage, restored to flyable condition, and re-

Airlift Operations Command, Control, Communications, Intelligence (C3I)	(FY 1995 Base \$12,790)	Decrease represents a reduction in support for the Deployment Flow	Computer System (DFCS).
ပ			

\$-1,916

14.

\$+20,737

\$+14,905

are essential to provide acceptable living and working conditions for our	Program Increases.  a. Base Support (FY 1996 Base, \$514,490).  The increase in FY 1997 reflects continuing efforts in Air Mobility Command to correct long standing deficiencies in various base support areas. It includes increases in Real Property Maintenance, Child Development Programs, and Minor Construction. However, the most significant increase reflects an attempt to restore bench stock inventories to a more satisfactory level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality of life programs for Morale, Welfare, and Recreation. These requirements are essential to provide acceptable living and working conditions for our	
Of life programs for Worlare, wellare, and Recleanon. These requirements	level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality of life programs for Morale, Welfare, and Recreation. These requirements	
level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality	reflects an attempt to restore bench stock inventories to a more satisfactory	
reflects an attempt to restore bench stock inventories to a more satisfactory level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality	Programs, and Minor Construction. However, the most significant increase	
Programs, and Minor Construction. However, the most significant increase reflects an attempt to restore bench stock inventories to a more satisfactory level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality	includes increases in Real Property Maintenance, Child Development	
includes increases in Real Property Maintenance, Child Development Programs, and Minor Construction. However, the most significant increase reflects an attempt to restore bench stock inventories to a more satisfactory level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality	to correct long standing deficiencies in various base support areas. It	
to correct long standing deficiencies in various base support areas. It includes increases in Real Property Maintenance, Child Development Programs, and Minor Construction. However, the most significant increase reflects an attempt to restore bench stock inventories to a more satisfactory level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality	The increase in FY 1997 reflects continuing efforts in Air Mobility Command	
The increase in FY 1997 reflects continuing efforts in Air Mobility Command to correct long standing deficiencies in various base support areas. It includes increases in Real Property Maintenance, Child Development Programs, and Minor Construction. However, the most significant increase reflects an attempt to restore bench stock inventories to a more satisfactory level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality	a. Base Support (FY 1996 Base, \$514,490)	
a. Base Support (FY 1996 Base, \$514,490).  The increase in FY 1997 reflects continuing efforts in Air Mobility Command to correct long standing deficiencies in various base support areas. It includes increases in Real Property Maintenance, Child Development Programs, and Minor Construction. However, the most significant increase reflects an attempt to restore bench stock inventories to a more satisfactory level for Transportation, Supply, and Security Police and also to boost quality	Program Increases	

۵.	Airlift Operations (FY 1996 Base \$1,544,785)
	A net funding increase is due to: a) \$+17.8 million for C-130 Program Depot
	Maintenance, deferred from FY 1996; b) \$+12.8 million associated with
	leasing VC-X aircraft in FY 1997; and c) \$+6.4 million net increase for
	additional flying hours (+4,781) in conjunction with new crew ratio
	requirements. Net funding decreases are primarily attributable to a

\$+5,832

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET ACTIVITY GROUP: MOBILITY OPERATIONS **BUDGET ACTIVITY: MOBILIZATION**

Maintenance scheduling requirements and reduced non-fly supply requirements; and b) \$-7.1 million for headquarters civilian force structure combination of: a) \$-24.1 million for a revision to KC-135 Program Depot and support reductions.

		Special Interest Subacitivity Group Summary: Airlift Operations	(\$+5,832)		•
<del>.</del> 5.	P. a	Program Decreases  a. Mobilization Preparedness (FY 1996 Base \$160,110)  Decrease due to expected reduction in WRM bare base reconstitution  requirements (\$-8.2 million) and WRM/Secondary Items (\$-12.0 million).		\$-20,202	\$-24,975
	ف	Payments to DBOF-Transportation (FY 1996 Base \$293,027)		\$-4,544	
	ပ			\$-229	
		Combat Control Team (CCT) deployments for air traffic control training;  b) reductions in maintenance for Red and Black switches within the Defense Red Switch Network contract; and c) one time FY 1996 increase in C4 systems control and mobile computer process equipment.			

\$2,590,893

FY 1997 Current Estimate.....

<del>1</del>0.

# IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Primary Aircraft Authorization:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY1996	FY 1997
KC-10	22	54	54	54
: :	239	241	228	228
C-130E/H/J	166	158	154	160
6-0		4	4	4
C-12C/F		41	7	7
0-50		13	12	12
C-51		77	75	75
C-135		2	2	2
C-137B/C		မ	ဖ	₹
N-17		24	24	24
CT-43A		7	2	2
VC-25A		2	2	2
X-2/		0	0	9
C-27		တ	ග	თ
		9	ၑ	9
7-7-3		0	2	9
C-141B	13	11	80	9
H-60.	ល	ស	7	7
Total	999	655	602	611

Average Primary Aircraft Inventory (APAI):	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
KC-10	22	54	54	48
KC-135	259	242	234	229
C-130E/H/J	155	167	154	159
C-9	4	4	4	4
C-12C	2	0	0	0
C-12F	32	41	12	7
C-20	13	13	12	12
C-21	75	77	75	75
C-135	7	2	7	7
C-137B/C	9	ဖ	9	5
UH-1N	23	24	24	24
CT-43A	2	2	7	2
VC-25A	7	8	2	8
C-27	വ	∞	တ	တ
C-5	ဖ	9	φ	ဖ
C-17	0	0	•	S
C-141	13	12	တ	ω
H-60	ო	ស	7	7

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Flying Hours Per Average Primary Aircraft Inventory				
KC-10	676	602	580	652
	468	374	344	362
C-130E/H/J	646	408	436	432
9 C	417	701	657	629
C-12C	444	0	0	0
C-12F	378	260	279	454
C-20	520	665	619	604
C-21	637	671	670	899
0.135	763	999	999	670
C-137B/C	704	602	556	541
NT-HO	381	399	397	398
CT-43A	738	879	879	879
VC-25A	276	400	360	360
C-27	1,080	675	009	009
20	009	437	462	462
C-17	0	0	4,032	869
C-141	648	813	901	1,009
H-60.	1,180	929	200	200

Flying Hours	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
KC-10	38,533	32,521	31,312	31,314
KC-135	121,269	90,549	80,562	82,883
C-130E/H/J	100,147	68,084	67,102	68,677
	1,666	2,802	2,628	2,636
0.120	888	1,470	1,470	1,470
C-12F	12,109	22,964	3,351	3,180
C-20	6,763	8,642	7,428	7,246
0-21	47,811	51,655	50,269	50,083
0-135	1,525	1,332	1,332	1,340
C-137B/C	4,224	3,612	3,336	2,703
N-1	8,761	9,573	9,533	9,541
CT-43A	1,476	1,758	1,758	1,758
VC-25A	552	800	720	720
V.C.X	0	0	0	1,848
C-27	5,400	5,400	5,400	5,400
	3,601	2,622	2,770	2,770
C-17	0	3,864	4,032	4,344
	8,422	9,761	8,109	8,070
H-60	3,540	2,878	3,499	3,499
Total	366,687	320,287	284,611	289,482

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: MOBILIZATION ACTIVITY GROUP: MOBILITY OPERATIONS

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Base Support				
Total End Strength	26,464	51,667	45,391	45,185
Militar	24,346	43,968	39,177	39,148
Civilian	2,118	7,699	6,214	6,037
Total Major Installations.	4	12	1	10
CONUS	14	12	7	10
Overseas	0	0	0	0
Facilities Supported (000 sq ft)	60,612	60,750	57,509	53,854
Plant Replacement Value (\$000)	15,498,139	15,932,087	16,397,031	16,892,972
Total Number of Quarters.	19,141	17,945	17,951	17,872
Number of Officer Quarters.	1,458	1,378	1,332	1,391
Number of Enlisted Quarters.	17,683	16,567	16,619	16,481
Total Number of Vehicles	29,464	29,443	29,344	29,390
Owned	29,136	29,105	29,006	29,048
Leased	328	338	338	342
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	29	29	31	33
	6,540	6,540	6,756	7,056

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: MOBILIZATION ACTIVITY GROUP: MOBILITY OPERATIONS

		•	
	Č	_	
	8	<u>0</u>	
	ξ	=	
	٤		
	Ü	2	
(	J	)	
•	C	ō	
	2	=	
	Ş	₹	
	è	ັດ	
	5	ī	
:	נ מ	じこうかし	
	_	-	
	٠	>	

V. Personnel Summary.	7007	77	400k	EV 1007	Change	Change FY 1996/1997
	400 L	CARL	0661 1	1661	000	
Active Military End Strength (Total)	24,346	43,968	39,177	39,148	-4,791	-29
Officer	3,078	6,446	6,028	6,027	-418	7
Enlisted	21,268	37,522	33,149	33,121	-4,373	-28
Civilian End Strength (Total)	2.118	7,699	6,214	6,037	-1,485	-177
U.S. Direct Hire	1.967	7,489	6,032	5,855	-1,457	-177
Foreign National Direct Hire	46	06	55	55	-35	
Total Direct Hire	2.013	7,579	6,087	5,910	-1,492	-177
Foreign National Indirect Hire	105	120	127	127	7	
(lote T) associated (N)	21 810	45 310	41 799	39.504	-3.511	-2.295
Officer	27.24	6.576	6,333	6,192	-243	-141
Enlisted	19,086	38,734	35,466	33,312	-3,268	-2,154
Civilian Workvears (Total)	2.591	6,985	6,550	6,138	-435	-412
U.S. Direct Hire	1,932	6,742	6,357	5,956	-385	-401
Foreign National Direct Hire	536	118	69	52	-49	-14
Total Direct Hire	2,468	6,860	6,426	6,011	-434	-415
Foreign National Indirect Hire	123	125	124	127	<u> </u>	က

I. Description of Operations Financed: This budget activity encompasses three broad mission areas -- Accession Training, Basic Skill & Advance Training, and Recruiting & Other Training and Education.

Military Training Group at Lackland AFB, TX conducts basic training for newly enlisted Non-Prior Service (NPS), Air National Guard (ANG), and Air Force Reserve (AFRES) personnel. This training provides an effective, efficient military indoctrination program that Accession Training operations produce the enlisted and officer personnel needed to meet total force requirements. The Basic acilitates a smooth transition from civilian life to the military environment.

(AECP). The USAFA conducts a four year curriculum combining both military and academic education/training. AFROTC, the argest source of Air Force officers, supplements academic education with military education and training at over 143 colleges across the country. OTS provides Air Force pre-commissioning training for both prior service and non-prior service individuals. Officer accessions receive indoctrination training through the United States Air Force Academy (USAFA), Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps (AFROTC), Officer Training Squadron (OTS), and Airmen Education and Commissioning Program Finally, AECP allows selected active duty airmen to earn academic degrees, and upon completion attend OTS to earn a

essential to operate, maintain, and manage complex Air Force weapon systems and associated support structure. Programs cover Basic skill and advanced training operations provide Air Force personnel (and individuals of other services) training and education initial and follow-on technical skill progression training, undergraduate flying training, professional military education, specialized professional development, and related training support. initial skill training provided to basic military training graduates include courses ranging from administration specialist to precision measurement equipment repair. Members requiring a higher degree of skill or familiarization receive follow-on skill progression training. Most training is conducted at four technical training centers; however, some technical training is conducted at civilian educational institutions and contractor facilities.

specialized undergraduate and advanced navigator training, pilot instructor training (PIT), and undergraduate helicopter training. Flying training programs include flight screening, undergraduate pilot training, specialized undergraduate pilot training (SUPT), Units at six bases conduct all flying training operations.

civilians at each stage of their career. PME resident and correspondence programs include Air War College, Air Command and Staff College, Squadron Officer School, and the Senior Non-Commissioned Officer Academy. Airmen Leadership Schools and Professional military education (PME) programs enhance and develop the critical leadership skills of officers, enlisted, and Non-Commissioned Officer Academies are also available for junior and mid-level enlisted personnel.

Professional development programs include a broad range of continuing education and graduate education programs offered through resident and civilian institutions. Recruiting & Other Training & Education missions include personnel acquisition operations, voluntary education programs for active duty, civilian professional development programs, and the Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps.

Personnel acquisition includes recruiting, advertising, processing and classification operations needed to fulfill Air Force end-strength and force structure manpower requirements. The Voluntary Off-Duty Education Program provides active duty personnel the opportunity for professional development and advancement through tuition assistance for post secondary education.

Civilian professional development programs provide technical, professional, and specialized skill training, supervisory and management development, and administrative and clerical instruction to over 100,000 Air Force O&M civilian employees. Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC) is designed to motivate young Americans to be better citizens with emphasis on self-discipline, personal responsibility, values, and graduation from high school.



## II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Basic Military Training Group	~	~	~	~
United States Air Force Academy.	~	_	-	<del></del>
Reserve Officer Training Corps Detachments	146	143	143	143
Officer Training School	~	~	_	_
Technical Training Centers	5	4	4	4
Flying Training Wings/Bases	9	ဖ	ဖ	9
Other Training Support				
Field Training Detachments	46	42	26	23
Field Operating Locations	13	9	ဇ	-
Professional Military Education (PME)				
PME Resident Programs	4	4	4	4
Senior NCO Academy	~	~	_	_
NCO Academies	15	13	13	13
Professional Development Programs				
Development Centers	8	7	7	2
Graduate Schools	2	2	2	2
Recruiting Regions	4	4	4	4
Recruiting Squadrons	29	29	29	29
JROTC Units	206	586	609	609

III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
	FY 1994	Budget		Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Activity Groups	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Accession Training	\$156,024	\$178,966	\$178,966	\$172,399	\$183,970	\$190,040
Basic Skills & Advance Training	1,174,833	1,211,105	1,162,375	1,101,067	1,230,608	1,257,192
Recruting & Other Training Education	222,320	222,170	205,320	234,477	226,182	219,305
Total	\$1,553,177	\$1,612,241	\$1,546,661	\$1,507,943	\$1,640,760	\$1,666,537
B. Reconciliation Summary:						
		Change		Change		Change
		FY 1995/1995	•	FY 1995/1996		FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding		\$1,546,661		\$1,507,943		\$1,640,760
Undistributed Congressional Reductions		-\$17,733		\$0		\$0
Price Change		2,000		20,306		49,062
Civilian Locality Pay Offset		-2,145		2,145		
Functional Transfer		-22,526		44,403		13,357
Program Changes		1,686		65,963		-36,642
Current Estimate		\$1,507,943		\$1,640,760		\$1,666,537



Ö	C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):	reases (\$ in Thousands):	
<del></del>	1. FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Adjustments)	s Undistributed Adjustments)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	<ul> <li>a. Undistributed Congressional Reduction</li> <li>1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown.</li> <li>2) Contracting and Consulting</li> <li>3) Information Technology</li> <li>4) Pay Raise and Locality Pay</li> <li>5) Federal Workforce Restructuri</li> </ul>	Undistributed Congressional Reductions  1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown  2) Contracting and Consulting  3) Information Technology  4) Pay Raise and Locality Pay  5) Federal Workforce Restructuring	\$-16,656 \$-2,210 \$-2,000 \$+1,992 \$+1,141
7		Revised Appropriated Amount	•
က်	3. Price Change	Price Change	
4.		Civilian Locality Pay Offset	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
IJ.	5. Functional Program Transfers	Functional Program Transfers	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	a. Transfer In	Transfer In	
	<ol> <li>Pollution Prevention</li> <li>Combat Training Squad</li> </ol>	Pollution Prevention	\$+4,171 \$+2,963
	b. Transfers Out	Transfers Out	
	<ol> <li>Luke and Tyndall Air Fo</li> <li>Altus Air Force Base</li> </ol>	Luke and Tyndall Air Force BasesAltus Air Force Base	\$-19,580 \$-10,080
<u>က</u>	3. Program Increases	Program Increases	

\$-22,526

\$+7,134

\$-2,145

\$+2,000

\$1,528,928

\$1,546,661

\$-17,733

\$+69,012

\$-29,660

	ကြောင်းလမ်းမှာ တောင်းမ	Base Operations (FY 1995 Base \$287,099)	\$+23,584 \$+19,055 \$+8,800 \$+5,957 \$+4,956 \$+1,380 \$+1,121 \$+102	
4.	Progr	Program Decreases		\$-67,326
	က် သမ် မော် မော်	Pilot/Navigator Production (FY 1995 Base \$32,380)  Environmental Compliance (FY 1995 Base \$32,273)  Service Academies (FY 1995 Base \$89,396)  Specialized Skill Training/Course Development (FY 1995 Base \$187,895)  Professional Development Education (FY 1995 Base \$79,245)  Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$34,784)  Recruiting and Retention Contract Studies/Analysis (FY 1995 Base, \$1,567)	\$-50,339 \$-8,840 \$-3,845 \$-1,652 \$-1,615 \$-948	
5	FY 1	FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$1,507,943
6	Price	6. Price Growth		\$+20,306
7.	Civili	Civilian Locality Pay Offset		\$+2,145
œ	Func	8. Functional Program Transfers		\$+44,403
	æ	Transfers In	\$+45,128	

	\$-725			\$+25,395 \$+23,104 \$+13,033 \$+11,204 \$+7,457 \$+4,754 \$+3,500 \$+2,471 \$+2,471
\$+21,775 \$+15,644 \$+3,882 \$+1,655 \$+1,454 \$+358 \$+310 \$+50		\$-410 \$-155 \$-100 \$-60		æ
<ol> <li>Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals</li> <li>Military to Civilian Conversion.</li> <li>Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change.</li> <li>Air Force Academy Military-Civilian Faculty Conversion (FY 1995 Base, \$64,087).</li> <li>Commercial Activities (A-76: Military Actions).</li> <li>Air Combat Camera Services (AIRCCS) Reorganization.</li> <li>Attaché Training Transfer.</li> <li>Standard Level User Charges (SLUC) Realignment.</li> </ol>	b. Transfers Out	<ol> <li>Classified Program Transfer</li></ol>	Program Increases	<ul> <li>a. Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$105,657)</li> <li>b. Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Program Realignment (FY 1995 Base, \$18,064)</li> <li>c. Flight Training (FY 1996, Base \$274,714)</li> <li>d. Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training Systems (FY 1996 Base, \$274,714)</li> <li>e. Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM) to 90% (Flight Training FY 1996 Base, \$274,714)</li> <li>f. Environmental Compliance/Conservation (FY 1995 Base, \$21,603)</li> <li>g. Air Base Ground Defense (FY 1995 Base, \$197,204)</li> <li>h. Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC) (FY 1995 Base, \$22,288)</li> <li>i. Distance Learning (FY 1995 Base, \$78,631)</li> </ul>

တ

\$+97,894

	j. One Additiona k. Recruiting and l. Air Force Res m. Base Operation	One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base, \$596,259) Recruiting and Examining Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$34,592)	\$+1,974 \$+1,146 \$+882 \$+874	
10.	10. Program Decreases			\$-31,931
	:		6 0 47	
		Civilian Education and Training Development (FY 1995 Base, \$82,974)	4.4.0.0	
		Off-Duty Voluntary Education Assistance (FY 1995 base, \$63,327)	4-0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	
	c. rield Iraining d Infrastructure	Field Training Detachments (FTD) Reductions (FT 1995 Base, \$70,500)	\$-2,208	
		Dayton Area Graduate Studies Institute (DAGSI) (FY 1995, Base \$78,631)	\$-2,100	
		Civilian Squadron Officer's School (SOS) and Air Command and Staff College (ACSC)		
	Attendance ()	Attendance (Professional Development Education FY 1995, Base \$78,631)	\$-2,100	
		Advertising Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$9,386)	\$-1,364	
	h. Veterans Edu	Veterans Educational Assistance Programs (FY 1995 Base, \$2,806)	\$-913	
		Service Academies (FY 1995 Base, \$46,561)	\$-845	
	j. Eliminate Act	Eliminate Active Duty Nonresident SNCOA		
	(Professional	(Professional Development Education FY 1995, Base \$78,631)	\$-276	
	k. Decrease Re	Decrease Recruit Training Accessions (FY 1995 Base, \$4,053)	\$-237	
	<ol> <li>Other Officer</li> </ol>	Other Officer Commissioning Programs (FY 1995 Base, \$272)	<del>\$</del> -191	
7.	FY 1996 Budget Re	11. FY 1996 Budget Request		\$1,640,760
5	Drice Growth	Drice Growth		\$+49,062
13.	13. Functional Program Transfers	n Transfers		\$+13,357
	a. Transfers In.	Transfers In	\$+13,357	
	1) Military to	1) Military to Civilian Conversion		

	2) Air Force Academy Military-Civilian Faculty Conversion	\$+1,655 \$+92	
Prog	Program Increases		\$+18,194
က်င္းက္တဲ့	Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1996 Base, \$166,155)	\$+9,934 \$+6,237 \$+1,307 \$+560 \$+156	
Prog	Program Decreases	•	\$-54,836
க் ம்	Civilian End Strength Reductions (FY 1996 Base, \$508,321)	\$-12,751	
ပ	(FY 1996 Base, \$204,465)	\$-11,437 \$-6,828	
ö	Environmental Compliance/Conservation (FY 1996 Base, \$26,932)	\$-4,598 8.4.423	
o; +-:	Service Academies (FT 1990 base, 347,044)	\$-2,939	
Ö	Dayton Area Graduate Studies Institute (DAGSI) (Professional Development Education FY 1996 Base, \$78,688)	\$-2,900	
Ę	Distance Learning (Professional Development Education	\$-2,100	
:	Banked Pilot Production (FY 1996, Base \$336,956)	\$-1,988	
. <u>.</u>	Off-Duty/Voluntary Education Program (FY 1996 Base, \$72,086)	\$-1,431	
. <b>ند</b>	Infrastructure Streamlining (FY 1995 Base, \$411,913)	\$-1,089	
E	Recruiting and Advertising Activities (FY 1996 base, \$44,627) Field Training Detachments (FTD) Reductions	/70'I- <del>0</del>	
	(Training Support FY 1996 Base, \$65,048)	\$-732	
Ċ	Veterans Educational Assistance (FY 1996 Base, \$3,694)	\$-644	

<del>.</del>

4.

\$-230	\$1,666,537
∯	
o, Recruit Training (FY 1996 Base, \$3,881)	15. FY 1997 Budget Request

## IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Air Force Academy				
Carryover Strenath (US)	4,372	4,259	4,157	4,154
Entries (Total)	1,297	1,290	1,249	1,120
Attrition (US)	393	397	341	332
Graduations (Total).	1,017	995	911	800
Cadet End Strength (US)	4,259	4,157	4,154	4,142
Average Cadet Work Load (Total)	4,081	3,980	3,960	3,960
AFA Preparatory School - Work Load (Total)	198	198	198	198
AFA Preparatory School - Graduates (Total)	178	176	176	176
O&M Funding - Cadet Program	\$37,350	\$44,761	\$47,484	\$47,611
O&M Funding - Preparatory School	\$193	\$318	\$360	\$397
AECP Training Load	21	92	92	92
OTS Work Load	194	248	234	336
ROTC	40.00	24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Average Student Enrollment	10,805	1,305	14,113	4.4.4
Graduates Commissioned (rinish ROIC)	1,420	1,455	004,1	one'l
Number of Financial Grants	4,038	5,195	6,046	6,177
Number of Detachments	146	146	143	143
ROTC Gains (Enter ROTC)	1,871	1,666	1,996	1,996
Specialized Skill Training Workloads	10,925	16,144	15,695	16,781
Flying Training Workloads	1,220	1,456	1,663	1,762
Primary Authorized Aircraft (PAA)	861	836	880	006

Flying Hours	379,557	364,063	397,042	432,775
Professional Development and Education Workloads	5,023	4,744	4,713	4,708
Training Support Graduates	144,000	110,000	95,000	85,000
Non-Prior Service Accessions	30,000	31,500	31,000	36,000
Officer Recruiting Objectives	4,787	5,037	5,019	5,414
Non-Line Officer Recruiting Objectives	852	881	866	993
Off-Duty & Voluntary Education Enrollments	271,094	249,235	221,501	211,273
Civilian Education Inputs	22,104	21,662	12,998	12,348
Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps Enrollments	57,470	67,070	76,670	79,430
Junior Reserve Officer Training Corp Units	506	586	609	609

### IV. Personnel Summary:

					Change	Change
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1995/1996	FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total)	44,674	45,071	44,222	46,406	-849	2,184
Officer	10,201	299'6	9,604	9,841	-63	237
Enlisted	30,466	31,404	30,618	32,565	-786	1,947
Cadet	4,007	4,000	4,000	4,000		
Civilian End Strength (Total)	12,840	13,045	13,378	13,234	333	-144
U.S. Direct Hire	12,820	13,029	13,362	13,218	333	-144
Foreign National Direct Hire	10	80	80	හ		
Total Direct Hire	12,830	13,037	13,370	13,226	333	-144
Foreign National Indirect Hire	10	80	80	8		
Military Workyears (Total)	45,219	44,535	44,835	45,680	300	845
Officer	10,207	9,855	9,746	9,915	-109	169
Enlisted	30,933	30,724	31,143	31,804	419	661
Cadet	4,079	3,956	3,946	3,961	-10	15
Civilian Workyears (Total)	12,277	13,406	13,226	13,333	-180	107
U.S. Direct Hire	12,257	13,390	13,210	13,317	-180	107
Foreign National Direct Hire	9	<b>6</b> 0	80	80		
Total Direct Hire	12,263	13,398	13,218	13,325	-180	107
Foreign National Indirect Hire	14	ထ	ထ	ω		

I. Description of Operations Financed: Air Force accession training produces Air Force enlisted and officer personnel in the quantity, quality, and specific professional skills to meet total force requirements. The United States Air Force Academy, Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps, Air Force Officer Training School, and the Airman Education and Commissioning Program conduct officer accession training to meet officer force structure requirements.

military and academic education/training--which provides cadets the knowledge and character building tools essential to be The United States Air Force Academy (USAFA), Colorado Springs, CO conducts a rigorous four year curriculum--both effective military leaders.

specific hard-to-recruit scientific; engineering, and other technical specialties. Financing includes college scholarship tuition, The Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps (AFROTC) is the largest source of Air Force officers. AFROTC supplements academic education with military education and training. AFROTC allows the Air Force to meet accession requirements in textbooks, summer field training programs, and other logistical costs associated with operating AFROTC detachments at 143 colleges across the country.

supports the Air Force Officer Orientation Course for chaplains, lawyers, and other officers who receive direct commissions. The Officer Training School (OTS) located at Maxwell AFB, AL provides Air Force pre-commissioning training for both prior period. This program permits the Air Force to rapidly respond to short term fluctuations in officer requirements. OTS also service and non-prior service individuals. Officer candidates receive intensive military indoctrination over a three month

The Airman Education and Commissioning Program allows selected active duty airmen to earn academic degrees in specific fields based on Air Force needs and to attend OTS to earn a commission. Newly acquired Non-Prior Service (NPS), Air National Guard (ANG), and Air Force Reserve (AFRES) enlisted recruits receive initial Training Group includes seven basic military training squadrons, a Military Training Instruction (MTI) school, a confidence course, training for newly enlisted NPS, ANG, and AFRES personnel. This training provides an effective, efficient military indoctrination program that facilitates a smooth transition from civilian life to the military environment. Training lasts six weeks and tests new indoctrination through the Basic Military Training Group. The Basic Military Training Group, Lackland AFB, TX conducts basic recruits physically, emotionally, and mentally to prepare them to meet standards of the military profession. The Basic Military

drill and ceremonies function, and a drum and bugle corps. Training is provided on a continuous basis for an average daily student load of over 4,000 recruits.

Base Support maintains base infrastructure and personnel support functions at USAFA. It fulfills a broad range of critical needs -from child care for member dependents to highly skilled and specialized security forces that constantly guard our facilities. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, work force productivity, and preserve USAFA's physical plant. The bulk of USAFA's Base Support requirements are infrastructure related. USAFA infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below:

Utility Systems Operation

Installation Equipment Maintenance

Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction

Real Property

Aircraft Runways

Aircraft Maintenance Complexes

Roads

Dormitories

Environmental Compliance Engineering Services

Fire Protection

Crash Rescue

Crasn Rescu Custodial

Refuse Collection

Snow Removal

Security Forces for Protection

Aircraft

Personnel Buildings

Equipment

Ground Transportation

Operational Readiness

Other Support

Base Communication Services

Essential Data Processing Services

FY 1994 FY 1995 FY 1996 FY 1997		146 143 143	-
II. Force Structure Summary:	Basic Military Training Groups	AFROTC Detachments	Officer Training School

III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
A. Subactivity Groups	FY 1994 Actual	Budget Request	Appropriation	Current Request	FY 1996 Estimate	FY 1997 Estimate
Officer Acquisition Recruit Training Reserve Officer Training Corps Base Support	\$40,224 5,714 31,310 78,776	\$46,561 4,398 37,529 90,478	\$46,561 4,398 37,529 90,478	\$46,820 4,051 37,202 84,585	\$49,197 3,881 39,226 91,666	\$50,704 3,888 40,898 94,550
Civilian Locality Pay Total	\$156,024	<u>0</u> \$178,966	<u>0</u> \$178,966	\$172,399	\$183,970	\$190,040
B. Reconciliation Summary:	ĹL	Change FY 1995/1995		Change FY 1995/1996		Change FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding Undistributed Congressional Reductions Price Change Civilian Locality Pay Offset Functional Transfer Program Changes Current Estimate		\$178,966 -\$2,015 500 -259 481 -5,274 \$172,399		\$172,399 \$0 4,083 259 5,060 2,169 \$183,970		\$183,970 \$0 5,492 0 1,655 -1,077 \$190,040

# C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

	FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Adjustments)a. Undistributed Congressional Reductions	\$-2,015	\$178,966
	1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown       \$-1,833         2) Contracting and Consulting       \$-370         3) Pay Raise and Locality Pay       \$+242         4) Information Technology       \$-189         5) Federal Workforce Restructuring       \$+135		
2	Revised Appropriated Amount		\$176,951
က်	Price Change		\$+500
4.	Civilian Locality Pay Offset		\$-259
5.	Functional Program Transfers		\$+481
	a. Transfer In	\$+481	
	Pollution Prevention		

program funding in the installation's primary budget activity will provide cost identification for the specific missions supported and increased flexibility for field commanders.

Ö.	Pro	Program Decreases		\$-5,274
	તાં	Service Academies (FY 1995 Base \$90,478)	\$-3,845	
	á	Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$35,095)	\$-948	
	ပ	Environmental Compliance (FY 1995 Base, \$2,323)Reflects completion of several projects.	\$-481	
7.	F	FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$172,399
ထ်	Pric	Price Growth		\$4,083
တ်	CCI Wei	Civilian Locality Pay Offset  Congress authorized locality pay increase for federal employees nationwide (average increase 1.07 percent). Because no additional funding was appropriated, decreases in this activity group were necessary to temporarily offset this price growth. A reprogramming request will be provided to Congress at a later date and, once approved, funding will be restored.		\$+259
10.		Functional Transfers		\$+5,060
	က်	Transfers In	\$+5,215	

	Military to Civilian Conversion (FY 1995 Base, \$172,399)	\$+2,042
Air F (FY The incre offic instr posi	Air Force Academy Military-Civilian Faculty Conversion (FY 1995 Base, \$64,087)	\$+1,655
Erar (€55 Tar	Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change	\$+718
ısfeı	Transfers Out	
Fas This Pro sus sep sep will mu	Fast Payback Capital Investment	\$-155

\$-155

\$+3,442

Force and generate productivity-improving initiatives for today's fiscal environment.  Increases	\$+181	of operating \$+874 olice	et specific et specific ng, es in ROTC ster than the ster than the	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	r's fiscal
This decendent of the company of the	One Additional V	Base Operations Additional resour supplies and to requipment required	Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps (FY 1995 Base, \$37,202)	Defense Finance (FY 1995 Base, \$ This funding adju decentralized DF, realignment to lin functions and uni detailed workload	Force and generate productivity-improving initiatives for toda environment.
ပ် ဖွဲ့ ပွဲ	ठं	ပဲ	ف	ત્તું	Prog



There are 261 compensable calendar workdays in FY 1996 versus 260 days in FY 1995.

12.	Pro	Program Decreases		\$-1,273
	<b>છ</b> ં	Service Academies (FY 1995 Base, \$46,561)	\$-845	
	۵.	Decrease Recruit Training Accessions (FY 1995 Base, \$4,053) Enlisted accessions will decrease slightly between FY 1995 and FY 1996 (36,501 vs 36,001) causing a decline in the funding requirement.	\$-237	
	Ö	Other Officer Commissioning Programs (FY 1995 Base, \$272)	\$-191	
<del>ر</del> 3	Ā	FY 1996 Budget Request		\$183,970
4.	ď	Price Growth		\$5,492
15.	T.	Functional Program Transfers		\$+1,655
	ત્તું	Transfers In	\$+1,655	

\$+1,307

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: ACCESSION TRAINING

(28 positions) from FY 1996 and 7 workyears (17 positions) conversions from FY 1997.

Program Increases. 16.

hrough the FYDP to move closer to 100 percent sustainment of our outyear cost, supplies, equipment and contractual support due to additional student end strengths. OTS provides upward mobility opportunities for the enlisted force and a chance to serve for those who missed college commissioning The increase is a result of Air Force efforts to increase officer accessions program opportunities. Additional funding provides for increased printing Officer Training Squadron (OTS) (FY 1996 Base, \$1,264)..... quotas to support a 71 percent increase in workload. α

Real Property Maintainance Activities (FY 1996 Base, \$35,582) ..... degraded) requirements will be deferred. Net increase also includes \$766K Funding will address all known remaining Level 1 (Unsatisfactory--minimal mission support) facility requirements. However, the majority of Level II from the Presidential funding initiative for quality of life and mission readiness. .

supports increased officer production from 1,700 in FY 1996 to 1,900 in FY Continuing increases in production goals cannot be met, especially in the echnical categories (Engineering, Computer Science, Math and Physics) 1997 to support a greater numbers of cadets in the Professional Officer Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps (FY 1996 Base, \$39,226)...... without corresponding increases to the scholarship program. Funding Course (POC). All POC cadets are under contract and eligible for the Professional Officer Course Incentive (POCI) scholarship. ပ

Recruit Training (FY 1996 Base, \$3,881) ...... ਰ

+1,272

\$+560

\$+156

In FY 1997 enlisted recruit training entries (including reserve and guard) are programmed to increase from 36,001 to 41,001. This increase reflects the funding requirement associated with the initial clothing, equipment, and alterations necessary to support 5,000 additional recruits.

17.	Pro	17. Program Decreases		\$-4,372
	તાં	Service Academies (FY 1996 Base, \$47,844)	\$-4,142	
	٥	Recruit Training (FY 1996 Base, \$3,881)	\$-230	
<del>8</del> .		FY 1997 Budget Request		\$190,040

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:	valuation	n Summa	<u>:</u>			FY 1994	FY	FY 1995	FY 1996		FY 1997	
Air Force Academy Carryover Strength (US) Entries (Total) Attrition (US) Graduations (Total) Cadet End Strength (US) Average Cadet Work Load (Total) O&M Funding - Cadet Program O&M Funding - Preparatory School	(al)					4,372 1,297 393 1,017 4,259 4,081 \$37,350 \$193	4. 464	4,259 1,290 397 995 4,157 3,980 \$44,761	4,157 1,249 341 911 4,154 3,960 \$47,484 \$360		4,154 1,120 332 800 4,142 3,960 \$47,611 \$397	
	ENTRS	1994 ACTUAL ENTRS GRADS	LOADS	190 ENTRS	1995 ESTIMATE LS GRADS L	E LOADS	1996 ESTIMATE ENTRS GRADS LOADS	ESTIMAT SRADS	E LOADS	1997 ENTRS	1997 ESTIMATE ENTRS GRADS L	TE LOADS
Recruit Training												
Active Reserve ANG Total	29,981 741 2,283 33,005	26,831 731 2,096 29,658	3,409 88 263 3,760	31,500 2,001 3,000 36,501	28,980 1,853 2,758 33,591	3,628 231 345 4,204	31,000 2,001 3,000 36,001	28,520 1,853 2,758 33,131	3,571 231 345 4,147	36,000 2,001 3,000 41,001	32,940 1,853 2,758 37,551	4,136 231 345 4,712
Officer Acquisition												
Officer Candidate School/ Officer Training School Academy Preparatory School Other College Commissioning Progra	217 40	662 178 15	194 198 21	992 220 35	942 176 35	248 198 92	938 220 35	797 176 35	234 198 92	1,344 220 35	1,142 176 35	336 198 92

ROTC				
Average Student Enrollment	10,805	11,305	12,113	12,414
Graduates Commissioned (Finish ROTC)	1,420	1,435	1,400	1,500
Claddatics Commissional ( missions 2)	4,038	5,195	6,046	6,177
Number of Detachments	146	146	143	143
ROTC Gains (Enter ROTC)	1,871	1,666	1,996	1,996
Funding:	1			000
Scholarships, Tuition, and Books	\$25,656	\$31,813	\$33,920	\$36,200
Other	5,654	5,389	5,306	4,098
Total	\$31,310	\$37,202	\$38,226	\$40,888

Base Operating Support		:	1	
Total End Strength *	7,265	7,248	7,247	7,250
	5.753	5.533	5.512	5.497
VIIII & 1 y			101	1111
Civilian	1,512	1,/15	1,/35	1,733
Total Major Installations	_	<b>~</b>	_	•
SONOS	<del></del>	~	_	-
Overseas	0	0	0	0
Facilities Supported (000 sq ft)	8,470	8,482	8,745	8,745
Plant Reniscement Value	1,439,559	1,479,866	1,523,053	1,569,119
	382	370	378	375
Number of Officer Quarters	78	78	92	92
Number of Enlisted Quarters	304	292	286	283
	486	491	487	488
Owned	454	459	453	450
בסטמס	32	32	34	38
Number of Child Care Centers	က	3	2	7
Number of Child Care Spaces	415	415	415	485

<sup>\*</sup> Base support personnel reflects total personnel physically assigned to and supported by MAJCOMs having host support responsibility for this activity group. These figures do not equal authorized personnel due to the complex nature of host/tenant relationships among Air Force units.

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: ACCESSION TRAINING

V. Personnel Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	Change FY 1995/1996	Change FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total) Officer Enlisted Cadet	11,100 1,503 5,590 4,007	11,042 1,440 5,602 4,000	10,888 1,416 5,472 4,000	11,648 1,406 6,242 4,000	-154 -24 -130	760 -10 770
Civilian End Strength (Total) U.S. Direct Hire	1,556	1,752	1,780	1,797	28 28	17
Military Workyears (Total) Officer Enlisted Cadet	11,322 1,548 5,695 4,079	10,959 1,458 5,545 3,956	10,950 1,444 5,560 3,946	11,297 1,439 5,897 3,961	- 1. - 15 - 10	347 -5 337 15
Civillan Workyears (Total) U.S. Direct Hire Total Direct Hire	1,481	1,724 1,724 1,724	1,780 1,780 1,780	1,799	56 56 56	10 00

brightest people, build and maintain a rigorous education and training architecture to meet skill requirements into the next century . Description of Operations Financed: The basic skills and advanced training mission is to educate and train our nation's realizing the special training needs driven by a smaller force, and to produce ready and capable aircrews.

education essential to effectively and efficiently operate, maintain, and manage complex Air Force weapon systems and associated the Air Force's overall combat capability and readiness. Programs cover a broad spectrum of requirements for initial and follow-on Basic skill and advanced training operations provide Air Force and appropriate personnel of other services individual training and support structure. This training provides the technical know how and leadership skills they need to function as an integral part of technical skill progression training, undergraduate flying training, professional military education, specialized professional development, and related training support.

Specialized skill training provided to recruit training graduates, active duty military personnel, reservist, national guard personnel, DoD civilians and foreign military members includes technical courses ranging in length from 5 to 50 weeks, and covers a broad training and job experience, but who now require a higher degree of skill or familiarization with new equipment and operating spectrum of courses from administration specialist to precision measurement equipment repair. Those members with initial techniques, receive follow-on skill progression training.

Four technical training centers located at Keesler AFB, MS; Goodfellow AFB, TX; Sheppard AFB, TX; and Lackland AFB, TX currently conduct most of our basic and advanced technical training. However, some technical training is also conducted at civilian educational institutions and contractor facilities when it is more cost effective, such as in the case of unique systems/procedures.

Primary flying training programs include flight screening, specialized undergraduate pilot training (SUPT), specialized undergraduate and advanced navigator training, pilot instructor training (PIT), and undergraduate helicopter training. Air Education and Training Command (AETC) conducts flight screening operations at both the Air Force Academy and Lackland MS; Reese AFB, TX, and Laughlin AFB, TX conduct our SUPT programs. Sheppard AFB hosts the EURO-NATO Joint Jet Pilot AFB to identify individuals who have the basic aptitude to become pilots. Units at four bases, Vance AFB, OK; Columbus AFB, Training (ENJJPT) mission which produces pilots for participating NATO countries. Randolph AFB, TX provides both instructor pilot and navigator training.

employment of air power, and provides an understanding of joint and combined operations. Enlisted PME strengthens leadership Non-Commissioned Officer Academy, the Non-Commissioned Officer Academy, and Airman Leadership Schools. All except the commissioned officers and civilians, and senior noncommissioned officers, to prepare them for progressively more responsible Professional military education (PME) programs enhance and develop the critical leadership skills of junior, mid-career, senior positions. Officer PME contributes to development of warfighting leaders capable of strategic thinking, cultivates expertise in and management capability and broadens knowledge of the military profession. Our PME resident programs include Air War College, Air Command and Staff College, Squadron Officer School, School for Advanced Air Power Studies, Senior Non-Commissioned Officer Academy and Airman Leadership Schools are also available by correspondence.

Professional Development; the Education Development Center; the Center for Aerospace Doctrine, Research, and Education; and the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT). Courses are conducted at resident facilities and at civilian colleges or universities Professional development programs for civilian and military personnel provide specialized education to meet needs in specific functional areas. A broad range of continuing education and graduate education programs are offered through the Center for throughout the country. Training support activities that fulfill other essential training functions include Headquarters Air Education and Training Command -provides positive command, control, and guidance to the training establishment; Field Training Detachments -- conduct on-site Extension Course Institute (ECI) -- offers correspondence course programs covering mandatory career development courses, training at Active, Guard, and Reserve installations on weapon systems identified to specific commands; and the Air Force upgrading in most airman skills, and other training directed toward total force military education requirements.

Base Support maintains personnel support functions and base infrastructure at Air Education and Training Command installations. constantly guard our facilities and systems. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity, It fulfills a broad range of critical needs -- from child care for family members to highly skilled and specialized security forces that and preserve our physical plant. The myriad of functions Base Support encompasses can be categorized as infrastructure or personnel support.

Personnel support includes food and housing services for unaccompanied and deployed forces; child care and family support; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services to Air Force personnel and their family members.

Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving support are listed below:

Utility Systems Operation
Installation Equipment Maintenance
Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction
of Real Property
Aircraft Runways
Aircraft Maintenance Complexes
Roads
Dormitories
Environmental Compliance
Engineering Services
Fire Protection
Crash Rescue
Custodial
Refuse Collection
Snow Removal

Security Forces of Protection
Aircraft
Personnel
Buildings
Equipment
Air Base Operability
Explosive Ordinance Disposal
Ground Transportation
Operational Readiness
Other Support
Base Communication Services
Essential Data Processing Services

## II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Specialized Skill Training Technical Training Centers	က	4	4	4
Flight Training Flying Training Wings/Bases Aircraft Types Supported Aircrew Training Devices	ο ετ 4	074	တ က က	o <del>1</del> c
Officer Professional Military Education Senior Service Schools	<del>~</del> <del>~</del> <del>~</del> ~	F T T		<del></del>
Enlisted Professional Military Education Senior NCO Academy NCO Academies Development Centers Graduate Schools (in-house)	<del>- फ</del>	<u>- 6</u> 0 0	<u>- 6</u> 00	<u>-6000</u>
Other Training Support Field Training Detachments (FTD)	46	42	3	23

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING

## III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

FY 1995	FY 1994 Budget Actual Request Appropriation	Specialized Skill Training         \$193,561         \$200,365         \$191,           Flight Training         330,422         333,228         333,           Professional Development Education         80,137         80,042         80,           Training Support         82,596         68,293         68,           Base Support         488,117         529,177         489,           Civilian Locality Pay Offset         0         0	Total \$1,174,833 \$1,211,105 \$1,162,  B. Reconciliation Summary: EY 1995/1995	seline Funding Undistributed Congressional Reductions Price Change Civilian Locality Pay Offset Functional Transfer Program Changes Program Changes S1,101,067
395	Current Request	\$191,565 \$197,204 \$333,228 275,516 380,042 78,631 68,293 70,993 489,247 479,525 (	\$1,162,375 \$1,101,067 \$1,230,608 Change <u>FY 1995/1996</u>	\$1,101,067 0 9,495 802 39,343 79,901 \$1,230,608
	FY 1996 Estimate	\$204,465 336,956 78,688 65,048 545,451	•	
	FY 1997 Estimate	\$198,070 354,965 72,738 64,206 567,213	\$1,257,192 Change FY 1996/1997	\$1,230,608 0 36,583 11,702 -21,701 \$1,257,192

# C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

	1. FY 1995 Appropriated Amount	:	\$1,162,375
	a. Undistributed Congressional Reductions	\$-12,975	
	1) Civilian Pay Raise	m m 10 m m	
ci	Revised Appropriated Amount	:	\$1,149,400
	Price Change	:	\$+1,000
4.	Civilian Locality Pay Offset  Congress authorized locality pay increase for federal employees nationwide  (average increase 1.07 percent). Because no additional funding was appropriated, decreases in this activity group were necessary to temporarily offset this price growth. A reprogramming request will be provided to Congress at a later date and,		\$-802
5	Funding Program Transfers	•	\$-23,007
	a. Transfers In	\$+6,653	
	<ol> <li>Pollution Prevention</li></ol>	0	

and Subactivity Groups used by installation commanders for other base support programs. This realignment to the installation's primary budget activity provides improved cost identification for the specific missions supported.

\$+2,963

Combat Training Squadron Transfer ...... In conjunction with the ongoing Air Force restructuring, the Combat Training Command to Air Education and Training Command. The transfer includes supplies/equipment. The mission transfers from Activity Groups: Combat Related Operations and Space Operations to Activity Group: Basic Skills instructors (civilian pay), contract support, other manpower support and Squadrons at Peterson and Falcon AFBs will transfer from AF Space and Advanced Training. Transfers Out

ف

during the FY 1995 President's Budget, Altus Air Force Base transferred from Air Mobility Command to Air Education and Training Command. At that time, the correct BA is Mobility Forces (BA 02). This funding adjustment corrects Altus Air Force Base was erroneously realigned to Budget Activity (BA 03); in conjunction with the ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented the misalignment.

\$-10,080

3-29,660

Page 179

Program Increases.....

တ်

\$+23,584

\$+36,441

Recruiting; thus reflecting an increase above the projected requirement. This operations funds in Budget Activity Operating Forces, and Altus should have misalignment of funds has been corrected (see FY 1995 Transfers Out) and when Luke, Tyndall, and Altus Air Force Bases transferred to Air Education retained base operations funds in Budget Activity Mobilization. Instead, the it's shrinking infrastructure. The increase in funding for base operations in the FY 1995 PB which was latter cut, results from a misalignment of funds assuming that this program's funding was not decreasing proportional with The FY 1995 Appropriations Act reduced Base Operations Support (BOS) funds for these three bases were included in Budget Activity Training and this funding increase reinstates training BOS to its proper funding level. and Training Command. Luke and Tyndall should have retained base Base Operations (FY 1995 Base \$287,099)..... ત્વં

\$+8,800

The FY 1995 Appropriations Act reduced specialized skill training \$8.8 million Specialized Skill Training (FY 1995 Base \$191,565)...... 5,000 personnel; conversion of officer positions to enlisted increases training ncrease in funding, thus the Air Force realigned \$8.8 million into this activity ield reducing the need for on-the-job training. These initiatives require an assuming there should be a direct correlation between funding for training course for each Air Force specialty to provide mission ready troops to the equirements; and establishment of an initial and advanced skills training mprove the quality of our shrinking force. These include: reduction and strengthen and increase the effectiveness of its training and sustain and consolidation of Air Force specialties requiring the retraining of 4,000 to structure drawdown the Air Force implemented a series of initiatives to and end strength reductions. However, in response to the rapid force و.

#### ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

courses. This shift to more formal training will result in a reduced number of Field Training Detachments (FTD) Reductions (FY 1995 Base \$68,293)..... from training conducted on-the-job or through FTDs to formal schoolhouse decrease in the number of FTDs, but due to delays in development of the A major objective of the Air Force training restructure is to shift emphasis ormal courses which will replace the FTDs, we must retain most of these FTDs in the future. The FY 1995 President's Budget anticipated a major units for another year (FY 1995 - 42 FTD units). This increase restores support for these units. ပ

7. Program Decreases.....

Decrease reflects lower projected pilot and navigator production targets in FY Pilot/Navigator Production (FY 1995 Base \$333,228)..... Group. Scheduling efficiencies have also been implemented as a result of a ower future Air Force requirements and the acclerated drawdown of banked 1995. Workload requirements were adjusted downward in concert with the decentralization of depot level reparables (DLRs). Man-hour requirements ncrease in FY 1996 to maintain sustainment levels. Flying hour supplies, anticipating a smaller flying program. Several cost saving initiatives have also been implemented. Funding for aircraft maintenance contracts was production reaches its lowest mark in FY 1995 since 1950 but begins to oilots. The banked pilot program is scheduled to end in FY 1996. Pilot for DLR repairs were revised downward creating savings in this Activity aircraft maintenance, and logistics support contracts were lowered lowered to reflect our first year of operational experience with the ecent Air Force audit to maximize utilization flying hours. ต่

\$-61,965

#### ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING **BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING** O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

	Reflects early completion of several projects in FY 1994.
ပ	Specialized Skill Training/Course Development (FY 1995 Base \$191,565) The Air Force embarked on a major restructuring of its training philosophy with the CSAF's "Year of Training" plan in FY 1992. Two key components of this plan were the establishment of an initial and advanced skills training course for each Air Force specialty. The objective of this plan was to build a coherent training infrastructure to improve the quality of the Air Force's education and training. This reduction reflects delays or cancellation of some of the new courses the Air Force had planned to implement in FY 1996.
ਰੰ	d. Professional Development Education (FY 1995 Base \$80,042)

\$-1,615

\$-1,652

\$-8,359

\$1,101,067

\$+802

Civilian Locality Pay Offset

တ်

1.07 percent). Because no additional funding was appropriated, decreases in this activity group Congress authorized locality pay increase for federal employees nationwide (average increase

were necessary to temporarily offset this price growth. A reprogramming request will be

provided to Congress at a later date and, once approved, funding will be restored.

10. Price Growth			\$9,495
11, Functional Program Transfers	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		\$+39,343
a. Transfers In		\$+39,913	
1) Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals	\$+21,775		
2) Military to Civilian Conversion.  Military manpower not identified to perform a direct combat role, provide direct combat support, deploy overseas or perform military mandated work that has been converted to civilian positions. This increase supports the conversion of activities such as aircraft maintenance at Randolph AFB from military to civilian, staff members at Air University and instructors at the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) and various base support activities.	\$+12,802		·
3) Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change	\$+3,164		

\$-570

#### ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGE1

2) American Forces Information Service (AFIS)/	
Defense Information Service Agency (DISA)	<del>\$-</del> 100
The Motion Media Records Center (MMRC) transfers from the Air Force	
to the American Forces Information Service (AFIS). This reflects the	
deactivation of the Air Force Media Center as part of a continuing reduction	
in the Air Force structure. AFIS transfer realigns civilian slots and associated	
funding to AFIS (\$29). In July 1992, the DepSecDef approved the functional	
transfer and consolidation of Services' visual information, broadcast, and	
public affairs training into a single joint educational facility under AFIS.	
Funding was transferred from this Activity Group to AFIS (\$71) for this	
purpose.	

Relocation/Transition Assistance, Career Focus, Volunteer Management, etc. FAMNET is a worldwide telecommunications service that supports Air Force This initiative will transfer funds to the 11th Support Wing (formerly the Air Force District of Washington) to align contract administration and program execution. Funds will be realigned from the Basic Skills and Advanced Family Support Centers in various programs to include Air Force Aid

8-60

Training Activity Group to the Servicewide Activities Activity Group.

RPM are building square footage and number of bases. Square footage has Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$105,657) ....... and accordingly, the Secretary of the Air Force adopted a strategy that takes The FY 1996 Budget begins to rebuild a Real Property Maintenance (RPM) program that had been severely reduced since 1988. The major drivers of percent. This has caused accelerated deterioration of our physical plant -been reduced only 21 percent, while RPM funding has been reduced 39 αġ

\$+90,498

185 Page

#### ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

ife enhancements. Net increase also includes a \$2.4M reduction for Federal 'unsatisfactory" and 22 percent were rated "degraded." This increased RPM funding also reflects \$2.8M from the Presidential initiative to fund quality of equirements by each installation commander -- the Commanders' Facility care of our existing physical plant before buying more. To implement this budget even at the expense of MILCON is absolutely essential to prevent strategy, Air Force substantially decreased MILCON. Restoring the RPM reflects a formalized and detailed analysis of quality of life and readiness eadiness and quality of life for our people. More than ever, this budget serious deterioration of our physical plant which underpins our military Assessment (CFA). In the CFA, 8 percent of our facilities were rated Energy Management Program (FEMP)

detailed workload and rates estimates provided by DFAS. Program funding decentralized DFAS funding in FY 1996. This change reflects an internal functions and units supported in activity group. Estimates are based on realignment to link customer funds to the DFAS services provided to This funding adjustment results from the Air Force move toward Realignment (FY 1995 Base, \$16,708) ...... Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Program contained in the Base Support funding line. ف

reductions/unit closures and the resultant need to fill many of the remaining commitments to previous pilot accessions led to the decision to create the pilot bank, and production necessarily was reduced while UPT graduates were temporarily blocked from operational cockpits. Up until FY 1997, recockpits (that would normally be available to absorb new UPT graduates) Flight Training (FY 1995, Base \$274,714)..... Pilot production increases to meet long term force structure needs. The production constraints of the past several years were driven by force with pilots from closing units. The Air Force's determination to keep

Ç

\$+21,599

\$+13,033

qualified banked pilots are being assigned to cockpits that would normally go to UPT graduates. Effective pilot production (combination of UPTs, First Assignment Instructor Pilots, banked and non-major weapon system pilots) from FY 1994 through FY 1997 will remain about 1000 per year. The anticipated closure of the bank and the absorption of pilots displaced by force structure changes will require the Air Force to increase UPT pilot production to maintain the 1,000 per year target. To maintain a projected force of 14,000 pilots, considering attrition and assuming continued high retention, a steady state of pilot production equates to 1,100 new pilots per year. This funding increase provides for the additional flying required to train new pilots.

The restructuring of the pilot training program in recent years produced funding efficiencies (\$-3,308). The new pilot training program implements a specialized training track for tanker/transport pilots (SUPT). These pilots are flying the T-1A instead of the T-38. The efficiencies realized here reflect the lower cost per flying hour of this new aircraft. Also the flying training syllabus was reduced by the advent of the T-1A. This adjustment has a ripple effect on the funding requirements for maintenance and flying hours.

The T-3A Enhanced Flight Screener fully replaces the T-41 as the Air Forces primary flight screener in FY 1995. This more advanced aircraft provides a more rigorous screening program and results in lower attrition rates in SUPT (adjusted downward to 15%).

Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training Systems (FY 1995 Base, \$274,714) Implementation of SUPT and increased navigator production have lead to the This funding covers the changes in flying training operations. iollowing changes:

ö

\$+11,204

SUPT Training - In FY 1993 undergraduate pilot training was revised to incorporate the T-1A Jayhawk aircraft. The T-1A and associated ground based training (simulators) systems provide specialized training tracts for pilots with follow on assignments to tanker/transport aircraft. Maintenance of this aircraft is primarily via contract. Increased funding (\$6,000) supports delivery of the T-1A to Columbus AFB in FY 1996 and provides for support for a new simulator and aircraft maintenance costs.

Undergraduate Navigator Training Simulator Support - When Mather AFB closed and the navigator school moved to Randolph AFB, navigator production at the time, necessitated only one simulator complex. A second complex was placed in storage. Increased outyear navigator needs and production targets now require two navigator simulator complexes. This funding increase (\$5,204) covers transportation and modemization of the simulator that will be put back into operation.

- (Flight Training FY 1995 Base, \$274,714) ...... increase in FY 1996 DPEM funding reduces the DPEM backlog and brings Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM) to 90 percent funding levels from 87 percent to 90 percent in FY 1996. o O
- Environmental Compliance/Conservation (FY 1995 Base, \$21,603)...... Environmental Policy Act, the Threatened and Endangered Species Act, and environmental conservation represents specific projects to meet the National Realigns program to the level required to ensure compliance with federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations. Level of funding for other similar laws.

\$+7,457

\$+4,754

\$+3,500

training requirements due to the closure of Ft Dix and other funding constraints. Funding will pay to establish this school and recurring training expenses.

Increase provides funding for the third satellite up-link at Keesler AFB and upgrade of the existing link at Sheppard AFB to complete the distance learning system. This new training system will allow the Air Force to bring the classroom to the student and thus save on travel costs.	
One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base, \$189,383)	\$+1,456

9	1			4-10 597
<u>6</u>	Pro	13. Program Decreases		5
	æ	Field Training Detachments (FTD) Reductions (FY 1995 Base, \$70,993) A major objective of the Air Force training restructure is to shift emphasis from training conducted on-the-job or through FTDs to formal schoolhouse courses. This shift to more formal training will result in a reduced number of FTDs operating in the future. This program decrease adjusts funding to reflect this reduced requirement.	\$-3,913	
	Ġ	b. Infrastructure Streamlining (FY 1995 Base, \$406,072)	\$-2,208	

Infrastructure Streamlining (FY 1995 Base, \$406,072)	Reflects a 3 percent annual reduction in infrastructure as a result of the	drawdown. Functions affected include the Field Operating Agencies, major	command headquarters, and combat operations staffs.
b.	œ	ס	Ō

Page 189

\$-2,100

#### ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

Students may take courses at any of the three schools which will be counted participation of AFIT in this consortium has been made possible through the doctoral level study of engineering and computer science by pooling faculty Jniversity of Dayton (UD). The main purpose of DAGSI is to improve local reimbursements from non-Air Force student tuition, state tuition subsidies, talents and research resources of the three participating institutions. The Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986. Member institutions will retain enrollment limits. Degrees will be granted by the individual universities. toward another member school's degree. A common fuition rate will be and regional education and research opportunities in the masters and total control over admissions, standards, degree requirements and charged by the three schools. This funding decrease reflects and research grants.

- Civilian Squadron Officer's School (SOS) and Air Command and Staff College (ACSC) Attendance (Professional Development Education FY 1995, Base \$78,631)... Civilian attendance at SOS is being reduced by 65 to 135 per year and at ACSC from 58 to 16 to reflect the force structure drawdown. ਰਂ
- active duty enlisted will now attend PME in-residence. Eligibility will be linked Active duty participation in nonresident SNCOA has been eliminated. All (Professional Development Education FY 1995, Base \$78,631)....... Eliminate Active Duty Nonresident SNCOA œ.

\$-276

- to promotion rates and force structure. Savings result from reduced mailing and processing costs associated with the correspondence program.
- 15. Price Growth

\$1,230,608

\$36,583

6.	Fun	16. Functional Program Transfers		\$+11,702
	ன்	Transfers in	\$+11,702	
		Military to Civilian Conversion		
		\$+92 This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. The Air Force has designated various activities/functions as not military essential. Thus these positions have been converted from the military authorizations. Under the guidelines of OMB Circular A-76, the workload for these converted functions will be contracted out.		
17.	Prog	17. Program Increases		\$+14,899
	ಣ	Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1996 Base, \$130,573) Net increase reflects the ongoing Commander's Facility Assessment program. Funding will address all known remaining Level 1 (Unsatisfactoryminimal mission support) facility requirements.	\$+8,662	
	<b>ف</b>	Flight Training (FY 1996, Base \$336,956)	\$+6,237	

#### ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

introduction of SUPT and the T-1 will lower the cost per flying hour with the reduction of the more costly T-38 and a decrease in the syllabus.

allows for a more rigorous screening program, have both reduced our attrition Also the implementation of SUPT and the T-3, our new flight screener which rates to 15 percent. Cost savings (\$9,000) for all initiatives have been included in this budget. \$-36,600

\$-11,437

Specialized Skill Course Development and Interservice Training Efficiencies FY 1996 Base, \$204,465) ......

courses. FY 1996 will be the last year for major training development efforts redundant training overheard. Savings will be realized in the shared use of Force's training program has streamlined training and training organization, similar DoD courses by the services will result in training efficiencles. Costandardized training concepts and procedures, and redefined skill levels. structure changes associated with "Year of Training" initiatives offset by a slightly increased funding requirement caused by these new courses and accessions. Since its inception, this revolutionary restructuring of the Air and associated costs will decline. The consolidation and co-location of located courses such as the basic civil engineering course will reduce Through its formative years, this program revised or created over 242 Net result of the completion of course development and training force greater funding requirements associated with an increase in enlisted

reductions across the federal government and established civilian workyear This action accelerates and increases previously programmed civilian Civilian End Strength Reductions (FY 1996 Base, \$411,913) .....

training equipment, bulk purchase of training materials, equipment

maintenance and instructor support.

ف

\$-8,817

ceilings for each agency. Most of the reductions took place in the base support area as the Air Force strives to reduce overhead realigning funding to readiness training activities.

ပ	Environmental Compliance/Conservation (FY 1996 Base, \$26,932)	\$-4,598
ठं	Undergraduate Navigator Training Simulator Support (FY 1996, Base \$336,956) Decrease reflects the one time transportation and modernization of a navigator simulator in FY 1996.	\$-2,939
ø	Dayton Area Graduate Studies Institute (DAGSI) (Professional Development Education FY 1996 Base, \$78,688)	\$-2,900
44.2	Distance Learning (Professional Development Education FY 1996 Base, \$78,688)	\$-2,100

Banked Pi From FY 1 flying dutie UPT gradu flying train	Banked Pilot Production (FY 1996, Base \$336,956)	From FY 1991 through FY 1993, the Air Force "banked" 1094 pilots in non-	flying duties because the force draw down limited cockpits available to new	UPT graduates. This decrease reflects the completion of re-qualification	flying training these pilots needed to return to flying assignments.
	y. Banked Pilot P	From FY 1991	flying duties be	<b>UPT</b> graduates	flying training t

This reduction reflects the FY 1996 one-time installation cost to install the satellite up-link at Keesler AFB and upgrade the existing link at Sheppard

\$-1,988

h. Infrastructure Stre Reflects a 3 perce drawdown. Functi command headqu	<ul> <li>Infrastructure Streamlining (FY 1996 Base, \$411,913)</li></ul>
--	--

\$-1,089

(Training Support FY 1996 Base, \$65,048)..... courses. This shift to more formal training will result in a reduced number of from training conducted on-the-job or through FTDs to formal schoolhouse A major objective of the Air Force training restructure is to shift emphasis FTDs operating in the future. This program decrease adjusts funding to Field Training Detachments (FTD) Reductions reflect this reduced requirement.

\$-732

19, FY 1997 Budget Request.....

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

		1994 ACTUAL	ALS.	199	1995 ESTIMATE	ut	19	1996 ESTIMATE	Ħ		1997 ESTIMATE	STIMATE
	ENTRS	ENTRS GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	TOADS
Specialized Skill Training												
Initial Skill												
Active	23,831	20,930	5,012	24,691	24,973	7,587	24,983	25,232	7,631	31,619	31,799	8,555
Reserve	3,190	2,854	717	6,025	5,062	1,314	5,647	4,749	1,225	5,592	4,703	1,212
ANG	6.321	5,758	1,438	9,953	8,834	2,238	9,433	8,387	2,111	9,250	8,226	2,070
Other .	4,641	4,992	1.144	6,961	6.697	1.625	6,957	6.691	1.439	6.957	6.691	1,625
Subtotal	37,983	34,534	8,311	47,630	45,566	12,764	47,020	45,059	12,406	53,418	51,419	13,462
Skill Progression											:	
Active	15,828	14,482	1,155	18,873	20,401	1,470	18,110	19,613	1,421	18,692	20,194	1,469
Reserve	2,424	2,358	161	4,334	4,313	295	4,011	3,991	279	3,980	3,959	276
	5.365	5,337	348	7,048	6,988	509	6,693	6,634	480	6,574	966,3	469
O. P. C.	12,223	12,911	785	14,188	14.106	902	14.192	14,115	906	14.192	14,155	906
Subtotal	35,840	35,088	2,449	44,443	45,808	3,176	43,006	44,353	3,086	43,438	44,704	3,120
Survival Training												
Active	5,250	5,217	129	5,527	5,490	141	5,490	5,370	137	5,383	5,347	136
Reserve	209	207	9	285	283	1	278	276	7	288	287	ထ
SNA	511	507	16	669	692	15	835	826	22	707	703	18
Other	492	488	14	1,400	1,390	37	1,432	1,400	37	1.408	1,402	37
Subtotal	6,462	6,419	165	7,911	7,855	204	8,035	7,872	203	7,786	7,739	199
							30	700	7	079 707	102 063	16 781
Total - Specialized Skill Trng	80,285	76,041	10,925	99,984	99,229	16,144	190'86	97,284	15,695	104,642	700'501	10,101

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING

	199	1994 ACTUALS	ST	1995	1995 ESTIMATE	ш	199	1996 ESTIMATE	TE	1997	1997 ESTIMATE	•••
	ENTRS GRADS		LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS GRADS		LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS 1	LOADS
Professional Military Education												
Senior Service Colleges												
USAF War College												
Active	147	147	124	149	149	126	150	150	127	150	150	127
Reserve	വ	ស	4	ស	ß	4	ស	ស	4	S.	2	4
ANG	S	ഗ	4	က	2	4	2	ည	4	ស	S	4
Other	96	8	82	9	ഒ	87	26	97	82	힏	힏	88
Subtotal	253	253	214	250	250	212	257	257	217	261	261	221
AWC Reserve Program												
	8		Ć	S	5	c	ç	ç	c	CC.	ç	c
Reserve	2	3	>	3	70	>	24	2	•	3	2	•
ANG	18	18	0	8	8	0	8	8	0	8	8	0
Subtotal	38	38	0	4	8	0	4	4	0	40	6	0
National War College (NWC)												
Active	4	41	34	4	4	8	4	4	34	4	4	8
Reserve	~	<b>~</b>	~	_	~	-	-	<del></del>	4	-	•	-
ANG	~	-	-	-	_	_	-	<b>-</b>	<b>*</b>	_	-	-
Other	-1		-1	-1	↽	<del></del> 1	<del>←</del> 1	<b>←</b> I	<del>-</del> -1	<b>-</b> -1	<del>-</del> 1	<del>-</del> 1
Subtotal	4	4	37	4	4	37	4	4	37	4	4	37
Total - Centor Service Colleges	335	33.	251	334	334	249	341	34	254	345	345	258
וסנקו - ספונותו ספו גומפ ספוניארת	3	2	2			) 			İ			

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING

	19 ENTRS	1994 ACTUALS ENTRS GRADS LOADS	ALS LOADS	1995 ENTRS	1995 ESTIMATE ENTRS GRADS LOADS	E OADS	1996 ESTIN	<u>~</u>	ATE LOADS	1997 ENTRS (	1997 ESTIMATE RS GRADS LO	E LOADS
Intermediate Service Schools												
Air CMD and Staff College (ACSC)	<u></u>											
Active	384	384	320	394	394	328	394	394	328	394	394	328
Reserve	10	10	80	10	10	89	10	10	œ	10	9	ထ
ANG	7	7	9	7	7	9	7	7	စ	7	7	ၑ
Other	178	178	148	170	170	141	170	170	14	170	170	4
Subtotal	579	579	482	581	581	483	581	581	483	281	281	483
Career Officer Professional Schools	Sig											
Squadron Officer School												
Active	3,024	2,410	311	3,048	3,048	393	3,048	3,048	393	3,048	3,048	393
Reserve	61	53	7	130	130	17	130	130	17	130	130	17
ANG	9	8	ဆ	130	130	17	130	130	17	130	130	17
Other	197	174	R	242	242	ल	242	242	ह्य	242	242	펆
Subtotal	3,343	2,697	349	3,550	3,550	458	3,550	3,550	458	3,550	3,550	458
Other PME Course									,		;	,
ACSC (International Off.)	80	80	ო	80	80	ო	8	8	က	8	8	ო

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING

	-	1994 ACTUALS	ALS	1995	1995 ESTIMATE	Щ	19	1996 ESTIMATE	ATE	1997	1997 ESTIMATE	ш	
	ENTRS	ENTRS GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS GRADS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	
Enlisted Leadership Training													
Senior NCO Academy													
Active	1,748	1,637	224	1,625	1,625	223	1,625	1,625	223	1,625	1,625	223	
Reserve	70	28	8	8	20	7	20	8	7	20	8	7	
ANG	79		12	75	75	10	75	75	0	75	75	10	
Other	50	প্র	ЮI	S	껆	<b>©</b>	얾	S	<b>©</b>	8	හු	<b>©</b> 1	
Subtotal	1,923	1,813	И	1,800	1,800	248	1,800	1,800	248	1,800	1,800	248	
NCO Academy													
Active	10,781	10,675	1,180	8,667	8,648	953	8,612	8,593	947	8,603	8,584	946	
Reserve	254	259	8	320	318	98	320	318	36	320	318	36	
ANG	1.059	4-		1,334	1,329	147	1.334	1,329	147	1,334	1.329	147	
Subtotal	12,094	_	-	10,321	10,295	4-	10,266	10,240	1,130	10,257	10,231	1,129	
Airman Leadership School													
Active	10,974	10,796	086	9,866	699'6	879	9,570	9,379	853	9,522	9,475	855	
Reserve	103	101	6	100	8	6	93	92	80	92	91	80	
ANG	200		18	200	495	45	470	465	42	460	456	4	
Other	12	15	~	51	15	2	15	5]	C/I	51	15	21	
Subtotal	11,289	11,109	1,009	10,481	10,278	935	10,148	9,951	905	10,089	10,037	906	
Total - PME	29,643	28,618	3,670	27,147	26,918	3,512	26,766	26,543	3,481	26,702	26,624	3,485	

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING

	-	1994 ACTUALS	IALS	199	1995 ESTIMATE	TE.	15	1996 ESTIMATE	ATE	1997	1997 ESTIMATE	ш	
	ENTRS GR	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	ENTRS GRADS	LOADS	
Other Professional Education													
Active	8,590	8,583	996	9,358	9,363	883	9,358	9,364	883	9,358	9,352	874	
reserve	699		28	912	912	88	912	912	33	912	912	33	
000	533	533	26	280	290	31	230	290	છ	290	290	31	
Other	9,741	9,748	333	7,764	7,764	285	7.764	7,764	285	7,764	7.764	285	
Subtotal	19,533	19,533	*-	18,624	18,629	1,232	18,624	18,630	1,232	18,624	18,618	1,223	
Total - Professional Development													
Education	49,176	48,151	5,023	45,771	45,547	4,744	45,390	45,173	4,713	45,326	45,242	4,708	
Flight Training													
Undergraduate Pilot Tng													
Active	610	541	534	609	417	529	762	492	652	606	280	725	
Reserve	8	8	19	53	49	89	19	47	84	78	4	46	
ANG	168	147	147	98	118	117	8	91	66	92	11	98	
Other	181	167	163	153	45	159	4	121	165	191	149	173	
Subtotal	919	875	863	910	729	865	1,020	751	964	1,273	847	1,030	
Undergraduate Navigator Tng													
Active	333	331	30	833	717	160	964	883	215	1,066	1,029	264	
Reserve	12	12	က	4	8	80	61	53	15	64	9	17	
ANG	59	52	16	68	73	24	69	69	24	72	7	52	
Other	259	244	102	376	325	128	295	314	108	288	279	힌	
Subtotal	663		151	1,321	1,155	320	1,389	1,319	362	1,490	1,443	407	
Advanced Filght Tng													
Active	426	421	115	493	483	148	534	515	160	535	231	164	
Other	63	8	8	78	65	24	27	8	27	Ы	8	77	
Subtotal	489	484	•	571	548	172	604	900	187	616	611	191	

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING

		1994 ACTUALS	ALS	196	1995 ESTIMATE	Ш	-	1996 ESTIMATE	ATE	199.	1997 ESTIMATE	ш
	ENTRS	ENTRS GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS	ENTRS	GRADS	LOADS
Flight Screening												
Active	139	132	10	367	276	31	518	382	44	628	454	53
Reserve	27	25	2	109	98	10	118	105	F	127	115	12
ANG	103	97	7	59	53	9	22	51	ιΩ	59	53	9
Other	12	12	21	25	25	41	25	25	∢!	25	25	41
Subtotal	281	266	20	260	452	51	718	563	64	839	647	74
Other Flight Tng												
Active	335	335	51	403	421	47	505	539	75	311	311	48
ANG	~	0	0	0	4	0	54	54	0	64	64	11
Other	O	O	Ol	(C)	ଠା	₩	က	(C)	-	ကျ	(C)	H
Subtotal	336	335	51	408	428	48	562	596	86	378	378	09
Total Flight Training	2,748	2,599	1,220	3,768	3,312	1,456	4,293	3,829	1,663	4,596	3,926	1,762
Fiving Houre	1997	1994 ACTUALS		1995 ESTIMATE		199	1996 ESTIMATE		199.	1997 ESTIMATE		
Undergraduate Pilot Tng		323,548		288,548			314,575			350,796		
Undergraduate Navigator Tng		5,723		10,583			11,849			15,470		
Other Flying Hours		82,317		107,466			121,906			118,012		

Primary Authorized Aircraft (PAA)				
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
T-37	310	309	325	320
7.38	363	347	298	285
AT-38B	0	0	37	37
1-39	_	0	0	0
7-43	9	9	10	10
-1A	51	49	78	26
TG-9A	4	4	4	4
T-41B/C	43.	0	0	0
T-41D	7	7	7	8
UV-18	2	7	7	7
TG-4A	10	10	9	10
TG-7A	တ	တ	6	တ
T.3A	2	2	2	2
4.5-F	54	92	103	103
STAGE	O	OI	Ol	19
Total	861	836	880	006
Average Primary Aircraft Inventory (APAI)	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
T-37 T-38 AT-38B T-39 T-43 T-1A TG-9A T-41D. UV-18	308 352 0 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 2 = 2	309 346 0 0 10 49 27 22	328 308 00 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	321 288 37 0 10 95 0 2

Average Primary Aircraft Inventory (APAI) Cont'd)	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
TG-4A TG-7A TG-3 T-3A JPATS	5 e u e o	00000	00 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Flying Hours	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
T-37 T-38	143,821	139,348 138,383	163,051 109,826	178,422 106.108
AT-38B	10,500	0	12,448	13,292
Т-39	471	80	0	0
T-43	4,535	6,750	7,490	9,013
T-1A	32,323	36,970	52,939	74,437
TG-9A	542	1,350	1,350	1,350
T-41B/C	17,305	0	0	0
T-41D	575	200	700	700
UV-18	1,793	1,950	1,950	1,950
TG-4A	6,118	5,450	5,450	5,450
TG-7A	2,643	3,175	3,175	3,175
TG-3	391	200	700	700
T-3A	2,664	29,207	37,963	38,178
Total	379,557	364,063	397,042	432,775

Average Flying Hours Per PAI	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
T-37	467	451	503	556
T-38	443	400	360	368
AT-38B	0	0	377	359
T-39	471	0	0	0
T-43	175	540	244	901
*	695	644	673	784
TG-9A	136	338	338	338
T-41B/C	402	0	0	0
T-41D.	288	350	350	350
UV-18	897	975	975	975
TG-4A	612	545	545	545
TG-7A	294	353	353	353
TG-3	196	350	350	350
T-3A	140	417	380	371
JPATS	0	0	0	0
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Field Training Graduates	57,000 <u>87,000</u> 144,000	40,000 70,000 110,000	25,000 70,000 95,000	15,000 70,000 85,000

# IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary Cont'd:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	
Total End Strength *	68,308	68,441	66,226	68,054	
Military	55,023	55,744	53,037	55,311	
Civilian	13,285	12,697	13,189	12,743	
Total Major Installations	14	13	13	13	
CONUS	14	13	13	13	
Overseas	0	0	0	0	
Facilities Supported (000 sq ft)	58,969	53,919	53,337	53,407	
Plant Replacement Value	10,694,433	10,993,877	11,314,710	11,656,931	
Total Number of Quarters	34,174	34,858	34,664	36,527	
Number of Officer Quarters	5,010	5,078	5,301	5,733	
Number of Enlisted Quarters	29,164	29,780	29,363	30,794	
Total Number of Vehicles	7,886	7,850	7,781	7,768	
Owned	6,048	6,010	5,936	5,906	
Leased	1,838	1,840	1,845	1,862	
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	38	38	38	38	
Number of Child Care Spaces	4,460	4,460	4,860	5,160	

<sup>\*</sup> Base support personnel reflects total personnel physically assigned to and supported by MAJCOMs having responsibility for this activity group. These figures do not equal authorized personnel due to the complex nature of host/tenant relationships among Air Force units.

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING

### V. Personnel Strength:

1996/1997	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	Change FY 1995/1996	<u>}</u>	Cnange
Active Military End Strength Officer Enlisted Total Military End Strength	8,396 <u>22,048</u> 30,444	7,943 <u>23,056</u> 30,999	7,907 22,406 30,313	8,154 <u>23,583</u> 31,737	-989 -650 -686		247 1,177 1,424
Civilian End Strength U.S. Direct Hire Foreign National Direct Hire Total Direct Hire	9,566 9,567	9,220 <u>2</u> 9,222	9,700 <u>2</u> 9,702	9,541 9,543	480		159
Military Work Years Officer Enlisted Total Military End Strength	8,300 22,245 30,545	8,105 22,403 30,508	8,017 22,828 30,845	8,189 23,148 31,337	-88 4 <u>25</u> 337		172 320 492
Civilian End Work Years U.S. Direct Hire Foreign National Direct Hire Foreign National Indirect Hire	8,930	9,575 2	9,467	9,637	-108		170
Total Direct Hire	8,931	9,577	9,469	9,639	-108		170

Description of Operations Financed: Missions include personnel acquisition operations, voluntary education programs for active duty, civilian professional development programs, and the Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps.

requirements. We seek qualified applicants who meet stringent standards to satisfy the immediate and long-term strength needs of future prospects. Examining activities include continuing test and development of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (enlistment exam) in support of all Services and military manning at the Military Entrance Processing Command (MEPCOM) which Force Reserve, Air Force Academy (AFA), Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC), Officer Training Squadron (OTS), and Health processes applicants for all Services and ships them to basic training. Lastly, the program includes the 319th Training Squadron, the active force. Our advertising effort supports the following personnel procurement programs: Enlisted, Career Motivation, Air Recruiting, processing and classification operations provide sufficient numbers of personnel in the required quantity, quality and between lead generation which supports current objectives and awareness programs which support long range efforts aimed at Professional and Specialized Recruiting (women, minorities, hard-to-fill skills). The thrust in advertising is to achieve a balance skills, both non-prior and prior service (officer and enlisted), to fulfill Air Force end-strength and force structure manpower which process and classify active duty accessions.

The Voluntary and Off-Duty Education Program, a major recruiting, retention, and training incentive, provides active duty personnel the opportunity for professional development and advancement through tuition assistance for post-secondary education. Specific qualified training/education program. Educational Assistance Test Program (EATP) is a non-contributory program authorized by contributory programs in which military personnel may receive matching funds from the Air Force when the individual enters a Congress to test the effect of certain education incentives on recruiting and retention of selected Air Force Specialty Codes. off-duty programs financed include the Veterans Education Assistance Program (VEAP), the Educational Assistance Test Programs, and the Tuition Assistance program. Both VEAP (Public Law 94-502) and the Tuition Assistance program are

requirements are driven by Office of Personnel Management, DoD, and Air Force directives, as well as needs identified by major management development, and administrative and clerical instruction to over 100,000 Air Force O&M civilian employees. Civilian education and training programs provide technical, professional, and specialized skill training, supervisory and commands and centralized career programs.

Department of Defense schools in Europe and Guam. This program is primarily designed to motivate young Americans to be better Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC) units are located in high schools throughout the nation and at selected citizens with emphasis on self-discipline, personal responsibility, values, and graduation from high school

# II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Recruiting Regions Recruiting Squadrons Recruiting Offices (CONUS & OCONUS) MEPCOM Facilities (All CONUS) Personnel Processing Squadrons JROTC Units	29 1,197 65 1	29 1,159 65 1 586	4 29 1,159 65 1 609	4 29 1,159 65 1 609

The Air Force will continue implementation of a Presidential initiative to help at-risk inner city high school youths by opening additional JROTC units. We will open 80 units per year through 1995 and 23 units in 1996. This highly visible and positive program will expand Air Force JROTC to 609 units by 1997.



# III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
	FY 1994	Budget		Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Subactivity Groups	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Rednest	Estimate	Estimate
Recruiting & Advertising	\$40,315	\$41,885	\$42,985	\$43,978	\$44,827	\$45,129
Examining	4,230	3,435	3,435	2,994	3,122	3,162
Off Duty & Voluntary Education	86,880	78,086	63,086	83,327	75,537	75,781
Civilian Education & Training	74,360	77,856	74,906	82,974	77,304	69,268
JROTC	16,535	20,908	20,908	22,288	25,392	25,965
Civilian Locality Pay Offset	0	O	01	-1,084	01	01
Total	\$222,320	\$222,170	\$205,320	\$234,477	\$226,182	\$219,305
B. Reconciliation Summary:	щ	Change FY 1995/1995		Change FY 1995/1996		Change FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding		\$205,320		\$234,477		\$226,182
Undistributed Congressional Adjustments		(\$2,743)		\$0		\$0
Price Change		200		6,728		6,987
Civilian Locality Pay Offset		(1,084)		1,084		0
Program Changes		32,484		(16,107)		-13,864
Current Estimate		\$234,477		\$226,182		\$219,305

# C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

necessary to increase funding to keep pace with actual cost escalation and ensure availability to all eligible members.

\$+5,957

Program growth represents an aggressive attempt by the Air Force to sustain Force restored funding because civilian training must remain constant in light Civilian Education and Training Development (FY 1995 Base, \$74,906) ........ acquisition training mandated by the Acquisition Workforce Improvement Act meet legal requirements and sustainment capabilities in the following areas: of 1990, health and safety training required by law and regulation, and care centrally managed interns. Additionally, these funds provide the ability to of force structure reductions. These funds support programs that have a an acceptable level of civilian education and training programs. The Air initiatives. They support the initiative to meet the Air Force's long term replacement requirements through advertising and the recruitment of direct impact on force renewal, force retention, and force readiness giver training required by the Military Child Care Act of 1989. ف

Civilian Pay Adjustments (FY 1995 Base, \$88,354)...... Funds civilian average salary increase experienced as workforce decrease and employee longevity increases. ပ

Junior Reserve Officer Training Corp (ROTC) Support (FY 1995 Base, \$20,908) program. These new units will be located in inner cities and at-risk schools in Additional funding is required to support the current Junior ROTC expansion establishment of 80 additional units by the end of FY 1995. Increases will educationally and economically deprived areas. Funding supports the equipment, and textbooks to adequately prepare these new units for support additional instructor positions, travel requirements, supplies, ਰਂ

\$+4,956

\$+1,380

	0	Advertising Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$8,306)	\$+1,121	
	نب	Veterans Educational Assistance Programs (FY 1995 Base, \$2,806)	\$+102	
9	Pro	Program Decreases		
	ત્તું	Recruiting and Retention Contract Studies/Analysis (FY 1995 Base, \$1,575) . This decrease reflects the expiration of a multi-year contract for personnel studies.	\$-87	
7.	F	FY 1995 Budget Request		
œ.	Pri	Price Growth		
တ်	C C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Civilian Locality Pay Offset		

\$-87

\$6,728

\$234,477

\$+1,084

# BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

9

\$+3,954

\$+337 \$+1,146 \$+2,471 ACTIVITY GROUP: RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION Program Increases...... provided, e.g., field trips to bases, summer encampments, leadership schools One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base, \$234,477)..... There are 261 compensable calendar workdays in FY 1996 versus 260 days Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC) (FY 1995 Base, \$22,288) ..... Additional funding is associated with the current JROTC expansion program Recruiting and Examining Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$36,080)..... Increased funding supports communications and TDY requirements for the funding will support enhanced programs geared toward providing inner-city to acquire 23 new units by the end of FY 1996. Increased funding will pay CIM) initiative to standardize all DoD recruiting systems. Also included is information system (MIS) which tracks the Air Force acquisition workforce. nstructor salaries at inner city and at risk schools. Additional funding will Information Support System, a DoD Corporate Information Management support one time buys of computer equipment, supplies, furnishings and funding to support the development and expansion of the management ravel costs associated with the standup of these additional units. Also and at-risk youth a chance to experience other activities not otherwise nformation System (PROMIS II). PROMIS II will be fully deployed in completion of the implementation of the Procurement Management FY 1997. Funds also support development of the Joint Recruiting and other aviation and educational activities. نو ပ ત્તું

<del>7.</del>		Program Decreases		\$-20,061
	ત્ <del>યં</del>	Civilian Education and Training Development (FY 1995 Base, \$82,974) Funding reductions in training are commensurate to a 2.5 percent reduction in civilian end strength programmed reductions to the civilian intern program.	\$-9,474	
	ä	Off-Duty Voluntary Education Assistance (FY 1995 Base, \$79,335)	\$-8,310	
	ပ	Advertising Activities (FY 1995 Base, \$9,386)	\$-1,364	
	ਰੰ	Veterans Educational Assistance Programs (FY 1995 Base, \$2,908) The decrease adjusts funding to reflect fewer participants eligible for matching payments.	\$-913	
12.		FY 1996 Budget Request		\$226,182
13.		Price Growth		\$6,987
4		Program Decreases		\$-13,864
	ત્તું	Civilian Education and Training Development (FY 1996 Base, \$77,304) Funding declines as a result of overall force structure reductions.	\$-6,828	

	نه	Civilian Reductions (FY 1996 Base, \$226,182)	\$-3,934
	Ö	Off-Duty/Voluntary Education Program (FY 1996 Base, \$73,455)	\$-1,431
	ਰਂ	Recruiting and Advertising Activities (FY 1996 Base, \$44,827)	\$-1,027
	<b>ம்</b>	Veterans Educational Assistance (FY 1996 Base, \$2082)	\$-644
15.	F	FY 1997 Budget Request	

\$219,305

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

### IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Non-Prior Service Accessions Officer Recruiting Objectives Non-Line Officer Recruiting Objectives	30,000 4,787 852	31,500 5,037 881	31,000 5,019 998	36,000 5,141 993
Off-Duty & Voluntary Education Enrollments	271,094	249,235	221,501	211,273
Civilian Education Inputs	22,104	21,662	12,998	12,348
Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps Enrollments Junior Reserve Officer Training Corp Units	57,470 506	67,070 586	76,670 609	79,430 609

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACTIVITY GROUP: RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

#### V. Personnel Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	Change FY 1995/1996	Change FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total) Officer	3,193	3,030	3,021	3,021	סָּי עִּי	
Enlisted	2,883	2,746	2,739	2,739	<i>L</i> -	
Civilian End Strength (Total)	1,725	2,071	1,896	1,894	-175	Ş
U.S. Direct Hire	1,706	2,057	1,882	1,880	-175	-5
Foreign National Direct Hire	G	<b>9</b>	9	9		
Total Direct Hire	1,715	2,063	1,888	1,886	-175	-5
Foreign National Indirect Hire	10	ω	ω	ထ		
Military Workyears (Total)	3,389	3,140	3,117	3,127	-23	10
Officer	363	299	294	297	ဏှ	က
Enlisted	3,026	2,841	2,823	2,830	-18	7
Civilian Workyears (Total)	1,865	2,113	2,005	1,923	-108	-82
U.S. Direct Hire	1,846	2,099	1,991	1,909	-108	-82
Foreign National Direct Hire	9	9	9	9		
Total Direct Hire	1,852	2,105	1,997	1,915	-108	-82
Foreign National Indirect Hire	13	8	80	ထ		

Description of Operations Financed: This budget activity encompasses four broad mission areas -- Logistic Operations, Servicewide Support, Security Programs, and Support to Other Nations.

acquisition and logistics support and comprises 90 percent of the resources in this activity group. Fully half the resources provide operations of AFMC Headquarters, Product Centers, and Acquisition Program Executive Offices. Air Force Operational Test and Logistics Operations includes Air Force Logistics Operations, Technical Support Activities, Servicewide Transportation, and Base Support. It primarily funds the operation of Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC), which provides Air Force-wide cradle-to-grave for the pay of air logistics center, product center, administrative, and headquarters personnel; remaining resources fund the associated cost of travel, transportation, supplies, equipment, purchased equipment maintenance, contractual services, and Evaluation Center headquarters management and testing programs are also funded in this budget activity.

departmental level administration; communications; personnel programs; search, rescue and recovery services; subsistence; arms others support all services. Operations encompass a broad spectrum of essential servicewide activities that include Air Staff and accomplished via a number of highly specialized and unique Air Force organizations. Some support only Air Force operations, efficient management, and adequate support to Air Force units and personnel in diverse geographic locations. Much of this is Servicewide Activities cut across the entire Air Force to ensure combat capability and maintain readiness, effective leadership, control; and base support.

programs are not discussed in this document. The Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) is a Field Operating Agency (FOA) with headquarters at Bolling AFB, DC. AFOSI's mission is to protect Air Force resources through specialized investigative Security Programs include the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) and a series of classified programs. Classified support. Support to Other Nations includes security assistance; humanitarian assistance; U.S. participation in international and multinational other international headquarters. Also included is the Technology Transfer Program which controls the transfer of critical Air Force Powers Europe (SHAPE), North Atlantic Treaty Organization Airborne Early Warning and Control (NATO AEW&C) program, and activities; the United States' share of support to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), Supreme Headquarters Allied technologies to foreign governments.

### II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
	•	•	•	c
Number of Commands Supported	∞	ဆ	œ	œ
Number of Direct Reporting Units	က	က	က	က
Number of Field Operating Agencies (FOAs)	38	38	38	38
International Activities	9	ၑ	ဖ	ဖ
NATO Aircraft	18	18	18	18
International Headquarters	6	တ	6	6
Main Operating Base (MOB)	-	-	<del>/</del>	~
Number of Bases Supported	13	13	13	12
Number of Air Logistics Centers Supported	2	2	S	<u>့</u>
Number of Product Centers Supported	4	4	4	4
Number of Labs Supported	4	4	4	4 (
Number of Test Centers Supported	က	ကျ	ကျ	თ [
Number of Military Personnel Flights	86	88	82	1 Ω
Number of Consolidated Civilian Personnel Offices	107	104	88	6

### III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

	FY 1994	Budget	FY 1995	Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Activity Groups	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Logistic Operations	\$2,143,916	\$2,304,780	\$2,210,083	\$2,373,032	\$2,280,043	\$2,264,516
Servicewide Activities	1,983,995	1,467,663	1,535,460	1,414,833	1,335,859	1,307,607
Security Programs	757,676	454,840	454,840	449,097	447,218	465,254
Support to Other Nations	7,577	7,071	7,071	7,270	13,022	13,191
Total	\$4,893,164	\$4,234,354	\$4,207,454	\$4,244,232	\$4,076,142	\$4,050,568
B. Reconciliation Summary:		Change		Change		Change
	11-1	FY 1995/1995		FY 1995/1996		FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding		\$4,207,454		\$4,244,232		\$4,076,142
Undistributed Congressional Reduction		-\$69,001		0		0
Price Change		9,693		53,152	•	110,364
Civilian Locality Pay Offset		-6,793		6,793		0
Military Sealift Command Credit		0		6,300		0
Functional Transfer		20,917		25,448		1,384
Program Changes		81,962		-259,783		-137,322
Current Estimate		\$4,244,232		\$4,076,142		\$4,050,568

### C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

₹.	1. FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Reductions)a. Undistributed Congressional Reductions	\$-69,001	\$4,207,454
	1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown 2) Information Technology 3) Pay Raise and Locality Pay. 4) Classified. 5) Contracting and Consulting. 6) Federal Workforce Restructure 7) GSA Leases. 53-53,658 5-16,500 5-4,700 5-3,747 5-3,747 5-4,18		
N	2. Revised Appropriated Amount		\$4,138,453
က	3. Price Change		\$+9,693
4	4. Civilian Locality Pay Offset		\$-6,793
ß	5. Functional Program Transfers		\$+20,917
	a. Transfers in	\$+44,136	
	1) Kirtland AFB Transfer \$+43,883		
	b. Transfers Out	\$-23,219	
	(1) Pollution Prevention		

<u>ن</u>	Program Increases		\$+121,748
	a. Base Operations. b. Civilian Pay Adjustments. c. Depot Maintenance. d. Flying Hour Consumption Changes. e. DFAS Program Realignment. f. Information Warfare. g. Second Destination Transportation. h. Personnel Programs - Palace Compass. i. Environmental Compliance. j. Management Headquarters (International).	\$+35,273 \$+30,000 \$+20,062 \$+6,894 \$+6,593 \$+6,593 \$+6,527 \$+6,266 \$+5,732 \$+4,182 \$+4,182	
7.	Program Decreases		\$-39,786
	a. Civilian Pay Adjustments b. Information Management Automation Program (IMAP) c. Logistics Operations Support Costs d. Reduction in Support Costs e. Subsistence-in-Kind f. Air Force News Service Contracts g. Arms Control - Minuteman II	\$-12,469 \$-10,772 \$-9,856 \$-2,720 \$-1,920 \$-1,306	
œ̈	FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$4,244,232
6	Price Growth		\$+53,152
10.	. Military Sealift Command (MSC) Credit		\$+6,300
<del></del>	11. Civilian Locality Pay Offset		\$+6,793

12.

12.	Fur	Functional Program Transfers		\$+25,448
	ิต๋	Transfers In	\$+64,115	
		1) Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change: 2) Classified Programs 3) Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Realignment 4) Military to Civilian Conversions/A-76 5) Central Design Activities (CDAs)		
	ف.	Transfers Out	\$-38,667	
		<ol> <li>Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Realignment.</li> <li>American Forces Information Services (AFIS)</li> <li>Army Misc Transfers.</li> <li>Standard Level User Charges (SLUC)</li> <li>Joint Spectrum Center (JSC)</li> <li>Fast Payback Capital Program &amp; Component Sponsored Investment Program</li> <li>FASCAP &amp; CSIP)</li> </ol>		
<del>1</del> 3.		Program Increases		\$+123,137
	က်မေပ်ဝာပ်မတ်	Real Property Maintenance Activities  Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Reallocation  Defense Standardization Program  Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center (AFOTEC)  Treaty Requirements  Traditional CINC Programs.	\$+62,283 \$+16,295 \$+14,000 \$+6,280 \$+6,095 \$+5,000 \$+4,295	



	ਦਂ ਮੁ	Civil Air Patrol (CAP) Software Improvement and Insertion Program Pentagon Reservation Miscellaneous Support to Other Nations	\$+3,848 \$+3,101 \$+1,709 \$+231	
₹.	Pro	Program Decreases		\$-382,920
	ي ت ت ت و ش ت ت ت ت ت ت ت ت ت ت ت ت ت ت ت	DBOF Reimbursements.  Civilian Pay Adjustments  Base Operations.  Logistics Support Activities  Second Destination Transportation  Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC).  Classified Programs  Pollution Prevention.  Environmental Compliance.  Information Management Automation Program (IMAP).  Depot Maintenance.  Communications Services.  Logistics Headquarters Management  Non-Fly Depot Level Reparables (DLRs).  Air Combat Camera Services (AIRCCS) Reorganization.  Subsistence-in-Kind.	\$-81,081 \$-66,446 \$-29,176 \$-24,928 \$-12,220 \$-12,220 \$-6,878 \$-6,878 \$-4,629 \$-4,629	
	<del>ਤ</del> ਂ ਦ		\$-293	
5	Ŧ	FY 1996 Budget Request		\$4,076,142
c	Q	6 Price Growth		\$+110,364

7.	Functi	Functional Program Transfers		\$+1,384
	Н	Transfer In	\$+1,384	
	~	1) Military to Civilian Conversions/A-76		
ω̈	Progra	Program Increases		\$+28,437
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Logistics Support Activities. Classified Programs Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center. Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities. Military-to-Military Contact Program.	\$+15,709 \$+5,720 \$+3,639 \$+3,228 \$+141	
6	Progr	Program Decreases		\$-165,759
	ლ ഫ ഗ ರ <b>ಪ ←</b> ರಾ ⊑	Civilian Pay Adjustments  Second Destination Transportation (SDT)  Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC)  Base Operations, Logistics  Acquisition and Command Support  Logistics Operations and Logistics Headquarters Management  Defense Standardization Program  Information Management Automation Processing  Mgmt Headquarters/Dept ADP-Support  Software Improvement and Insertion Programs	\$-41,287 \$-35,139 \$-11,775 \$-6,168 \$-4,430 \$-4,046 \$-3,720	
	⊼ ¨ Ę ċ	Subsistence-in-Kind	\$-2,712 \$-2,160 \$-1,614 \$-1,533	
20.	FY 1	FY 1997 Budget Request		\$4,050,568

Page 224

#### IV. Personnel Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	Change FY 1995/1996	Change FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total)	44,018	45,431	41,937	41,081	-3,494	-856
Officer	13,942	14,032	12,990	13,557	-1,042	295
Enlisted	30,076	31,399	28,947	27,524	-2,452	-1,423
Civilian End Strength (Total)	36,564	40,659	39,521	37,833	-1,138	-1,688
U.S. Direct Hire	36,312	40,314	39,292	37,604	-1,022	-1,688
Foreign National Direct Hire	107	101	82	82	-16	
Total Direct Hire	36,419	40,415	39,377	37,689	-1,038	-1,688
Foreign National Indirect Hire	145	244	144	144	-100	
Military Workyears (Total)	49,900	46,178	43,878	41,814	-2,300	-2,064
Officer	14,776	14,126	13,615	12,912	-511	-703
Enlisted	35,124	32,052	30,263	28,902	-1,789	-1,361
Civilian Workyears (Total)	36,764	42,695	40,234	38,833	-2,461	-1,401
U.S. Direct Hire	36,345	42,362	39,947	38,604	-2,415	-1,343
Foreign National Direct Hire	9/2	102	93	82	တ္	ထုံ
Total Direct Hire	36,421	42,464	40,040	38,689	-2,424	-1,351
Foreign National Indirect Hire	343	231	194	144	-37	09-

### 1. Description of Operations Financed:

acquisition and logistics support and comprises 90 percent of the resources in this activity group. Fully half the resources provide operations of AFMC Headquarters, Product Centers, and Acquisition Program Executive Offices. Air Force Operational Test and Support. It primarily funds the operation of Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC), which provides Air Force-wide cradle-to-grave This activity group includes Air Force Logistics Operations, Technical Support Activities, Servicewide Transportation, and Base for the pay of air logistics center, product center, administrative, and headquarters personnel; remaining resources fund the associated cost of travel, transportation, supplies, equipment, purchased equipment maintenance, contractual services, and Evaluation Center headquarters management and testing programs are also funded in this activity group. The Logistics Operations subactivity group provides funding for Depot Maintenance, Logistics Administration Support, Management Headquarters, Logistics Support Activities, Engineering and Installation Support, Logistics Operations, and Support Systems Development.

The Depot Maintenance program, after significant decentralization of depot maintenance funding to operating commands in FY embedded software programs; area base manufacturing activities; and the storage of retired weapon systems. This essential 1994 and FY 1995, now supports only those items that cannot be effectively allocated to the appropriate mission area or are work is accomplished at organic, interservice, and contract facilities. The workloads at these facilities are managed by the AFMC specific requirements. The Depot Maintenance program provides resources to finance depot maintenance of O&M Depot Maintenance Business Area (DMBA) of the DBOF which, in turn, provides financial visibility to program managers. supported aircraft, missiles, engines, support equipment, their non-stock funded exchangeable components; support of

and operational support and for the Central Design Activity (CDA) associated with the software development and modernization Ammunition System, Fuels Automated Management System, and Cargo Movement Operations System transferred to Logistics of AFMC's "command unique" automation systems. In FY 1996, CDA personnel transfer to the Air Force Information Services Business Area (AFISBA) of DBOF. Logistics Support Activities will reimburse the AFISBA for their support. Systems included are the Air Force Equipment Management Systems, Comprehensive Engine Management System, Enhanced Transportation Logistics Support Activities reimburse Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) for general purpose computer hardware Automated Data System, and Weapon System Management Information System. Beginning in FY 1996 the Combat Support Activities from Activity Group: Service-Wide Activities.

ALC, Sacramento ALC, Oklahoma ALC, Warner Robbins ALC, and Ogden ALC). Logistics Administrative Support provides an efficient, cost effective administrative capability for policy formulation, planning, programming, budgeting, resource distribution, Logistics Administrative Support provides for the day to day operations of the five AFMC Air Logistics Centers (San Antonio

and review and evaluation of program performance. Principle to these operations are the center commanders and their staff, including the functions of the inspector general, history, small and disadvantaged business, and plans and program offices.

Executive Offices (PEOs). Management Headquarters fulfills the leadership, planning, policy formulation, and administrative Management Headquarters provides for the day to day operations of AFMC headquarters at Wright-Patterson AFB, three Air Systems Centers (Hanscom AFB, MA, Brooks AFB, TX, and Los Angeles AFB, CA), and the Air Force's Acquisition Program functions essential to ensure optimum combat capability, readiness and morale of the combat support forces assigned to Air Force Materiel Command, providing administrative command and control capability for the Air Force logistics and acquisition community. The workload is accomplished by organic manpower.

acceptance of communications, command and control, meteorological and air traffic control systems and facilities for the Air Logistics Engineering and Installation (E&I) requirements include programming, engineering, installation, testing and Force. These functions are performed on a world-wide basis. Logistics Operations funds logistics activities not included in the Supply Management Business Area (SMBA) of the Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF). These include retail supply management and inventory control points and procurement operations for non-stock funded material and weapon system management. Specific functions and organizations include:

Munitions Support Fuels and Vehicle Management

rueis ainu veriicie maria Special Weapons

Det 8

Air Force Logistics Management Agency Contractor Logistics Support Management

Rail Operations
Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center
Life Sciences Equipment Lab
Wright Patterson Contract Center
Center Supportability and Technology Insertions

System Program Management

management, and receipt, storage, preservation, issue and distribution. These costs are specifically identified to Logistics common support equipment at multiple Air Force Materiel Command locations; services provided by these organizations Other activities financed in Logistics Operations are sustaining engineering for munitions, oil analysis, vehicles, and include; item requirements computation, commodity management, standardization, cataloguing, systems and data Operations because they are not providing support for items or materiel included in the SMBA cost of operations management, procurement and contract administration, requisition processing, inventory accounting and supply

The Technical Support Activities subactivity group funds Acquisition and Command Support, the Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center, and the Defense Standardization Program.



Wright Patterson AFB, OH; Electronic Systems Center (ESC), Hanscom AFB, MA; Space and Missile Systems Center (SMC), Los Angeles AFB, CA; and Human Systems Center (HSC), Brooks AFB, TX). It does not fund Research, Development, Test acquisition. SMC plans, programs, and manages space systems. HSC manages aerospace medicine studies, analysis, and Acquisition and Command Support (ACS) includes functions at AFMC product centers (Aeronautical Systems Center (ASC) technology. Acquisition and Command Support funds staff functions, technical mission, and support activities at Air Force develop, integrate, and acquire Air Force systems, subsystems, and equipment. ASC is responsible for management of and Evaluation (RDT&E) activities which are funded in the RDT&E appropriation. The product centers conceive, design aeronautical systems acquisition. ESC is responsible for command, control, communications, and intelligence systems Materiel Command acquisition organizations.

The Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center (AFOTEC) funds specific operational test and evaluation (OT&E) projects assigned to the AFOTEC. Costs include range costs, transportation, travel, and per diem for the OT&E teams conducting OT&E projects, modification of test items to obtain test data, special test equipment, special data collection, reduction and analysis, contractual services in support of specific projects, and any other unique test costs incurred in conducting a specific OT&E project.

initiative to convert acquisition specifications and standards into documents that foster greater reliance on commercial products compatibility as required by Public Law 82-436. Incorporated in this program is the Secretary of Defense's acquisition reform The Defense Standardization Program, new in FY 1996, will improve and optimize economic use of resources within the Air Force and DoD through development of operational and material interoperability, commonality, interchangeability, and

Resources in the Servicewide Transportation subactivity group fund Second Destination Transportation and the Defense Courier Service. These programs supply the Air Force with worldwide transportation services.

system) shipments that enter the Defense Transportation System. The Defense Transportation System includes airlift and over ocean movement by Air Mobility Command (AMC) and Military Sealift Command (MSC). Most costs incurred support overseas SDT funds movement of lateral support (e.g., within the supply system) and maintenance to maintenance (outside the supply The Second Destination Transportation (SDT) program moves cargo for all Air Force activities and Air Post Office (APO) mail for all overseas Air Force activities. SDT provides CONUS-OCONUS movement of non-DBOF Air Force materiel (vehicles, munitions, aircraft engines, support equipment, etc.) from depot to depot or base to base as directed by the item manager. warfighting commands. Also included is support for distribution of APO mail destined to, from, and between overseas

These include diverting general cargo, previously air eligible, to surface movement; moving assets resulting from overseas force installations. The Air Force continues to implement cost avoidance/efficiency measures to decrease transportation costs. structure reductions and basing changes by surface; and moving Readiness Spares and Peacetime Training Operations munitions by surface.

composed of a headquarters staff, three regional commanders (CONUS/North America, European, and Pacific regions), and 39 Force customers total 1,150 and account for 30 percent of the DoD portion of the workload. DCS utilizes Air Mobility Command primarily top secret, sensitive compartmented information, cryptographic keying material, and sensitive material. DCS services over 7,000 customers, including DoD components, federal agencies, NATO and U.S. allies, and government contractors. Air secure/rapid worldwide distribution of highly classified, time-sensitive national security material and is an integral part of the National Command Authority's command, control, and communications system. The service is responsible for transporting and commercial airlift, overnight express carriers, small charter aircraft, and ground vehicles to transport distributions. It is Defense Courier Service (DCS) is a joint activity under the Commander-in-Chief, USTRANSCOM, exercising operational command as executive agent for the Secretary of Defense (SECDEF). DCS is the single DoD agency responsible for Defense Courier Stations located in 18 nations. Finally, the Base Support activity group maintains infrastructure and personnel support functions essential to AFMC main operating installations. It fulfills a broad range of critical needs from child care for family members to highly skilled and specialized security forces that constantly guard our facilities. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity, infrastructure support, and to preserve AFMC's physical plant.

Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below:

Engineering Services
Utility Systems Operation
Fire Protection
Crash Rescue
Custodial
Refuse Collection
Snow Removal

Real Property
Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction of:
Aircraft Maintenance Complexes
Roads
Dormitories
Ground Transportation
Environmental Programs



Explosive Ordinance Disposal

Security Forces for Protection of:

Aircraft Buildings

Equipment Personnel

Other Support
Base Communication Services
Essential Data Processing Services

Also included are personnel support functions such as food and housing services for unaccompanied personnel; child care and family support; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services for military personnel and their family members.

in excess of \$27.4 billion; 1.04 million acres of land; 3.4 million square yards of aprons; 743 miles of roads, 850 miles of water The physical plant maintained by AFMC supports a total of 65.6 billion square feet of structure with a current replacement value lines; and 2,769 miles of electrical distribution lines.

II. Force Structure Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Active Aircraft Inventory Supported	4,117	00	00	00

In FY 1994 depot maintenance funding for aircraft, engines, missiles and software was transferred to the weapon system programs. Therefore, inventory and flying hours for FY 1994 are only for AFMC assigned aircraft that have not transferred to AFMC Research, Development, Test & Evaluation (RDT&E). In FY 1995 all remaining AFMC aircraft and flying hours transferred to RDT&E.

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Number of Bases Supported	13	13	13	12
Number of Air Logistics Centers Supported	ស	S)	S	S
Number of Product Centers Supported	4	4	4	4
Test Centers Supported	ო	က	က ်	က
Labs Supported	4	4	4	4
Command Authorized Manpower Supported (AFMC) (Military and Civilian)	116,458	112,869	109,065	105,832



O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PB BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS

III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
	FY 1994	Budget		Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Subactivity Groups	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Rednest	Estimate	Estimate
	\$70E E73	\$884 155	*806 255	\$868 432	\$790.324	\$798 258
Total Current Application	227,010	349 512	349.512	332,763	365,535	353,411
Conjouride Transportation	254 946	246.084	238,484	250,633	234,836	207,369
Base Support	825,179	825,029	725,732	928,004	889,348	905,478
Military Sealift Command Credit	0	0	0	-6,300	0	0
Total	\$2,143,916	\$2,304,780	\$2,210,083	\$2,373,032	\$2,280,043	\$2,264,516
B. Reconciliation Summary:		Change FY 1995/1995		Change FY 1995/1996		Change FY 1996/199
Baseline Funding		\$2,210,083		\$2,373,032		\$2,280,043
Undistributed Congressional Reductions		-41,757		0		0
Price Change		6,693		61,804		69,277
Military Sealift Command Credit		0		6,300	••	0
Functional Transfer		33,171		16,134		820
Program Changes		164,842		-177,227		-85,624
Current Estimate		\$2,373,032		\$2,280,043		\$2,264,516

### C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

\$2,210,083	\$-41,757							\$2,168,326	\$+6,693	\$+116,268	2,189	
	\$-41								٠.		\$+132,189	
		\$-38,592	-7,105	+4,157	+2,578	+2,295	-500					\$+59,853
. FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Reductions)	a. Undistributed Congressional Adjustments	1) Civilian Personnel Drawdown	2) Information Technology	3) Pay Raise and Locality Pay	4) Federal Workforce Restructure	5) Contracting and Consulting	6) Classified	Revised Appropriated Amount	), Price Change	l, Functional Program Transfers	a. Functional Transfers In	1) Pollution Prevention Effective FY 1995, pollution prevention funding is decentralized from Effective FY 1995, pollution prevention funding is decentralized from Budget Activity: Administration and Servicewide Activities and spread throughout all Budget Activities. This transfer aligns funding to the primary Budget Activity and Subactivity Groups used by installation commanders for other base support programs. This realignment to the installation's primary budget activity provides improved cost identification
<del>.</del>								7	က်	4.		



for the specific missions supported and increased flexibility for field commanders.

			000 07
	73	Kirtland AFB Transfer In conjunction with ongoing Air Force restructure actions implemented auring the FY 1995 President's Budget, Kirtland Air Force Base during the FY 1995 President's Budget, Kirtland Air Mobility Command transferred (includes \$23,224 for civilian pay) from Air Mobility Command to Air Force Materiel Command. However, in the FY 1995 President's Budget, Kirtland Air Force Base was erroneously realigned to Budget Activity (BA) 02; the correct BA is Logistics Operations (BA04). This funding adjustment corrects the misalignment.	+43,883 883
	6	Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Realignment	+28,200
	4	Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center	+253
þ.	J.	Functional Transfers OutFunctional Transfers	
	=	Departmental Printing	-15,538

from Activity Group: Logistics Operations to Activity Group: Servicewide Activities. Realigning departmental printing funding to this Activity Group will more appropriately align costs with the activities being supported.

\$-15,921

	•	\$+35,273	+30,000	+20,062	+6,266
-383	***************************************				
<ol> <li>AF Wide Boiler Inspection</li> <li>Effective in FY 1995, the Air Force Civil Engineering Support Agency (AFCESA) will assume responsibility for inspection of high pressure steam boilers and hot water boilers in the continental United States and overseas. This transfer realigns responsibility to Activity Group: Servicewide Activities.</li> </ol>	Program Increases	The FY 1995 President's Budget for base support programs was understated for the Logistics Operations activity group. This increase finances day-to-day operations to provide a minimally satisfactory base support funding level and also procures certain unique one time funding requirements. Funding covers essential base support services such as transportation, supply, morale, welfare, and recreation (MWR), civil engineering, security police, comptroller, and others.	<ul> <li>Average Salary Increases</li> <li>Civilian Pay requirements are higher due to average salary increases experienced as the workforce size decreases and higher tenured employees are retained.</li> </ul>	c. Depot Maintenance (FY 1995 Base \$134,899)	<ul> <li>d. Second Destination Transportation (FY 1995 Base \$224,274)</li></ul>
	IT	ત્તં	-3-4	•	_

\$+91,601

5

Page 235

<u>ن</u>	Pro	Program Decreases		\$-9,856
	ris	Logistics Operations Support Costs (FY 1995 Base \$522,845)	-9,856	
7.	FY	FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$2,373,032
ω.	Pri	Price Growth		\$+61,804
တ်	S O S	MSC Rate Credit. One time FY 1995 Military Sealift Credit not continued into FY 1996.		\$+6,300
6.		Functional Program Transfers		\$+16,134
	ત્તું	Functional Transfers In	\$+34,545	
		<ol> <li>Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change</li></ol>		
		2) Standard Systems Center		

### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PB

BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS +5,680 SLUC provides payment to the General Services Administration for leased space in the National Capital Region (NCR). As the result of an initiative to align programming and funding responsibility with the user, all funding responsibility for SLUC will transfer to the Major Commands and Field Activities effective in FY 1996. These funds were originally centrally programmed and executed in the Servicewide Activity Group.  4) Military to Civilian Conversion  Military manpower positions (186 positions) not identified to perform a direct combat role, providing direct combat support, deployable overseas or performing military mandated work, will be converted to civilian positions.  5) Central Design Activities (CDAs)  Three Air Force CDAs (Standard Systems Center) will transfer to the DBOF information Services Business Area (ISBA) effective FY 1996. Military personnel Appropriation to the Operation and Maintenance Appropriation customer accounts. These funds will be used to reimburse ISBA for services rendered by the CDAs.  6) Commercial Activities (A-76 Military Actions)  This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation.  After careful review, the Air Force has designated various activities/functions as not military essential. A cost comparison study is underway to determine whether the workload will be contracted or remain in-house in accordance with the guidelines in OMB Circular A-76.	ACTIVITIES	+2,660	+2,286	+1,300	£99 +
	BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	Standard Level User Charges (SLUC) Transfer	Military to Civilian Conversion Military to Civilian Conversion (186 positions) not identified to perform a direct combat role, providing direct combat support, deployable overseas or performing military mandated work, will be converted to civilian positions.	Central Design Activities (CDAs)	



## O&M. AF FY 1996/1997 PB

BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWINE ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS Functional Transfers Out	E ACTIVITES	\$-18
_	BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	s Out

ف

\$-9,400

411

decentralizes funding to the appropriation level and realigns dollars to Air Force Reserve and RDT&E appropriations for customer payments based decentralization of payments for services provided by DFAS. Initial step Operations Fund (DBOF) and provided customer funding to the O&M The Department of Defense retained DFAS in the Defense Business Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Transfer..... appropriation. This realignment reflects the Air Force move toward on identifiable workload 7

APO Mail funding was transferred to the Army for its increased share of APO Mail Overseas personnel reductions changed the mix of Air Force and Army The Air Force and Army share the cost of transporting US mail to and respectively, to 40 percent and 60 percent, respectively. Therefore, personnel stationed overseas from 45 percent and 55 percent, from military, civilian, and family members stationed overseas. costs. 8

6,400

The program change reflects the ongoing consolidation of Air Force-wide Air Force Services Agency Support community programs and recreational activities, which are managed by the Air Force Services Agency. This transfer moves services activities Operations Activity Group to the Servicewide Activity Group. Transfer Civilian end-strengths have already been realigned from the Logistics from Tyndall AFB FL to agency headquarters at Randolph AFB TX. information Management System (SIMS) maintenance contract represents remaining base support costs, largely the Services Consolidation is complete with this action. ල

-2,202

### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PB

# BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS

- Management improvement initiative to centralize management of the Air Force Chaplain's Fund in the Servicewide Activity Group and make it consistent with the organizational structure. Chaplain Transfer...... 4
- DISA. Transfer realigns one civilian slot and associated funding from Air Service Agency (DISA) ...... (MMRC) transfers from the Air Force to the American Forces Information Center as part of a continuing reduction in the Air Force structure. AFIS transfer realigns civilian slots and associated funding to AFIS. 2) In July 1992 the DepSecDef approved the functional transfer and consolidation of Services' visual information, broadcast, and public affairs training into The program transfer is threefold: 1) The Motion Media Records Center (TCO) functions were also transferred from the Military Departments to a single joint educational facility under AFIS. Funding was transferred Program Offices (AJPO) and Telecommunications Certification Office Service (AFIS). This reflects the deactivation of the Air Force Media from this Activity Group to AFIS for this purpose. 3) The Ada Joint Force to DISA. Transfers are to the Defense-Wide appropriations. American Forces Information Service (AFIS)/Defense Information 2

11, Program Increases.....

our physical plant. To correct this situation, the Air Force decreased MILCON program. The major drivers of RPM are building square footage and number offset by a \$6.9 million reduction for projects that will be accomplished under Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1995 Base \$176,535) ........ funding and restored the RPM budget to adequate levels to prevent serious of bases. Since 1988 square footage declined only 21 percent, while RPM funding has declined 39 percent. This caused accelerated deterioration of million for the Presidential initiative to fund quality of life enhancements as The FY 1996 Budget begins to rebuild Real Property Maintenance (RPM) deterioration of physical plant. This increased RPM funding includes \$5.3 ຜ່

+55,239

\$+128,661



the Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP). Funding increases will cover high priority needs such as airfield pavements at Tinker AFB and Kirtland AFB, repair steam lines at Wright-Patterson AFB and replace natural gas lines at Hill AFB.

- Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Program Realignment ...... functions and units supported in this activity group. Estimates are based on decentralized DFAS funding in FY 1996. This change reflects an internal realignment to link customer funds to the DFAS services provided to This funding adjustment results from the Air Force move toward detailed workload and rate estimates provided by DFAS. <u>م</u>
- Defense Standardization Program (FY 1995 Base \$0) ..... acquisition reform throughout the DoD. This program provides resources for Air Force implementation of the acquisition reform initiative. Funds provided On June 29,1994, the Secretary of Defense issued new policy guidance on will support training of Air Force personnel on new policies and procedures; specifications and standards; and maintain a database for oversight of provide for contracted review, revision, and elimination of military standardization activities. ပ

+14,000

+49,963

- Program increase in FY 1996 funds follow-on operational test and evaluation Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center (FY 1995 Base \$22,906) ... and qualification, provide an evaluation of the operational capabilities of a Defense System MOD V. Operational test and evaluation, both follow-on weapon system and identify deficiencies in the system before designated of the B-2 and qualification operational test and evaluation of the Airlift production and acquisition decisions. ö
- One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base \$1,181,873)..... There are 261 compensable calendar workdays in FY 1996 versus 260 days ö

+6,280

+3,179

	\$-305,888					
		\$-81,081	\$-62,776			
				\$-32,272	-22,416	-3,432
ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	2. Program Decreases	<ul> <li>a. DBOF Reimbursements - Support (FY 1995 Base \$404,535)</li></ul>	b. Civilian Pay Changes (FY 1995 Base \$1,181,873)	<ol> <li>Logistics Realignments</li></ol>	<ol> <li>Civilian End Strength Reductions         This action accelerates and increases previously programmed civilian reductions across the federal government and established civilian workyear ceilings for each agency (934 E/S).     </li> </ol>	3) Infrastructure Streamlining
	12.					



	4	Base Closures	-2,640	
	2	Acquisition Streamlining	-2,016	
ပ	Ba tim to	Base Operations (FY 1995 Base \$404,535)		i
Ö	As A	Logistics Support Activities (FY 1995 Base \$131,447)		1
oj.	Se	Second Destination Transportation (FY 1995 Base \$230,540)		1

-49,963

-29,176

requirements for munitions also decrease from FY 1995 to FY 1996. The FY 1996 Second Destination Transportation program is funded at 80 percent of

total requirements.

assets between overseas theaters and CONUS, as most overseas base closure actions should be completed in FY 1995. In addition, movement

### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PB

# BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS

-18,186

the use of ozone depleting chemicals and reducing the use by fifty percent of requirements decrease as the Air Force accomplishes its goals of eliminating and sub-objectives of the Air Force Pollution Prevention Action Plan, comply FY 1995 pollution prevention efforts concentrated on meeting the objectives with Executive Order 12856, Executive Order 12873, the Montreal Protocol, an additional 17 hazardous chemicals identified by the Environmental and the Clean Air Act Amendment of 1990. In FY 1996, funding Pollution Prevention (FY 1995 Base \$59,822)..... Protection Agency.

environmental compliance laws/regulations/standards as they apply to the Air Force Materiel Command and meets recurring operations and services and established by current and emergent environmental laws. The reduced continued to aggressively pursue meeting and/or exceeding deadlines Environmental Compliance (FY 1995 Base \$84,130)..... all known Level I (Fix Noncompliance), and Level II (Meet future non-The Air Force is fully committed to environmental protection and has unding level ensures compliance with all federal, state, and local compliance requirements). တ်

Depot Maintenance (FY 1995 Base \$154,523) ...... Depot Maintenance decrease is twofold: 1) funding has been realigned from (SMBA), requiring a realignment from this program to weapon system supply maintenance will be funded by the Supply Management Business Area requirements, and 2) beginning FY 1996, Unit Under Test software this program to other weapon system accounts to support mission management customer accounts. نے

9,123

-6,411



-uoission-					opriation.  Inctions as to determine in		ents to DISA  Business  unted for in es customer  pport Activity	se \$29,915) +3,639 ational test
j. Non-Fly Depot Level Reparables (DLRs) (FY 1995 Base \$5,511) Realigns Non-Fly DLR resources from this subactivity group to fund mission- related weapon system requirements in classified programs.	FY 1996 Budget Request	Price Growth	Functional Program Transfers	a. Functional Transfers In	<ol> <li>Commercial Activities (A-76 Military Actions)</li></ol>	Program Increases	a. Logistics Support Activities (FY 1996 Base \$95,563)	<ul> <li>b. Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center (FY 1996 Base \$29,915)</li> <li>The FY 1997 program increase funds additional follow-on operational test</li> </ul>

operational capabilities of a weapon system and identifies deficiencies in the planning. Follow-on test and evaluation provides an evaluation of the providing specialized expertise in functional disciplines related to test

04,972

		system befo	system before designated production and acquisition decisions.			
17.		Program Decreases	98	0 0 0 0 0		\$-10
	ໝັ	Second Des The SDT pratactical air m MSC moven Operating B assets from funding is re TRANSCON program is f	Second Destination Transportation (SDT) (FY 1996 Base \$221,592)	v	\$-41,287	
	Ď.	Civilian Pay Changes	Changes (FY 1996 Base \$1,139,162)		-36,194	
		1) Civilian This act reductic	Civilian Workyear Reductions	\$-23,011		
		2) Acquisi Continu workfor	Acquisition Streamlining	-9,957		



	3) Infrastructure Streamlining	-3,226
Base Decre force fourth	Base Operations (FY 1996 Base \$331,187)	-11,775
Logis Conti funct funct equip mans Acqu	Logistics and Acquisition Support Decrease (FY 1996 Base \$850,714)	-11,286
Defe On J acqu Air F reflec	Defense Standardization Program (FY 1996 Base \$14,000)	-4,430
1997	FY 1997 Budget Request	

\$2,264,516

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

### TRANSPORTATION OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS

### Second Destination Transportation (by mode of shipment)

Program Data:	FY . Units	FY 1994 ts (\$000)	FY 1995 Units (\$0	(\$000)	Units	FY 1996 ts (\$000)	FY 1997 Units (\$	(\$000)
Air Mobility Command: Regular Channel (Short Tons) SAAM (Hours)	27266	\$58,349 6,536	22491	22491 \$49,459 1194 6,919	21543 568	\$48,795 3,776	18949 259	18949 \$44,207 259 1,627
Military Sealift Command Regular Routes (Measurement Tons) Per Diem (SD)	455268 308	53,362	589094 337	52,341 9,133	497415 281	52,726 9,103	375004 201	43,763 7,147
Military Traffic Management Command: Port Handling (Measurement Tons)	342636	11,307	410185	14,820	385938	15,013	314874	13,464
Commercial: Air (Short Tons) Surface (Short Tons)	54110 156352	63,721 37,806	47696	57,741 40,127	39712 166789	49,481 42,698	37947 132962	48,701 35,062
Total SDT		\$242,098		\$230,540		\$221,592		\$193,971

### DEPOT MAINTENANCE

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Performance Factors:				
Estimated Active Air Force Flying Hours Supported	1117			
Aircraft Inventory Supported	4			
Aircraft Maintenance (Funded Only):				
Programmed Depot Maintenance (PDM) PDM (Units) PDM (\$ 000)	\$1,348			
Other Maintenance Other Maint (Units)	N/A \$2,023	N/A \$2,709	N/A \$390	N/A \$402
Engine Maintenance (Funded Only):				
Repair/Reclamation Costs	\$3,671	\$2,714	\$2,720	\$2,803
OTHER DEPOT MAINTENANCE				
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Other Logistics Activities Performance Criteria:  Number of Equipment Calibration and Repair Actions  Number of Precision Measuring Equipment Labs (PMELs)  Flight Test Hrs upon Completion of Programmed Depot Maint	913,131 170 1,117	855,377 145	855,377 145	855,377 145

#### BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PB

# DEPOT MAINTENANCE EVALUATION OF UNFUNDED REQUIREMENTS (Backlog):

(\$ Thousands)

35,042 47,639 27,417 \$2,709 172,084 45,931 \$177,507 Requirement Executable 11,839 Executable \$22,984 3,994 80 7,151 22,984 Unfunded FY 1995 35,042 149,100 40,488 23,423 \$2,709 2,714 34,092 7,608 6,253 \$154,523 2,194 Estimate Budget Total 291,200 37,290 7,060 \$3,519 3,865 1,839 90,898 39,347 103,649 11,117 \$298,584 Requirement Executable 28,610 12,150 21,978 7,665 Executable 70,403 \$70,745 \$148 194 Unfunded FY 1994 1,839 29,625 11,117 27,197 75,039 68,920 7,060 \$227,839 \$3,371 3,671 220,797 Estimate **Budget** Total Non-Stock Funded Exchangeables...... Other Logistics Activities..... Area Base Mfg (ABM)..... AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE:..... ENGINE MAINTENANCE:..... TOTAL OTHER MAINTENANCE:..... Non-Add..... Missile Maintenance..... Software Maintenance..... Other End Item Maintenance..... Weapon System Storage..... Depot Repair via the Depot Maintenance Description of Financed Programs: Business Area (DMBA):

2,714

7,608

2,194

6,253



# DEPOT MAINTENANCE EVALUATION OF UNFUNDED REQUIREMENTS (Backlog):

(\$ Thousands)

(Spillespille)		EV 1006			FV 1997	
		L1 1330			200	
	Total			Total		
	Budget	Unfunded	Executable	Budget	Onfunded	
Description of Financed Programs:	Estimate	Executable	Requirement	Estimate	Executable	Requirement
Depot Repair via the Depot Maintenance Business Area (DMBA):						
AIDCDAET MAINTENANCE:	\$390	80	\$390	\$402	\$0	\$402
	2,720	0	2.720	2,803	0	2,803
TOTAL OTHER MAINTENANCE:	133,243	13,773	147,016	137,977	14,483	152,460
Non-Add					,	,
Missile Mainfenance	3,352	0	3,352	3,453	0	3,453
Software Maintenance	20,363	4,115	24,478	20,397	5,603	26,000
Other End Item Maintenance	5,985		5,985	5,902	0	5,902
Non-Stock Funded Exchangeables	40.081	9,658	49,739	38,893	8,880	47,773
Area Base Mfd (ABM)	21,499		21,499	21,998	0	21,998
Meanon System Storage	7,530	0	7,530	9,112	0	9,112
Other Logistics Activities	34,433	0	34,433	38,222	0	38,222
TOTAI	\$136,353	\$13,773	\$	\$141,182	\$14,483	\$155,665

#### O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PB BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS

#### BASE SUPPORT

BASE SUPPORT				
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Total End Strength *	67,228	70,619	67,132	64,916
Military	37,813	37,723	35,805	35,057
Civilian	29,415	32,896	31,327	29,859
Total Major Installations	13	13	13	12
CONUS	13	13	13	12
Overseas	0	0	0	0
Facilities Supported (000 sq ft)	63,463	63,819	65,648	65,374
Plant Replacement Value (\$000)	25,899,539	26,624,726	27,401,712	28,230,497
Total Number of Quarters	11,923	12,159	12,359	12,274
Number of Officer Quarters	1,911	2,199	2,196	2,195
Number of Enlisted Quarters	10,012	096'6	10,163	10,079
Total Number of Vehicles	16,027	16,005	15,891	15,860
Owned	14,302	14,270	14,050	13,959
Leased	1,725	1,735	1,841	1,901
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	42	42	4	4
Number of Child Care Spaces	5,447	5,447	5,847	6,847

responsibility for this activity group. These figures do not equal authorized personnel due to the complex nature of host/tenant relationships among Air Force units. \* Base support personnel reflects total personnel physically assigned to and supported by MAJCOMs having host support

O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PB BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS

	_
	딭
	Ë
(	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$
•	ē
	Ž
	Š
	0
	_

V. Personnel Summary.					Change	Change
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1995/1996	FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total)	13.030	13,444	12,914	12,387	-530	-527
Officer	5,874	6,018	5,561	5,177	-457	-384
Enlisted	7,156	7,426	7,353	7,210	-73	-143
Civilian End Strangth (Total)	23.184	25.761	25,751	24,610	-10	-1,141
U.S. Direct Hire	23,175	25,752	25,742	24,601	-10	-1,141
Foreign National Direct Hire	9	9	9	ဖ		
Total Direct Hire	23,181	25,758	25,748	24,607	-10	-1,141
Foreign National Indirect Hire	က	က	က	က		
Adlitory Morbygore (Total)	13.564	13.118	13,277	12,806	159	-471
Officer	6.127	5,894	5,856	5,474	-38	-382
Enlisted	7,437	7,224	7,421	7,332	197	68-
Civilian Mortweate (Total)	22,474	27.850	25,971	25,204	-1,879	-767
I S Direct Hire	22.474	27,850	25,962	25,195	-1,888	-767
Foreign National Direct Hire			ဖ	ဖ		
Total Direct Hire	22,474	27,850	25,968	25,201	-1,882	-167
Foreign National Indirect Hire			က	က		

departmental level administration; communications; personnel programs; search, rescue, and recovery services; subsistence; arms . Description of Operations Financed: To ensure combat capability and to maintain readiness, we must effectively lead, efficiently manage, and adequately support Air Force units and personnel in diverse geographic locations. Much of this is accomplished via highly specialized and unique Air Force organizations. Some activities support only Air Force operations, while others support all Military Departments. Operations encompass a broad spectrum of essential servicewide activities that include Air Staff and control; real property maintenance; and base support.

applications development and security; and communications-computer requirements, acquisition, installation, and integration for the offices formulate plans and policies and supervise and direct subordinate offices, agencies, and commands. Also included is the Administration Programs encompass both Air Staff and departmental level administration, including the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force, Headquarters USAF, and the 11th Support Wing (formerly the Air Force District of Washington). These executive Air Force Pentagon Communications Agency (formerly 7th Communications Group) whose wide range of support includes voice and data communications operations, maintenance, and security; computer hardware operations and maintenance; software Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of the Air Force, and Headquarters USAF.

Servicewide Communications finance Air Force communications systems providing a vital support network ranging from telephone implementation, testing and operation of new automated systems. In addition to day-to-day expenses, operational costs include world-wide. The Information Management Automation Program (IMAP) maintains existing systems and supports the design service to data communications at all Air Force locations. Engineering and Installation (E&I) is another program performed systems analysis, software development and hardware/software maintenance. The IMAP also includes funds for ADP

agencies, the Air Force Military Personnel Center (AFMPC) and the Air Force Civilian Personnel Management Center (AFCPMC), at Randolph AFB perform these vital tasks. AFMPC supports field commands world-wide including approximately 400,000 active duty supports Air Force civilian personnel programs and systems that affect over 180,000 civilian employees, including foreign nationals, personnel plus Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve Forces and about 600,000 retirees. AFCPMC manages, operates, and personnel, and sustainment programs such as promotions, recognition, retention, and separation and retirement programs. Two Personnel Programs provide management of personnel life cycle activities, e.g., accessions, training, utilization (assignment) of

similar agencies from participating countries. Funds also support the operation of Headquarters, Civil Air Patrol-USAF. This activity directives, and functions as liaison with the Air Force and other DoD agencies. In the combat arena, funds support operation of the Canada, France, and Russia. The AFRCC is designated the DoD representative for SARSAT and coordinates with the Department of Transportation, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and programs use satellites to facilitate detecting and tracking distress signals world wide. SARSAT is a joint international project with Rescue and Recovery Services covers civil as well as combat rescue and recovery activities. In the civil arena, funds support the organizational maintenance, and NASA space activity support. By demonstrating the capability to search for, locate, and recover provides staff supervision over 8 regions and 52 wings, administers day-to-day programs, issues necessary policy guidance and Air Force Rescue Coordination Center (AFRCC) and Search and Rescue Satellite Aided Tracking (SARSAT) operations. These at Air Force installations world-wide. Includes the beddown and support for the Air Force civilian personnel regionalization (and Combat Rescue and Tactics School, the Rescue Coordination Center, aircraft squadrons and detachments, field maintenance, personnel anywhere in the world, this mission saves resources, increases survivability of fighting forces, and promotes aircrew cost reduction) program, Palace Compass (previously financed under the Other Personnel Activities sub-activity). confidence and morale.

commuted ration would create an individual hardship or the costs of the establishment of a government mess facility are prohibitive. new food items. Also included are payments for meals furnished under contract at commercial facilities where the payment of the allowance for subsistence. Subsistence-in-Kind includes special rations, operational rations, augmentation rations, and testing of Subsistence-In-Kind (SIK) funds subsistence items furnished to active duty enlisted personnel when they do not receive an

destruction of equipment. This subactivity group also funds destruction of Minuteman II silos at two bases, removal of underground storage tanks, B-52s elimination at the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC), and flying hours for the Open Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START), Conventional Forces Europe (CFE), Open Skies (aerial reconnaissance), Intermediate Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, and Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC). Funding supports on-site inspections; monitoring and Arms Control encompasses all efforts directly associated with implementing arms control treaties and agreements such as the compliance with arms control agreements, planning and preparation activities; and relocation, modification, retirement or

Other Servicewide Activities fund some minor communications programs and various programs to support Air Force units around the world. These include Field Operating Agencies, which support the Air Force effort to realign mission support activities; Air



contingency operations; the Productivity Investment Program, a self-sustaining means of providing capital investment funding; and Force Elements, comprised of joint and non-joint billets assigned to OSD, JCS, unified, specified, and combined commands; Operational Capability and Air Power Assessment program, which provides senior leadership with decision making tools for

Other Personnel Activities includes the Air Force Broadcasting Service (AFBS) which is responsible for managing, controlling, and supporting all US Air Force Armed Forces Radio and Television Service (AFRTS) stations around the world; Social Actions; USAF Honor Guard; USAF Band; the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute (DEOMI); the Air Force Library and Information System (AFLIS); Small Disadvantaged Businesses and Historically Black Colleges and Universities program; Air Force Mortuary Affairs; and the Air Force Security Police Agency (AFSPA); and the Regional Civilian Personnel Center.

CAP also provides surveillance support to the U.S. Customs Service, Drug Enforcement Agency, and the U.S. Forest Service. The The Civil Air Patrol (CAP) is a nonprofit corporation of private citizens who assist in local and national emergencies by performing nland search and rescue missions, emergency air transport in light aircraft, aerial surveillance, and humanitarian airlift missions. Air Force provides active duty military and civilians who aid, advise, and assist CAP in its day-to-day operations and reimburses CAP for authorized expenditures.

unaccompanied personnel; and family support; religious services and programs; funding for payments to the Defense Finance and Accounting Service; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services for military personnel and their forces that constantly guard our facilities. Also included are personnel support functions such as food and housing services for Base Support maintains personnel support functions essential to the 11th Support Wing, Pentagon, and several field operating agencies. It fulfills a broad range of critical needs from child care for family members to highly skilled and specialized security family members. Program also supports real property services, maintenance and repair projects, and minor construction accomplished by contract and in-house work forces at Bolling AFB, DC.

infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving Base support objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, work force productivity, and infrastructure support. this support are listed below.

Utility Systems Operation
Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction
Real Property and Dormitories
Roads
Environmental Compliance
Engineering Services
Snow Removal
Fire Protection
Lease of Real Property
Custodial
Refuse Collection

Security Forces

Buildings and Equipment
Personnel
Operational Readiness
Air Base Operability
Installation Equipment Maintenance
Explosive Ordinance and Disposal
Ground Transportation
Essential Data Processing Services
Base Communications Services



#### II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Military Dereannel Eliabte*	93	86	85	85
Consolidated Civilian Dersonnel Offices (CCPOs)*	107	104	86	26
	9	9	9	9
Oddadions Aircraft Authorization (PAA)	31	31	35	38
Flind Johns	12,694	15,131	16,854	18,340
Average Enlisted Strength	350,281	328,629	314,542	259,292
Average Filmsted Circuity As Subsisted	59,589	56,690	51,377	48,714
Total Enlisted Flecting to be Subsisted	25,730	24,425	22,167	21,049
Number of Direct Reporting Units	e	က	က	က
Number of Field Operating Agencies	38	38	38	38
CAD Mational Handellariers	~	~	-	•
מסוסס סעט	ω	80	ဆ	80
	52	52	52	52
CAD Galladrone Flights	1,800	1,713	1,713	1,713
CAP Aircraft	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200

<sup>\*</sup>This force structure is financed across all activities, however, AFMPC (financed in this activity) provides Air Force-wide support for these programs.

### III. Financial Summary (O&M: \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
	FY 1994	Budget		Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Subactivity Groups	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Request	Estimate	Estimate
Administration	\$133,463	\$112,608	\$100,108	\$112,262	\$118,319	\$118,651
Servicewide Communications	373,423	357,116	349,516	325,199	318,240	320,908
Personnel Programs	72,662	75,366	75,366	76,776	84,766	86,786
Rescue & Recovery Services	34,072	32,446	32,446	39,271	40,426	42,471
Subsistence-In-Kind	53,544	53,717	53,717	51,797	48,429	47,774
Arms Control	25,429	32,620	28,620	27,815	34,645	33,519
Other Servicewide Activities	1,072,849	601,717	689,814	590,628	396,155	347,494
Other Personnel Support	29,750	38,160	38,160	32,485	32,080	32,454
Civil Air Patrol	7,108	4,481	8,281	10,195	14,704	14,863
Base Support	181,695	159,432	159,432	155,198	248,095	262,687
Civilian Locality Pay Offset	01	0	0	-6,793	0	0
Total	\$1,983,995	\$1,467,663	\$1,535,460	\$1,414,833	\$1,335,859	\$1,307,607
B. Reconciliation Summary:	<u>u.</u> į	Change FY 1995/1995		Change FY 1995/1996		Change FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding		\$1,535,460		\$1,414,833		\$1,335,859
Price Change		2,000		-21,360		28,743
Civilian Locality Pay Offset		-6,793		6,793		0
Functional Transfer		-95,376		5,024		564
Program Changes		2,718		-69,431		-57,559
Current Estimate		\$1,414,833		\$1,335,859		\$1,307,607



### C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

<del>&lt;.</del>	FY 1995 Appropriated Amounta. Undistributed Congressional Reductions	\$-23,176	\$1,535,460
	<ol> <li>Civilian Personnel Drawdown</li> <li>Pay Raise and Locality Pay</li> <li>Federal Workforce Restructure</li> <li>Contracting and Consulting</li> <li>Information Technology</li> <li>GSA Leases</li> </ol>		
Ni	Revised Appropriated Amount		\$1,512,284
က်	Price Change		\$+2,000
4.	Civilian Locality Pay Offset		\$-6,793
5.	Functional Program Transfers		\$-95,376
	a. Transfers In	\$+15,921	
	<ol> <li>Departmental Printing</li></ol>		

funding to this activity group will provide better cost identification with the activities being supported.

\$+383 AF Wide Boller Inspection ......... steam boilers and hot water boilers in the continental United States and Effective in FY 1995, the Air Force Civil Engineering Support Agency (AFCESA) will assume responsibility for inspection of high pressure overseas. This transfer realigns responsibility from activity group, Logistics Operations. 7

\$-111,297 Transfers Out و.

\$-83,097 installation's primary budget activity provides improved cost identification commanders for other base support programs. This realignment to the Budget Activity: Administration and Servicewide Activities and spread Effective FY 1995, pollution prevention funding is decentralized from Pollution Prevention primary Budget Activity and Subactivity Groups used by installation for the specific missions supported and increased flexibility for field throughout all Budget Activities. This transfer aligns funding to the

Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Realignment..... Funding was realigned from the Servicewide Activities Activity Group to the Logistics Operations Activity Group to properly reflect the customer funding that provides direct reimbursement to DISA for information processing services. 3

\$-28,200



ø.

\$+29,928	\$+6,894	\$+6,593	\$+6,527	\$+5,732
		: <b>E</b>		el ott
Program Increases	Rescue and Recovery Services Flying Hours (FY 1995 Base \$16,998)	Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Customer Funding (FY 1995 Base \$180,807)	Information Warfare - Communications Security (FY 1995 Base, \$22,411) Funding supports the expanded Information Warfare mission, specifically, Information Protect, which is used in the development of intrusion detection systems, countermeasures, and tools to minimize risks to Air Force and national-level command, control, communications, computer (C4) systems.	Personnel Programs - Palace Compass (FY 1995 Base,\$1,500)
<u> </u>	เชื่	á	ರ	ਰ

Center will begin with an advanced team in FY 1995, with a phased approach toward completion in FY 1998. Program savings should begin to accrue some time after that point.

<del>0</del> 7	
:	
:	
:	
Ses	
eas	
ecc	
Program Decreases	
ran	
go	
ď	

۷.

\$-27,210

\$-12,469

\$+4,182

Civilian Force Structure Reductions (FY 1995 Base \$488,727)	The Air Force continues its downward trend from the FY 1995 President's	Budget incorporating base closures and various workforce adjustments and	realignments for a combined reduction of 517 endstrengths.	
ળં				

\$-10,772

۵.	Information Management Automation Program (IMAP) (FY 1995 Base \$69,448)	
	Programs designed to support software development, modification and	
	testing, implementation, and equipment ordering and tracking will be reduced	
	in scope. Affected programs include the modernization effort for base level	
	automated information systems; the combat ammunition system (CAS),	
	designed to automate munitions tracking; and the cargo movement	
	operations system (CMOS) which will tie in to the global transportation	
	network that allows all the Services to interface transportation mobility	
	requirements.	

ပ	Subsistence-in-Kind (FY 1995 Base \$53,717)
	Program requirements decrease with the drawdown of enlisted personnel.
	Reflects reduced supply and contract costs.

\$-1,920



	ਰਂ	Air Force News Service Contracts (FY95 Base \$2,946)	\$-1,306	
	ئىي	Arms Control - Minuteman II (FY 1995 Base, \$28,558)	\$-743	
ω̈	7	FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$1,414,833
တ်	Pri	Price Growth		\$-21,360
9.		Civilian Locality Pay Offset		\$+6,793
<del>7.</del>		Functional Program Transfers		\$+5,024
	æ.	Transfers In	\$+43,782	
		Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change: *+35,679     FY 1996 and FY 1997 estimates eliminate the current dollar criteria (\$50,000) for the procurement of non-centrally managed equipment		

(installation/local level type items). Under this policy change, funds are transferred to O&M from the Other Procurement Appropriation. The majority of funds converted for this activity group includes the Productivity Improvement Program (\$24 million), Base Procured Investment Equipment (\$1.5 million), and ADPE (\$9.3 million).

\$+3,600 Funding was realigned from DISA, a Defensewide appropriation to the which will refine recent upgrades and improvements to DISA-managed customers' accounts to continue engineering and technical services Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Realignment... 8

communication systems.

\$+2,202

The program change reflects the ongoing consolidation of Air Force-wide Randolph AFB, TX. Civilian end-strengths have already been realigned maintenance contract, from Tyndall AFB, FL to agency headquarters at community programs and recreational activities, which are managed by the Air Force Services Agency. This transfer moves services activities, remaining base support costs. Consolidation is complete with this from the Logistics Operations activity group. Transfer represents largely the Services Information Management System (SIMS) Services Support ...... ෆ

\$+655



ro.	\$+853	\$+447	\$+256	06+\$
ACTIVITY GROUP: SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	Military to Civilian/A-76 Conversions	Family Network (FAMNET) Telecommunications Transfer	Chaplain Transfer	Air Force Combat Operations Staff
	2	6	5	8

b. Tr	Transfers Out		\$-38,758
<del>-</del>	Standard Level User Charges (SLUC)	\$-10,520	
2)	Standard Systems Center (SSC) Development	\$-10,384	
3	Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Realignment	\$-9,200	

4	4) American Forces Information Services (AFIS)	\$-6,861
	On September 8, 1994, the Deputy Secretary of Defense approved the	
	functional transfer of the Motion Media Records Center (MMRC) from the	
	Air Force to AFIS. This reflects deactivation of the Air Force Media	
	Center as part of a continuing reduction in the Air Force structure. AFIS	
	transfer realigns 34 civilian positions and associated funding to a	
	Defense-wide appropriation for AFIS.	

\$-1,616 Joint Spectrum Center (JSC)...... The Joint Spectrum Center, whose mission is to ensure DoD's effective 

The Joint Spectrum Center, whose mission is to ensure DoD's effective use of the electromagnetic spectrum in support of National Security and Military Objectives, was established based on a series of studies by the Frequency Panel of the Military Communications Electronics Board (MCEB) in FY94. The Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) has been designated as the executive agent of the JSC and associated resources have been transferred to a Defense-Wide appropriation.

\$-142 Fast Payback Capital Program & Component Sponsored Investment Program separate line item within the Air Force TOA. Beginning in FY 1996, PECI sustaining program. In previous years, PECI projects were funded as a Force and generate productivity-improving initiatives for today's fiscal Productivity Enhancing Capital Investment (PECI) program to a selfprovides sufficient resources to sustain the PECI program for the Air will no longer be funded as a separate line item. Instead requesters must identify offsets from within existing resources. This change FASCAP & CSIP) This transfer reflects the Air Force initiative which converts the environment. Funding transfers into the Other Procurement 6

\$-35 Army Finance and Accounting Services ...... Transfers \$35 thousand from the Air Force to the Army for finance and accounting services performed by the Military District of Washington. ~

\$+24,037

\$+7,044

- our physical plant. To correct this situation, the Air Force decreased MILCON program. The major drivers of RPM are building square footage and number funding and restored the RPM budget to adequate levels to prevent serious funding has declined 39 percent. This caused accelerated deterioration of housand for the Presidential initiative to fund quality of life enhancements. of bases. Since 1988 square footage declined only 21percent, while RPM Real Property Maintenance Activities (FY 1995 Base \$14,461) ..... deterioration of physical plant. This increased RPM funding includes \$350 The FY 1996 Budget begins to rebuild Real Property Maintenance (RPM) ä

3+6,095

The majority of the increase supports the Open Skies (aerial reconnaissance) Treaty Requirements (FY 1995 Base \$22,815) ..... \$.7 million to support the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START). START FOC) aircraft and flying hour funding for additional missions. Also includes requires elimination of Minuteman (MM) II silo headworks (upper portion of position). As a result of the environmental problems experienced during mission as it receives its full complement of 3 Full Operational Capability aunch silo that helps stabilize the missile and maintain it in an upright ف

\$+3.848

Civil Air Patrol (CAP) Corporation and Air Force (FY 1995 Base \$18,251)...... As a result of the 1989 Broad Area Review, 1990 General Officer Steering Committee, and a SAF/MIR top-to-bottom review of CAP-USAF, CAP will ပ

elimination, contract efforts slipped to FY 1996.

Page 268

reorganize effective January 1995. Increase reflects phasing in of corporate (contract) employees to support the reorganization. Quarterly phasing will take place over a two-year period with full staffing by the end of FY 1996.

ਹ

(C4I) systems to the warfighter. This increase provides extensive training on Software Improvement and Insertion Program (FY 1995 Base \$7,879) ........ Funding supports Air Force data administration/standardization and software interoperable command, control, communications, computer and intelligence areas, yielding a central core of trained assessment leaders able to assist in engineering process improvement activities necessary to provide integrated, all the various data administration, data modeling and software process all aspects of software and modeling activities.

Base Operations (FY 1995 Base \$95,228)...... acceptable level thereby providing technicians with the essentials necessary This increase helps restore supply and equipment items to a more to perform their duties. œ.

There are 261 compensable calendar workdays in FY 1996 versus 260 days One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base \$458,671)..... တ်

\$+1,709

\$+530

e. Air Combat Camera Services (AIRCCS) Reorganization (FY 1995 Base \$15,777)  Effective 30 September 1994, CSAF directed the restructure of AIRCSS.  Total restructure involves deactivations, transfers, and realignments beginning in FY 1995 and continuing in FY 1996. This decrease is due to elimination of two production studios, consolidation of the distribution and duplication functions, and a manpower reduction of 30 civilians (\$1,792).  Subsistence-in-Kind (FY 1995 Base \$53,717)	\$-4,696	\$-4,629	\$-2,511	\$-2,471
	e. Air Combat Camera Services (AIRCCS) Reorganization (FY 1995 Base \$15,777)  Effective 30 September 1994, CSAF directed the restructure of AIRCSS.  Total restructure involves deactivations, transfers, and realignments beginning in FY 1995 and continuing in FY 1996. This decrease is due to elimination of two production studios, consolidation of the distribution and duplication functions, and a manpower reduction of 30 civilians (\$1,792).	f. Subsistence-in-Kind (FY 1995 Base \$53,717)		Field Operating (FY 1995 Base Collateral decre directed cut in n three-percent re

Sefects reductions associated with Air Force restructure initiatives to include the communications-computer restructure to realign AFMC authorizations to accommodate operational requirements (58 and strengths).  Rotation of Operational Rations (FY 1995 Base \$718)				\$1,335,859	\$+28,743	\$+564		
i. Civilian Realignments/Restructur Reflects reductions associated vother response operational required reaccommodate operational required stations have a five year shelf-life locations throughout the CONUS stagger replacement. Decrease increased consumption of ration rations exceeding their shelf life Price Growth	i i	\$-1,1 <i>/</i> 4	\$-293				\$+564	
i. Civilian Realignments/Restructur Reflects reductions associated vother response operational required reaccommodate operational required stations have a five year shelf-life locations throughout the CONUS stagger replacement. Decrease increased consumption of ration rations exceeding their shelf life Price Growth					•			\$+564
		<ul> <li>Civilian Realignments/Restructures (FY 1995 Base \$482,903)</li> <li>Reflects reductions associated with Air Force restructure initiatives to include the communications-computer restructure to realign AFMC authorizations to accommodate operational requirements (58 end strengths).</li> </ul>	j. Rotation of Operational Rations (FY 1995 Base \$718)	14, FY 1996 Budget Request	15. Price Growth			

17.		Program Increases		\$+3,228
	ர்	Real Property Maintenance (RPM) Activities (FY 1996 Base \$20,868)	\$+3,228	
. <del>1</del> 8.	Po	Program Decreases		\$-60,787
	ત્તું	Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) (FY 1996 Base \$-24,928)	\$-35,139	
	<u>ن</u>	Information Management Automation Processing (IMAP) (FY 1996 Base \$59,048)  Decrease in funding represents further scope reductions for programs designed to support management and testing, implementation, and equipment ordering and tracking for base level automated information systems.	\$-4,046	
	ပ	Civilian Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 Base \$460,938)	\$-6,683	

<ul> <li>d. Mgmt Headquarters/Dept ADP-Support (FY 1996 Base \$41,463)</li></ul>	\$-3,720	\$-3,180	\$-2,712	\$-2,160
			f. Subsistence-In-Kind (FY 1996 Base \$48,569)	

	<u>c</u>	<ul> <li>Information System Security (FY 1996 Base, \$27,994)</li></ul>	\$-1,614	
	. <b>-</b> :	Forward Operating Agency (FOA) Infrastructure Reductions (FY 1996 Base \$214,033)	\$-1,533	
<del>1</del> 8.	Ē	18. FY 1997 Budget Request		\$1,307,607

### IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Rescue and Recovery Services	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	
Flying Hours	12,694	15,131	16,854	18,340	
Subsistence-In-Kind					
Subsistence-in-Kind (\$000)	\$44.520	\$43,398	\$40,688	\$39,689	
Special Rations	4,027	3,918	3,989	3,991	
Operational Rations	4,139	3,693	3,027	3,357	
Anomentation Rations	856	786	723	735	
Other Programs	44,077	45,267	46,625	48,024	
-	\$97,619	\$97,062	\$95,052	\$95,796	
Less Reimbursable	44,075	45,265	46,623	48,022	
Total Direct Obligations	\$53,544	\$51,797	\$48,429	\$47,774	
Personnel Statistics:					
Average Enlisted Strength	350,281	328,629	314,542	309,421	
	288,982	270,334	261,699	259,292	
	1,660	1,572	1,551	1,510	
Operational Rations	591	495	390	385	
Total Deductions	291,233	272,401	263,640	261,187	
Plus other Services Entitled to be Subsisted in AF Messes	1,211	1,060	1,015	1,015	
Minus AF Enlisted Entitled to be Subsisted in Non-AF Messes	670	598	540	<u>535</u>	
Total Enlisted to be Subsisted	59,589	56,690	51,377	48,714	

<sup>\*</sup> Totals May Not Add Due to Rounding



O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary (cont.)

Subsistence-in-Kind (cont.)	Ę	FY 1994 Estimate	te	FV	FY 1995 Estimate	ate
	Gross Number	Percent Absent *	Net Number	Gross Number	Percent Absent *	Net Number
CONUS Air Force Others	55,614 650	58	23,358	53,069 565	28	22,289 565
Overseas Air Force Others	2,764	58	1,161	2,561	28	1,076
Total Enlisted to be Subsisted	59,589		25,730	56,690		24,425
	F	FY 1996 Estimate	ate	FY	FY 1997 Estimate	aţe
	Gross	Percent Absent *	Net Number	Gross	Percent Absent *	Net
CONUS Air Force Others	47,479 544	58	19,941 544	45,009 544	28	18,904 544
Overseas Air Force Others	2,883	28	1,211 495	2,690	58	1,130
Total Enlisted to be Subsisted	51,401		22,191	48,714		21,049

\*Represents percentage of personnel authorized to patronize government dining facilities (without charge to the individual) that elect not to utilize the facilities

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary (cont.):	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
Arms Control				-
Destruction:				
Launch Facilities	20	20	100	0
Launch Control Facilities	വ	2	9	0
Heavy Bombers Eliminated	157	75	73	0
Inspection Activity:			•	4
Mock	33	16	<b>P</b> :	<b>D</b>
Actual	15	32	48	48
* Assumes START and CWC Entry Into Force (EIF) in FY 1995. If EIF is delayed, AF will conduct the maximum number of moc	EIF is delayed,	AF will conduct	the maximum	number of moc
	,			

숭 inspections allowable.

#### Base Support

	•	•	•	•	
lotal Major Installations	_	-	-	-	
SINCO	τ-	<del>-</del>	τ-	<del></del>	
	c	c	_	C	
Overseas	>		•	•	
Facilities Supported (000 sq #)	3,117	3,061	3,119	3,035	
Diant Deriver (1960 of 197)	860,853	884,956	910.782	938,329	
Total Number of Quarters	829	838	838	838	
Number of Officer Ottarfers	71	80	80	80	
		(	1		
Number of Enlisted Quarters	758	758	758	758	
Total Number of Vehicles	310	309	309	308	
	310	309	309	308	
OWIGO.	2		)		
Leased	0	0	0	0	



Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	7	7	7	7
Number of Child Care Spaces	430	430	485	585

#### V. Personnel Summary:

v. Personnel Summary:					Change	e p c e c c
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1995/1996	FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total)	18,594	18,165	15,918	15,604	-2,247	-314
Officer	5,386	5,037	4,615	4,507	-422	-108
Enlisted	13,208	13,128	11,303	11,097	-1,825	-206
Civilian End Strength (Total)	9,423	10,350	9,567	9,253	-783	-314
U.S. Direct Hire	9,280	10,193	9,415	9,101	872-	-314
Foreign National Direct Hire	35	25	51	51	7	O
Total Direct Hire	9,315	10,245	9,466	9,152	622-	-314
Foreign National Indirect Hire	108	105	101	101	4-	0
Military Workyears (Total)	19,286	18,943	17,154	15,910	-1,789	-1,244
Officer	5,209	5,237	4,884	4,643	-353	-241
Enlisted	14,077	13,706	12,270	11,267	-1,436	-1,003
Civilian Workyears (Total)	9,353	10,703	9,823	9,515	-880	-308
U.S. Direct Hire	9,182	10,545	699'6	9,363	-876	-306
Foreign National Direct Hire	42	48	51	51	က	0
Total Direct Hire	9,224	10,593	9,720	9,414	-873	-306
Foreign National Indirect Hire	129	110	103	101	<i>L</i> -	-5

Special Investigations (AFOSI). Information on classified programs is not provided in this unclassified document. The following I. <u>Description of Operations Financed:</u> This Activity Group includes a series of classified programs and the Air Force Office of narrative and data will focus on the AFOSI (Security Programs, Other) which is unclassified.

AFOSI's mission is to protect Air Force resources through specialized investigative support. AFOSI is also the executive agency for DoD counterdrug program. This exhibit does not include AFOSI's mission in support of Foreign Counterintelligence (FCI) activities. the Air Force polygraph and technical surveillance countermeasures (TSCM) programs and plays a major investigative role in the The Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) is a Field Operating Agency (FOA) with headquarters at Bolling AFB, DC.

every major Air Force installation. AFOSI also operates out of several unique locations such as US embassies, foreign and US consist of seven field investigations regions, aligned along Major Command (MAJCOM) lines, and field detachments located at include the AFOSI Investigative Operations Center and the US Air Force Special Investigations Academy. Field operations The AFOSI operates at approximately 154 locations worldwide. In addition to the headquarters, national level operations cities, and defense contractor facilities.

investigations. AFOSI operates the USAF Special Investigations Academy to train AFOSI special investigators. AFOSI's mix of investigations of major crimes (felonies) such as intentional damage to aircraft and other property; central systems (top 100 military (officer and enlisted) and civilian investigators work closely with other federal, state, local, and foreign investigative contractors) procurement fraud; and environmental crime. In addition, AFOSI provides technical security inspections of government and contractor facilities to detect electronic surveillance operations. AFOSI is sole provider of polygraph The Security and Investigative Activities program encompasses AFOSI's basic investigative operations which cover examinations, forensic science consultations, and computer crime investigative assistance in support of Air Force agencies to conduct investigations having a joint interest.

#### II. Force Structure Summary:

FY 1997	117 37
FY 1996	117 37
FY 1995	117
FY 1994	122 38
	Number of OSI Detachments/Operating Locations - CONUS Number of OSI Detachments/Operating Locations - Overseas

### III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
A. Program Elements	FY 1994 Actual	Budget Request	Appropriation	Current Request	FY 1996 Estimate	FY 1997 Estimate
Security Programs	\$757,676	\$454,840	\$454,840	\$449,097	\$447,218	\$465,254
Total	\$757,676	\$454,840	\$454,840	\$449,097	\$447,218	\$465,254
B. Reconciliation Summary:	ш	Change FY 1995/1995		Change FY 1995/1996	ŭ.	Change FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding		\$454,840		\$449,097		\$447,218
Undistributed Congressional Reductions		-4,048		0		0
Price Change		1,000		12,186		12,316
Functional Transfer		25		4,290		0
Program Changes		-2,720		-18,355		5,720
Current Estimate		\$449,097		\$447,218		\$465,254



Thousands):
.⊑
₩
Decreases
Þ
교
Increases
5
<ul><li>C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$\\$\$ in Thousands):</li></ul>
ပ

1. FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Reductions)	Undistributed Reductions)	•	\$454,840
a. Undistributed Congressional Reductions		\$-4,048	8
<ol> <li>Classified</li> <li>Civilian Personnel Drawdown</li> <li>Pay Raise and Locality Pay.</li> <li>Federal Workforce Restructure</li> <li>Information Technology</li> <li>Contracting and Consulting</li> </ol>	<del>9</del> <del>9</del> <del>9</del>	\$-672 \$-672 \$+526 \$+345 \$-30 \$-17	
2. Revised Appropriated Amount		•	\$450,792
3. Price Change		•	\$+1,000
4. Functional Program Transfers		•	\$+25
a. Transfers In		\$+25	25
<ol> <li>Pollution Prevention.</li> <li>Effective FY 1995, pollution prevention funding is decentralized from Budget Activity: Administration and Servicewide Activities and spread throughout all Budget Activities. This transfer aligns funding to the primary Budget Activity and Subactivity Groups used by installation commanders for other base support programs. This realignment to the installation's primary budget activity identifies costs with the specific missions supported.</li> </ol>	:	\$+25	
5. Program Decreases			\$-2,720

1995 Base \$450,792)		\$449,097	\$+12,186	\$+4,290				\$+602	
15 Base \$450,792)  nance on computer equipment,  nverting old investigative data bases, and  ment for the Air Force Office of Special  tion is consistent with the manpower  tion is consistent with the manpower  act AF/INRP for details.  14 Criteria Change: \$+425  15 Ve in FY 1996 eliminates the current \$50  2 Curement of non-centrally managed  vel type items). Under this policy change,  rom the Other Procurement Appropriation.	\$-2,720				\$+4,290				\$+602
95 Base \$450,792) nance on computer equipment, nverting old investigative data bases, and ment for the Air Force Office of Special ition is consistent with the manpower act AF/INRP for details.  14 Criteria Change: ve in FY 1996 eliminates the current \$50 curement of non-centrally managed vel type items). Under this policy change, rom the Other Procurement Appropriation.		•		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		+3,865	\$+425		
a. Reduction in Support Costs (FY 1999 This decrease is in contract mainter purchasing contract services for cortine purchase of supplies and equipinvestigations (AFOSI). This reduct drawdown within OSI.  6. FY 1995 Current Estimate		FY 1995 Current Estimate	7. Price Growth	8. Functional Program Transfers	a. Transfers In	Classified Programs	2) Expense/Investment Equipment Criteria Change: A budget policy change effective in FY 1996 eliminates the current \$50 thousand threshold for the procurement of non-centrally managed equipment (installation/local level type items). Under this policy change, funds are transferred to O&M from the Other Procurement Appropriation.	9. Program Increases	<ul> <li>a. One Additional Workday (FY 1995 Base \$449,097)</li></ul>



6	10. Program Decreases		\$-18,957
	a. Classified Programs (FY 1995 Base \$449,097)	\$-18,957	
7	11. FY 1996 Budget Request		\$447,218
5	12. Price Growth		\$+12,316
5	13. Program Increases		\$+5,720
	<ul> <li>a. Classified Programs (FY 1996 Base \$447,218)</li> <li>Details are classified. Please contact SAF/FMBMB for details.</li> </ul>	\$+5,720	
4	14, FY 1997 Budget Request		\$465,254

IV. Personnel Summary:

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	Change FY 1995/1996	Change FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total)	9,269	10,449	9,872	9,767	-577	-105
Officer	1,642	1,808	1,688	1,665	-120	-23
Enlisted	7,627	8,641	8,184	8,102	-457	-82
Civilian End Strength (Total)	2,501	2,915	2,615	2,496	-300	-119
U.S. Direct Hire	2,452	2,751	2,562	2,443	-189	-119
Foreign National Direct Hire	21	33	18	18	-15	
Total Direct Hire	2,473	2,784	2,580	2,461	-204	-119
Foreign National Indirect Hire	28	131	35	35	96-	
Military (Morkywars (Total)	9.547	10.974	10.180	9.833	794	-347
Officer		1,969	1,759	1,670	-210	-88
Enlisted	7,856	9,005	8,421	8,163	-584	-258
Civilian Workvears (Total)	2,941	2,684	2,795	2,582	111	-213
U.S. Direct Hire	2,718	2,546	2,686	2,529	140	-157
Foreign National Direct Hire	18	23	26	18	က	ထု
Total Direct Hire	2,736	2,569	2,712	2,547	143	-165
Foreign National Indirect Hire	205	115	83	35	-32	-48

AEW&C) program; other international headquarters. Also, included is the Technology Transfer Program which controls the transfer Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE); North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Airborne Early Warning and Control (NATO Description of Operations Financed: These operations support the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO); Supreme of critical Air Force technologies to foreign governments.

phase, and (2) assigned Air Force personnel located at Geilenkirchen, Germany, where O&M resources support U.S. activities The two phases of the NATO AEW&C program consist of (1) support for the aircraft acquisition, modification, and delivery stipulated in the Multilateral Memorandum of Understanding.

Customs export control enforcement, identification of AF critical technologies for inclusion on the Military Critical Technologies foreign governments. Activities supported include Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) counterintelligence, U.S. The Technology Transfer Program identifies and controls the acquisition of advanced and sensitive military technologies by List, and technical support to the U.S. State Department for the Coordinating Committee for Multilateral Export Control.

FY1997	ω σ <del>- π</del>
FY 1996	 ω ω <del>- ω</del>
FY 1995	00-2
FY 1994	0078
II. Force Structure Summary:	International Activities

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1995			
	FY 1994	Budget		Current	FY 1996	FY 1997
A. Support to Other Nations	Actual	Request	Appropriation	Request	Estimate	Estimate
International Support	\$7,577	\$7,071	\$7,071	\$7,270	\$13,022	\$13,191
Total	\$7,577	\$7,071	\$7,071	\$7,270	\$13,022	\$13,191
B. Reconciliation Summary:	Щ	Change FY 1995/1995		Change FY 1995/1996		Change FY 1996/1997
Baseline Funding		\$7,071		\$7,270		\$13,022
Undistributed Congressional Reductions		-20		0		0
Price Change		0		521		28
Program Changes		219		5,231		141
Current Estimate		\$7,270		\$13,022		\$13,191

ပ	C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):		
<del>-</del>	FY 1995 Appropriated Amount (Less Undistributed Reductions)		\$7,071
	a. Undistributed Congressional Reductions	\$-20	
	<ol> <li>Civilian Personnel Drawdown</li> <li>Contracting and Consulting</li> <li>Pay Raise and Locality Pay</li> <li>Federal Workforce Restructure</li> </ol>		
6	Revised Appropriated Amount		\$7,051
က်	Program Increases		\$+219
	<ul> <li>Management Headquarters (International) (FY 1995 Base \$7,051)</li></ul>	\$+219	
4.	FY 1995 Current Estimate		\$7,270
ĸ.	Price Growth		\$+521
ø.	Program Increases		\$+5,231
	<ul> <li>a. Traditional CINC Programs (FY 1995 Base \$7,270)</li> <li>Funding increase is to support CINC efforts to promote regional security arrangements and other U.S. national security goals.</li> </ul>	\$+5,000	

# O&M, AF FY 1996/1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES

		BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS		
	ف	Miscellaneous Support to Other Nations (FY 1995 Base \$7,270)	+231	
7.	FY 1	7, FY 1996 Budget Request		\$13,022
œ	Price	Price Growth		\$+28
တ်	Prog	Program Increases		\$+141
	æ	Military-to-Military Contact Program (FY 1996 Base \$13,022)	\$+141	
9	F₹	10, FY 1997 Budget Request		\$13,191

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY1997
Technology Transfer/Export Criteria				
Export License Application Requests from U.S. Industry	6,500	7,000	7,500	8,000 40,000
Cases Requiring Major Resolution	3,250	3,500	500	600
Latin American Cooperation/Mil-to-Mil Contact Latin American Countries that Participate in U.S. Military Cooperative Initiatives	18	18	18	18
Central European Eurasia and Former Soviet Union Countries Participating in Mil-to-Mil Contact Program	4	4	41	4

V. Personnel Summary:					Change	Change
	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1995/1996	FY 1996/1997
Active Military End Strength (Total)	3.125	3,278	3,240	3,226	-38	-14
Officer	1,040	1,137	1,113	1,097	-24	-16
Enlisted	2,085	2,141	2,127	2,129	-14	8
Civillan End Strength (Total)	1.465	1,633	1,588	1,474	-45	-114
U.S. Direct Hire	1,405	1,618	1,573	1,459	-45	-114
Foreign National Direct Hire	51	10	10	10		
Total Direct Hire	1,456	1,628	1,583	1,469	-45	-114
Foreign National Indirect Hire	თ	S	သ	ស		
Military Workvears (Total)	3,360	3,165	3.274	3,265	109	တု
Officer	1.131	1.072	1,135	1,125	63	-10
Enlisted	2,229	2,093	2,139	2,140	46	_
Civilian Workvears (Total)	1.996	1,423	1,610	1,532	187	-78
U.S. Direct Hire	1,971	1,386	1,595	1,517	209	-78
Foreign National Direct Hire	16	31	10	10	-21	
Total Direct Hire	1,987	1,417	1,605	1,527	188	-78
Foreign National Indirect Hire	6	9	Ω.	co.	7	